

CITY COUNCIL REPORT



MEETING DATE: January 10, 2006

ITEM NO. 28 GOAL: Coordinate Planning to Balance Infrastructure

SUBJECT

Silverstone - 15-ZN-2005 & 13-UP-2005

REQUEST

1. Request to rezone from Western Theme Park District (W-P) to Planned Community District (PC) with comparable zoning of Central Business District (C-2), Commercial Office District (C-O), and Multiple Family Residential District (R-5), including amended development standards on 160+/- acres located at the southeast corner of N. Scottsdale Road and E. Pinnacle Peak Road.
2. Request approval of a Conditional Use Permit for a residential health care facility on Parcel H of the 160-acre property located at the southeast corner of N. Scottsdale Road and E. Pinnacle Peak Road.
3. To adopt Ordinance No. 3651 affirming the above rezoning and amended development standards.
4. To adopt Resolution No. 6806 affirming the above Conditional Use Permit.


Key Items for Consideration:

- A General Plan Amendment was approved in October 2005 to establish a Mixed Use Neighborhoods designation for the property.
- The General Plan Character Element designates this location as an Activity Center feature.
- The existing tourist destination use (Rawhide) is relocating.
- The rezoning will allow a mixture and variety of land use activity to serve and support the surrounding area.
- Open space areas and buffers provide transition to surrounding neighborhoods and maintain a suburban desert character.
- Mixed-use activity would change and increase traffic patterns.
- This application proposes a multi-story residential health care facility located as part of a larger senior residential complex.
- Citizens have raised concerns regarding density, traffic, and building heights.
- The Planning Commission recommends approval, 6-0.

Related Policies, References:
Case 7-GP-2005 amended the General Plan to establish a Mixed Use Neighborhoods designation for the property.

OWNER

RHVT LLC
602-230-1051



APPLICANT CONTACT John Berry
Berry & Damore, LLC
480-385-2727

LOCATION Southeast corner of E. Pinnacle Peak and N. Scottsdale Roads

BACKGROUND

General Plan.

Land Use:

A General Plan Amendment was approved in October 2005 to establish a Mixed Use Neighborhoods land use designation for the property. Mixed Use Neighborhoods provide opportunities for a variety of land use activity to serve and support the surrounding area. These areas could accommodate higher density housing combined with complementary office or retail uses or mixed-use structures with residential above commercial or office. Mixed-use activities provide opportunities for residential and commercial development to compliment one another, as well as strengthen the employment and retail capabilities in the area.

Character and Design Element:

The Character and Design Element of the General Plan designates this site within the Suburban Desert Character Type. This Character type typically contains medium density neighborhoods that include a variety of commercial and employment centers and resorts. The Character Types Map also designates an Activity Center designation located at the subject site. Activity Centers include mixed-use areas that are smaller than employment cores, but larger than neighborhood centers and often have greater intensities of development than the surrounding area.

Zoning.

The site is currently zoned Western Theme Park District (WP), which is intended to provide for major western theme park recreational uses containing a broad variety of thematic recreational, entertainment, and ancillary commercial uses. Development of the Rawhide theme park at this location began in the early 1970s, when it was under the jurisdiction of Maricopa County. As the site developed, virtually all of the property was modified by actions such as the construction of the extensive parking lot, Western Town, exhibition and event areas, the relocation of wash channels, and the construction of dikes, and campfire and trail areas.

When the Environmentally Sensitive Lands (ESL) Ordinance was developed and applied to properties in the early 1990s, it was determined that this property and the scarred lands to the south of it were not in a reasonable condition to apply the standards contained in the ESL Ordinance. Therefore, the ESL Overlay was not applied to these properties.

Context.

The 160-acre property is located at the southeast corner of N. Scottsdale Road and E. Pinnacle Peak Road and was formally used as a western theme park (Rawhide). The site is surrounded on all four sides by roads. To the north are commercial and residential uses, with Commercial, Suburban Neighborhoods, and Office land use designations. To the east are also commercial and residential land uses, with Office and Suburban Neighborhoods land use

designations. To the south are commercial and industrial land uses, with Commercial and Employment land use designations. To the west is the jurisdiction of the City of Phoenix, with planned commercial/mixed use land uses.

The site contains a major wash (Rawhide Wash) located near the northwest corner of the property, which currently sheet flows across both E. Pinnacle Peak Road and N. Scottsdale Road. Although much of the site has been disturbed over time, there are areas containing native vegetation on the northeast side of the site.

Adjacent Uses/Zoning:

- North: Commercial and residential, zoned C-2 ESL, R1-5 ESL, C-O ESL, R1-43 ESL Districts
- South: Commercial and industrial, zoned C-3, I-1, S-S, C-2 Districts
- East: Commercial and residential, zoned C-1 ESL, C-O ESL, R-4 ESL Districts
- West: Vacant, planned for mixed use in the Phoenix Jurisdiction, zoned C-2 PCD, R1-6 PCD and R1-10 PCD

**APPLICANT'S
PROPOSAL**

Goal/Purpose of Request.

This is a three-part request to allow the development of a master planned community having a variety of commercial, office, residential, public, and open space uses on the 160 acres.

1. Rezone from Western Theme Park District (WP) to Planned Community District (P-C) with comparable zoning of Central Business District (C-2), Commercial Office District (C-O), and Multiple Family Residential District (R-5).
2. Amend development standards to allow open space requirements to be shared throughout the development, and to allow 45-foot building height for the proposed residential health care facility.
3. Approve a Conditional Use Permit for a residential health care facility, which would be part of a larger senior housing development.

Development Information.

- Existing Use: Western Theme Park (Rawhide)
- Proposed Use: Residential, offices, and commercial
- Parcel Size: 160 acres
- Building Height Allowed: 36 feet
- Building Height Proposed: 24-45 feet
- Floor Area: 305,000 square feet
- Density Proposed: 976 units (6.1 units per acre overall)

Key Issues.

- Traffic and density
- Building Height

IMPACT ANALYSIS**Planned Community District (PCD) Findings.**

The PCD is designed and intended to enable and encourage the development of large tracts of land so as to achieve land development patterns that will maintain and enhance the physical, social and economic values of an area. The master development plan is an integral part of the PCD. Before approval or modified approval of an application for a proposed PCD, the Planning Commission and the City Council must find:

- A. That the development proposed is in substantial harmony with the General Plan of the City of Scottsdale, and can be coordinated with existing and planned development of surrounding areas.
- B. That the streets and thoroughfares proposed are suitable and adequate to serve the proposed uses and the anticipated traffic which will be generated thereby.
- C. The Planning Commission and City Council shall further find that the facts submitted with the application and presented at the hearing establish beyond reasonable doubt that:
 1. In the case of proposed residential development, that such development will constitute a residential environment of sustained desirability and stability; that it will be in harmony with the character of the surrounding area; and that the sites proposed for public facilities are adequate to serve the anticipated population.
 2. In the case of proposed commercial, institutional, recreational and other non-residential uses, that such development will be appropriate in area, location and overall planning to the purpose intended; and that such development will be in harmony with the character of the surrounding areas.

Development Program.

The relocation of the existing western theme park (Rawhide) from the property suggests a change in the zoning is appropriate. The proposed Planned Community District (PCD) will provide opportunities for a variety of land use activity to serve and support the surrounding area, which is in harmony with the recently amended General Plan. The proposed land use pattern for the property includes commercial, office, residential, public, and open space uses. The plan also provides opportunities for new municipal uses, such as a library, park, or fire station, to serve the development and surrounding community. This application proposes a multi-story residential health care facility located as part of a larger senior residential complex. The various activities provide opportunities for residential and commercial development to compliment one another, as well as strengthen the employment and retail capabilities in the area.

The table below shows the proposed land use summary:

Parcel	Acres	Land Use	Floor Area/Units	FAR/Density
A and B	4.48	Municipal	45,000 sq.ft.	0.23
C	12.47	Retail	95,000 sq.ft.	0.17
D	12.42	Office	165,000 sq.ft.	0.30
E	17.47	Residential	262 units	14.9 du/ac
F	21.82	Residential	186 units	8.5 du/ac
G	23.77	Residential	258 units	10.8 du/ac
H	34.26	Residential	270 units	7.8 du/ac
Wash/ Park	12.92	Wash/Park	--	--
Other	20.39	Streets, etc.	--	--
Total	139.61		305,000 sq.ft./ 976 units	

Timing of the development will be dictated by improvements to the wash and street network. No office or retail development (Parcels C and D) will occur until N. Scottsdale Road and E. Pinnacle Peak Road are built to their ultimate configurations (6 lanes and 4 lanes respectively).

Amended Development Standards.

All land uses in the PCD will conform to the property development standards of the comparable Central Business (C-2), Commercial Office (C-O), and Multiple Family Residential (R-5) zoning districts. Modification of the comparable district's standards may be allowed to produce a living environment, landscape quality and life-style superior to that produced by existing standards. The proposed development plan includes the removal of land uses deemed undesirable by the applicant (such as adult uses), and clarifies other land uses.

The proposed amended development standards also allow flexibility for open space requirements to be shared throughout the development, and allow 45-foot building height for the proposed residential health care facility. The open space for the master plan will be primarily distributed within the Rawhide Wash, the scenic corridor and buffered setbacks, and within interior parks and open space areas. The 45-foot tall building height standard will be limited to the residential health care facility, which will be located approximately 300 feet from the nearest public street. The modified standards provide flexibility to produce a living environment, landscape quality and life-style superior to that produced by existing standards.

Traffic.

Scottsdale Road is classified as a Major Arterial Roadway in the city's Streets Master Plan; it currently consists of two lanes in each direction along the western boundary of the site. The current daily traffic volume adjacent to the site is 31,500 vehicles. Pinnacle Peak Road is classified as a Minor Arterial. Pinnacle Peak currently consists of one lane in each direction with a center turn lane in the vicinity of the site. The current daily traffic volume adjacent to the site is 18,400 vehicles. Miller Road is classified as a Minor Arterial. In the vicinity of Pinnacle Peak Road, Miller Road currently consists of two

northbound lanes and one southbound lane with a raised median; it transitions to a two-lane roadway with no median as it approaches Williams Road. The current daily traffic volume adjacent to the site is 10,200 vehicles. Williams Road is classified as a Major Collector. Williams Road currently consists of one lane each direction. The current daily traffic volume adjacent to the site is 3,700 vehicles. The traffic counts are based on data collected in August of 2005 and adjusted for monthly variation.

The intersections of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, and Miller Road and Pinnacle Peak Road are currently signalized. The intersections of Williams Drive with Scottsdale Road and Miller Road are stop controlled.

The trip generation calculations indicate that the proposed development would generate approximately 13,657 daily trips, with 698 trips generated during the a.m. peak hour and 1,317 trips generated during the p.m. peak hour. It has been estimated that the previous land use, Rawhide Western Theme Park, had an annual attendance of 800,000 visitors. This attendance is estimated to represent approximately 2,200 daily trips, although it is likely that the traffic generation was higher on weekends and during special events.

The developer will be required to complete the street improvements for Williams Road and Miller Road adjacent to their site. Both of these streets will be four lane roadways when completed. The developer is also responsible for installing traffic signals at the Scottsdale Road and Miller Road intersections with Williams Road. The applicant has agreed to construct the full four lane street improvements for Pinnacle Peak Road from Scottsdale Road to Miller Road. These improvements will include adding necessary auxiliary lanes at the Scottsdale Road and Miller Road intersections. All of these street improvements are required to be completed prior to the issuance of any certificate of occupancy for lots within the residential portions of the development. The City is scheduled to complete the remaining portion of Pinnacle Peak Road from Miller Road to Pima Road in fiscal year 2007/2008.

The City is scheduled to construct Scottsdale Road from Thompson Peak Parkway to Pinnacle Peak Road to its full six lane cross section with raised median in 2010. The applicant has agreed to a condition that no certificate of occupancy for any buildings on the non-residential portions of the development will be issued until this section of Scottsdale Road is widened to six lanes.

The traffic impact study prepared by Parsons Brinckerhoff under the City's TIMA Program, indicates that the traffic generated by the proposed development will not adversely impact the street system in the vicinity of the site. With the addition of the required street improvements as outlined in the study, the overall traffic operations will be acceptable with the full build out of the site as currently proposed.

One of the Goals of the Community Mobility Element is to emphasize "work, live and play" relationships in land use decisions that will reduce the distance and frequency of automotive trips. Another Goal discusses the relationship and balance of land uses within general areas that will help reduce the demand on transportation systems. The development plan would allow residents to

walk to commercial services, allowing a consolidation of trips.

Drainage.

The existing Rawhide Wash located at the northwest corner of the site will need to be managed to preclude flooding during all stormwater runoff events of the site with no adverse impacts to other properties. It is anticipated that both Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Roads will need to have culverts or bridges to accommodate the high flows of Rawhide Wash. This wash has a potential of becoming a significant amenity for the project with consideration of active natural connectivity to adjacent neighborhoods and planned development. The developer will be responsible for their proportionate share of the flood control improvements. Coordination with other stakeholders to the west, such as the City of Phoenix and private development, will be necessary as the water passes through this development and exits to the west. Any drainage improvements needed in advance of a related City capital improvement project will be the responsibility of the developer.

Timing of the development will be dictated by improvements to the wash and street network. No office or retail development (Parcels C and D) will occur until N. Scottsdale Road and E. Pinnacle Peak Road are built to their ultimate configurations.

Open space, scenic corridors.

In addition to the 12 acres of open space provided in the Rawhide Wash and Park, the development plan includes a 100-foot wide scenic corridor along N. Scottsdale Road, a 50-foot wide landscape buffer along E. Pinnacle Peak Road, and 30-foot wide landscape buffers along Miller Road and Williams Drive.

Water/Sewer.

The applicant has submitted Basis of Design Reports for water and wastewater, and existing water infrastructure appears sufficient. Significant wastewater infrastructure improvements are anticipated to accommodate increased intensity/density at this location. The developer will be responsible for their proportionate share of the infrastructure improvements necessary to accommodate the demand generated by the new uses. Any infrastructure improvements needed in advance of a related City capital improvement project will be the responsibility of the developer.

Municipal Uses.

The development plan includes 4.48 acres for future municipal uses, including the possibility for a new library and other services.

Schools District comments/review.

The Paradise Valley Unified School District has indicated that there are adequate school facilities to accommodate additional students generated by the development.

Use Permit Criteria.

This is a request for a conditional use permit for a multi-story minimal residential health care facility with 60 units. A minimal residential health care

facility, also known as an assisted living facility, provides assistance with the activities of daily living for adults that do not require 24-hour skilled nursing care. These facilities typically provide living quarters, central dining, transportation, and limited medical assistance. This type of facility does not provide convalescent or nursing home services for persons who need nursing services on a continuing basis.

Conditional use permits, which may be revocable, conditional, or valid for a specified time period, may be granted only when expressly permitted after the Planning Commission has made a recommendation and the City Council has found as follows:

- A. That the granting of such conditional use permit will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare. In reaching this conclusion, the Planning Commission and the City Council's consideration shall include, but not be limited to, the following factors:
 1. Damage or nuisance arising from noise, smoke, odor, dust, vibration or illumination.
 - ***This is a component of the proposed master development plan, and there will be no nuisances arising from activities associated with this use.***
 2. Impact on surrounding areas resulting from an unusual volume or character of traffic.
 - ***These types of facilities provide regular transportation services and have very few residents that drive. This use will result in a decrease of traffic compared to other multi-family residential uses, and will not negatively impact the surrounding area.***
 - ***Parking for this facility will be based on 0.5 spaces per unit resulting in a minimum of 30 parking spaces. Parking demand for this facility is relatively low and will be accommodated on site.***
 3. There are no other factors associated with this project that will be materially detrimental to the public.
 - ***There are no other factors associated with this use that will negatively impact the surrounding area.***
- B. The characteristics of the proposed conditional use are reasonably compatible with the types of uses permitted in the surrounding areas.
 - ***The proposed facility will be located interior to a planned development. The facility functions as a transitional residential use between the other residential activities planned to the east and the planned commercial activities to the west.***
- C. The additional conditions specified in Section 1.403 for Minimal Residential Health Care Facilities have been satisfied.
 - a. The gross lot area shall not be less than one (1) acre.
 - ***The property is larger than 1-acre in size.***
 - b. The number of units shall not exceed forty (40) dwelling units per gross acre of land.
 - ***The proposed number of units is 60 and will not exceed 40 dwelling units per acre.***
 - c. A minimum of twenty-four (24) percent of the net lot shall be provided in meaningful open space, and a minimum of one-half of the

open space requirement incorporated as frontage open space

- ***The development will meet or exceed the open space requirements.***

d. The site plan shall be designed, to the maximum extent feasible, so that on-site parking is oriented to the building(s) in a manner that will provide convenient pedestrian access for residents, guests, and visitors.

- ***Parking will be provided with underground parking as well as surface parking to provide convenient pedestrian access.***

Community Involvement.

The site has been posted with multiple notification signs for months, property owners within 750 feet have been notified by the City and the applicant has notified surrounding property owners and homeowner associations within one mile of the site. Multiple open houses have been held in addition to meetings with interested parties. Open house comments and letters have been received, with some in support of the project and some in opposition to the project. Comments emphasized the need for master planning to mitigate impacts associated with the project, and other comments pertained to the degree of residential density increase and commercial intensity increase, increased traffic caused by the proposed development, building heights obstructing views, and setbacks from the roadways. (See Attachment #7 for Citizen Involvement information).

In response to citizen concerns and market conditions, the applicant has continued to communicate with neighbors and interested parties. Over time, the applicant has continued to reduce the intensity and density of the development, and traffic impact studies have been updated.

The City of Phoenix has indicated they have no issues with the proposed land use amendment, and anticipates the mixed-use designation will compliment and enhance the adjacent existing and proposed land uses in Phoenix.

Community Impact.

Although the Rawhide facility has been a part of Scottsdale's tourism base for decades, the relocation of the existing western theme park suggests the W-P District is no longer appropriate for the property. The PCD provides an opportunity for a variety of land use activity to serve and support the surrounding area, and would allow "work, live, and play" relationships to reduce the distance and frequency of automotive trips. The master development plan provides land use locations and intensities, and the developer will be responsible for much of the infrastructure improvements necessary to accommodate the demand generated by the new uses. Scenic corridors and landscaped buffers provide appropriate transition to the surrounding area, and land for new municipal uses and parks will benefit the community.

Staff Recommendation.

Staff recommends approval, subject to the attached stipulations.

**OTHER BOARDS AND
COMMISSIONS****Planning Commission.**

The Planning Commission heard these cases on November 30, 2005. The Commission discussed the project phasing, and the timing of the surrounding street improvements to mitigate traffic impacts. The Commission also discussed the potential of a library and fire station on the property.

Speakers at the hearing raised concerns regarding increased traffic, density, and the proposed building heights. Speakers questioned the appropriateness of the proposed density and particular building heights at this location. The timing of street improvements was also mentioned to assure traffic impacts would be mitigated. A speaker supported the proposed municipal uses, open space areas, and the assisted living facility, but requested the maximum building height to be 36 feet. It was also noted that the applicant has worked with citizens over the past months to address many of their concerns.

Planning Commission recommended approval of the rezoning with the amended development standards and the Conditional Use Permit, 6-0.

RECOMMENDATION

Approval, subject to the attached stipulations.

**RESPONSIBLE
DEPT(S)**


Planning and Development Services Department
Current Planning Services

STAFF CONTACT(S)


Tim Curtis, AICP
Project Coordination Manager
480-312-4210
E-mail: tcurtis@ScottsdaleAZ.gov

Randy Grant
Chief Planning Officer
480-312-7995
E-mail: rgrant@ScottsdaleAZ.gov


APPROVED BY



Randy Grant
Chief Planning Officer
Date 12/21/05



Frank Gray
General Manager Planning & Development Services
Date 12.21.05



Ed Gawf
Assistant City Manager
Date 12/23/05

ATTACHMENTS

1. Applicant's Narrative –Rezoning
- 1A. Applicant's Narrative – Use Permit
- 1B. Amended Development Standards
2. Context Aerial
- 2A. Aerial Close-Up
3. Land Use Map
4. Ordinance No. 3651
Exhibit 1. Stipulations
Exhibit 2. Zoning Map
Exhibit 3. Amended Development Standards
5. Resolution No. 6806
Exhibit 1. Stipulations
Exhibit 2. Aerial
6. Traffic Impact Summary
7. Citizen Involvement
8. City Notification Map
9. Land Use Plan
10. Land Use Budget Summary
11. Building Height Plan
12. Phasing Site Plan
13. November 30, 2005 Planning Commission Minutes

Rezoning Request Narrative
for
Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak
SEC Scottsdale Road & Pinnacle Peak Road
Revised 10-14-05

City of Scottsdale
Rezoning Case #177-PA-2005

I. Overview of Request

RHVT Limited Partnership ("RHVT") owns the approximate 160 acre property (the "Property") located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road. RHVT is proposing a master-planned project (the "Project") to be named "Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak" ("Silverstone"). RHVT is hereby requesting to rezone the Property from its current (W-P) Western Theme Park District to (P-C) Planned Community District with underlying (C-2) Central Business District, (C-O) Commercial Office and (R-5) Multiple-Family Residential District uses and development standards.

RHVT proposes a master plan for the Property that includes a mix of uses integrated into a well designed blend of employment, office, retail and multigenerational residential uses. The proposed Project is based upon the opportunity for a live-work-play environment concept that provides a "sense of place" and encourages pedestrian activities and human interaction in a high quality of life atmosphere.

II. Property History & Physical Characteristics

The current use of the Property, a western theme park known as "Rawhide", dates back to 1970. For thirty-five years Rawhide has entertained visitors using its faux Old West environment, with activities such as stagecoach rides, gunfights, trail rides and campfire-style cookouts. However, for several years it has also included activities that are not in keeping with its theme such as fireworks and car and motorcycle shows. It is reported that Rawhide saw as many as 800,000 visitors per year in its heyday. However, as homes and other development have neared Rawhide over its lifetime, maintaining this Old West theme has become increasingly difficult. This encroachment, combined with the Rawhide owner's knowledge of the intense retail development planned just west of Scottsdale Road in Phoenix, supports their recent announcement to relocate Rawhide to a more secluded area on the Gila River Indian Reservation.

The Property is sparsely vegetated as the Rawhide operation uses over two-thirds of the Property, which includes the use of a substantial amount of the less disturbed desert-like areas for its trail system and campfire and cookout areas. The Property slopes gently (less than 3%) from northeast to southwest. What vegetation that does exist consists of typical desert plant materials typically found in this region.

**15-ZN-2005 &
13-UP-2005**

III. The Proposed Project - Silverstone At Pinnacle Peak

RHVT's proposal for Silverstone includes a mix of activities that provides an opportunity for a "live-work-play" environment. Uses proposed for Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak include neighborhood retail, municipal uses such as a library and fire station, office and multi-generational residential, with each of the various components within the Project appropriately sub-named (i.e. Silverstone Marketplace, Silverstone Commons). The master plan features a pedestrian pathway system including appropriately spaced shade structures to promote alternatives to driving; planned open spaces designed in park-like settings; and the creation of the Scenic Corridor along Scottsdale Road. A Master Circulation Plan provides inter-connectivity between the uses within the Project to reduce vehicular traffic distances and promote alternative modes of transportation. Project gateway entry features and various common building materials are also proposed to provide architectural an interaction between the various uses within the Project.

The Project team assembled by RHVT envisions creating a "sense of place" within the Project that encourages pedestrian activities and human interaction. RHVT believes Silverstone demonstrates that its uses are in context with its immediate surroundings, appropriate for the area, and that it will sustain desirability and stability in harmony with the character and quality of the Pinnacle Peak area.

IV. Project Location, Surrounding Uses & Zoning

Silverstone is located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road along the geographic north-south centerline of the City. It is essentially a one-half mile square tract of property, with prominent frontage on Scottsdale Road. This area of the City continues to enjoy the highest quality commercial and residential development in the metropolitan area.

Silverstone is surrounded by a wide variety of existing and approved uses.

Direction		
<u>From Property</u>	<u>Uses</u>	<u>Zoning</u>
North	Retail, Office	C-2, C-O
East	Retail, Office, Residential	C-1, C-O, R-4
South	Industrial, Commercial	C-3, C-2, I-1, S-S
West	Retail	C-2 (Phoenix)

It is important to note the existing industrial and heavy commercial uses directly south of the Property, and the "Big Box" retail planned west of the Property in the City of Phoenix. Accordingly, Silverstone was designed with the knowledge of the above by providing the proper transitioning of uses and adequate visual buffers. Also, it should be noted that, contrary to the perception of some of the public, only about 630 feet of the Property abuts the homes that are located at the southeast corner of the Property across Miller Road. Otherwise, the Project is not adjacent to any existing homes.

This region of the Valley has primarily experienced residential development since the late 1970's, with only sparse development north of the Central Arizona Project ("CAP") canal until the 1980's, when development began to include several residential subdivisions. In the 1990's the area saw the beginning of several large master planned communities including Grayhawk, McDowell Mountain Ranch and DC Ranch in Scottsdale; and Tatum Ranch and Desert Ridge in Phoenix. This new residential growth has triggered the need for new commercial development to service the robust residential growth. Intense commercial development has occurred along Scottsdale Road just south of the Loop 101. The Loop 101 provides a much needed transportation link by providing access to more intense development along Scottsdale Road without the need to enter residential neighborhoods. With adequate upgrades, Scottsdale Road can continue to be an excellent artery to the 101 with minimal impact on residential areas.

V. Neighborhood & School System Impacts

The basis for the placement of uses within the Project was determined by paying careful attention to its immediate surroundings. For example, as mentioned in Section IV, notwithstanding the small amount of homes that are contiguous with the Property (east of Miller Road), an enhanced landscape buffer is proposed where those homes are contiguous to the Project. In all instances, no uses within the Project create a negative or otherwise undesirable land use impact on land uses that border the Project (across each street).

Silverstone is located within the Paradise Valley Unified School District (the "School District"). A maximum of 976 dwelling units are proposed for Silverstone. However, included in those 976 units is a 270 unit senior living project that would reduce the overall impact on the School District by over 28%. Notwithstanding the senior living component, given the anticipated buyer-profile of the residential product mix planned within the Project, it is anticipated that student generation rates will be low and will not adversely impact the School District. Silverstone will significantly increase assessed property valuations within the School District. Additionally, it is important to note that in general traffic (trips) generated by the senior living use is reduced due to the lessened travel needs of the senior occupants. Importantly, the planned intergenerational village concept will be a boon for both the nearby elementary school and the proposed senior living community with cross-generational educational and volunteer opportunities.

VI. Neighborhood Involvement & Community Outreach

In connection with the associated application to amend the General Plan, extensive outreach was conducted as part of RHVT's rezoning pre-application efforts. A neighborhood involvement and community outreach effort is underway to present the Project to interested parties. RHVT and its entire Project team is sensitive to the importance of neighborhood involvement and creating a relationship with property owners, residents, business owners, homeowner's associations and other interested parties. Communication with these parties is ongoing throughout the process using an "open door" policy. Efforts to reach all stakeholders (using verbal, written, electronic and door-to-door contact methods) began prior to the application filings and will continue throughout the entire process.

Detailed contacts included, but were not limited to representatives of the following stakeholders:

Coalition of Pinnacle Peak
Greater Pinnacle Peak Homeowners' Association
Bella Vista Homeowners' Association
Los Portones Homeowners' Association
Village at Sonoran Hills Homeowners' Association
The Premiere at Pinnacle Peak Homeowners' Association
Alta Sonora Homeowners' Association
La Vista Homeowners' Association
Ladera Homeowners' Association
Los Gatos Homeowners' Association
Pinnacle Paradise Homeowners' Association
La Vida Homeowners' Association
Pinnacle Peak Country Club Homeowners' Association
Pinnacle Peak Estates III Homeowners' Association
Pinnacle Peak Villas Homeowners' Association
Pinnacle Reserve Homeowners' Association
Sonoran Hills Homeowners' Association
Talara Homeowners' Association
Tierra Bella Homeowners' Association
Grayhawk Community Association
Paradise Valley School District
Desert Shadows Middle School
Friend of the Scenic Corridor
Scottsdale Healthcare
Giant Industries, Inc.

Additionally, in relation to the proposed General Plan Amendment and Rezoning application, significant outreach was conducted to homeowners and property owners within one-mile of the boundaries of the Property. These same surrounding property owners received information via first class mail regarding the General Plan and rezoning applications. The mail pieces contained detailed information regarding the Project; included notice of an open house held on August 10, 2005; and contact details to obtain additional information, updates and feedback. We have continued to meet with interested parties about the project; have scheduled numerous meetings with homeowners' associations in the area; and will continue to respond to phone calls for additional information.

RHVT and its Project team recognize that a vital component of the outreach process is to allow people to express their thoughts and that each and every person must receive a response in a professional and timely manner. The entire Project team realizes the importance of this process and remains committed to communication and outreach for the Project.

VII. Public Transportation Systems

Public transportation systems are critical to the Project as well as the surrounding area. New development will necessitate the review of the existing roadway system. Improvements to the existing public roadways are anticipated. The Property is bound on all sides by streets classified on the City's Street Classification Map as follows:

<u>Street</u>	<u>Classification</u>
Scottsdale Road	Major Arterial
Pinnacle Peak Road	Minor Arterial
Miller Road	Minor Arterial
Williams Road	Major Collector

The Loop 101 is located just 2¼ miles south of the Property.

A two-part Traffic Impact and Mitigation Analysis is being prepared to assess the impact of the proposed Project and make recommendations for street improvements, access points and driveways, traffic signal warrants and transit planning. A preliminary trip generation analysis has determined that more than half of the trips generated by the Project will originate from the south, (primarily traveling to the Loop 101 using Scottsdale Road) while approximately one-third of the trips will be generated from the west (Phoenix) using Pinnacle Peak Road. Given the regional land use pattern of the greater Pinnacle Peak sub-area, this is to be expected. Accordingly, higher trip generating uses like the commercial office, retail and municipal components of the Project have been proposed on the westernmost side of the Project to encourage the use of Scottsdale Road and the Loop 101. The mixed use and multigenerational nature of the Project should reduce the impact on the street system by providing goods and services within the Project to serve its residents and visitors, where they can use alternate modes of transportation (walk or bike, for example).

VIII. Economic & Fiscal Impact

Silverstone, as designed, will generate significant revenues to the City through recurring fiscal revenue sources such as taxes on real and personal property and privilege taxes on retail sales. Additionally, the Project will provide quality employment (both direct and indirect) through mainly its retail and office components. Additionally, the School District will benefit from increased assessed valuations from the Property.

IX. Rawhide Wash

While named after Rawhide, only a small portion of the regional "Rawhide Wash" in relation to its overall size traverses the Property. Rawhide Wash consists of a regional stormwater drainage area that impacts several thousand acres of property in Scottsdale and Phoenix. In its present state, Rawhide Wash is not a fully controlled (channelized) wash; instead it consists of a large alluvial fan-type flow pattern (meaning shallow depths that tend to spread instead of rising) with only very short sections believed to be under proper control. This existing condition places businesses and residences in harms-way and within the 100 year floodplain, meaning they must build

their structures above established floodplain levels and obtain floodplain insurance. Also, during rainfall, portions of Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road become inundated by rising sheetflows, with the lower points along those roadways experiencing significant flooding; leaving debris in the roads once the sheetflows dissipate.

RHVT's proposed master plan design includes consideration given to the best possible design for its small segment of Rawhide Wash based upon a channelization concept similar to natural flow patterns. The new channel as proposed can serve as man-made extension of the desert similar to the re-vegetated Scenic Corridor. It will add Open Space where none exists today and provide for a park-like system of public trails and paths. While this method also appears to be the preferred solution to flooding in Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads, it is realized there are downstream implications that involve other stakeholders. The City of Phoenix has an interest in the flows of Rawhide Wash as it relates to property west of Scottsdale Road. It should be noted that any work in connection with Rawhide Wash is considered part of a "regional solution", requiring the cooperation of the cities of Scottsdale and Phoenix, as well as the Arizona State Land Department.

X. Municipal Site

In order to create a more vibrant neighborhood that encourages human interaction, and recognizing the potential need for a public library and other potential municipal uses in the general area, RHVT has agreed to provide a 3.24 acre site for a library and 1.24 acres for a fire station. Permitted municipal uses and the City's ability to use this site for such uses are set forth in the P-C District documents filed as part of this application. RHVT believes a public library can play an integral role in the Project, serving as a very useful amenity.

XI. Market Feasibility

RHVT engaged Cornerstone Consulting Company ("Cornerstone"), Cushman Wakefield Real Estate ("Cushman") and Eisenberg Company ("Eisenberg") to perform a market assessment and to conduct the appropriate research to confirm whether or not the Project's proposed product types would be successful within the proposed Project. Cornerstone conducted detailed research regarding the retail and residential components of the Project. Cushman investigated the office component of the Project. Eisenberg provided additional research on the retail component of the Project. Eisenberg's, Cornerstone's and Cushman's research indicated that Silverstone offers a strong development opportunity for all the proposed uses within the Project that is appropriate for this location within the North Scottsdale submarket.

XII. General Plan Conformity

A companion Major General Plan Amendment application has been filed in conjunction with this application. An exhaustive review of the appropriateness of changing the Property's current land use designation from Cultural/Institutional to Mixed-Use is contained therein.

It is generally recognized by the City that the existing General Plan and zoning designations were placed retroactively on the Property as the Rawhide western theme park use pre-dated the City's planning, and was annexed into the City from the County.

Given the size, location and relationship of the Property in the region, coupled with the City's planning policies and guidelines governing the area, the Mixed-Use land use designation is the most appropriate, as it implements many of the values, visions, goals and policies contained in the General Plan. Correspondingly, the P-C district and the underlying zoning districts contemplated in this request best suit redevelopment of the Property by providing uses and densities appropriate for the area. Silverstone will create a sense of place where the concept of "live-work-play" can become a reality. The goals will not only be realized, they will be exceeded by providing the high quality of life only a Scottsdale address can offer.

In a letter from the City dated June 3, 2005, Project Coordination Manager Tim Curtis confirmed that the proposed change in the General Plan land use designation is appropriate. In addition, at the September 28, 2005 Planning Commission hearing, the General Plan change request received a unanimous (7-0) recommendation for approval.

XIII. Project Justification

The (P-C) Planned Community District zoning district is intended to guide development and to ensure the entire Project's overall cohesiveness according to a specific development plan versus an undesirable development plan consisting of fragmented free-standing uses that provide no sense of community. The P-C district proposed for Silverstone enhances the physical, social and economic value of the area by providing appropriate land uses in accordance with modern land planning principles and development techniques.

In terms of the land area of the Project, this request is the smallest P-C district permitted by City Ordinance (160 acres). Customarily, P-C districts include greater land area and may include a broader diversity of land uses. Like the "Stacked 40's" project previously approved by the City, Silverstone integrates employment, residential and commercial uses. However, Silverstone does so in a much less-intense environment. Additionally, the residential senior living component provides a multi-generational experience not otherwise deliberately planned in the City.

The proposed rezoning will change the existing land use designation from (W-P) Western Theme Park to (P-C) Planned Community District with underlying (C-2) Central Business District, (C-O) Commercial Office and (R-5) Multiple-Family Residential District development standards and uses. This change together with the proposed amended development standards within each relative zoning district is appropriate for the Property given the following:

1. The uses are consistent and compatible with adjacent and surrounding land uses.

2. The request conforms to the City of Scottsdale General Plan [conformance assumes approval of current application to amend the General Plan].
3. The Project demonstrates that its uses are appropriate and in context with the area, and that it will sustain desirability and stability in harmony with the character of the surrounding area.
4. The proposed Project will serve to help preserve meaningful Open Space, implementation of the Scenic Corridor and provide a regional drainage solution using the Rawhide Wash alignment.
5. The uses provide quality employment, economic and social benefit to the City.

XIV. Summary

This request to rezone the Property from (W-P) Western Theme Park to (P-C) Planned Community District with underlying (C-2) Central Business District, (C-O) Commercial Office and (R-5) Multiple-Family Residential District and amended development standards and uses to facilitate the development of a mixed use community is appropriate and merits strong support from the City of Scottsdale. The opportunity to master plan approximately 160 gross acres of property within the City ensures that adequate infrastructure, design character, land uses and amenities ultimately occupy this prominent intersection of Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads. Uses proposed for Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak include neighborhood retail, office and multi-generational residential. RHVT's proposal for Silverstone includes a mix of activities (uses) that promotes the opportunity for a true "live-work-play" intergenerational environment. The master plan features pedestrian linkages using paths and trails with spaced shade structures to promote alternatives to driving; planned open spaces designed in a park-like setting; and creation of the Scenic Corridor along the Project's Scottsdale Road frontage. Silverstone's goal is to create a "sense of place" that encourages pedestrian activities and human interaction.

The Project master plan provides harmonious buffers to the small amount of existing nearby residential, and provides the proper co-existence in context to all other uses contiguous with the Property. The intersection of Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads is anticipated by the City to be an Activity Center with commercial land uses on all four corners of that intersection. The residential density proposed in this request is less than the maximum allowed by Ordinance and is appropriate given the commercial and employment land uses concentrated at those intersections. Based on the mix of land uses existing or anticipated in the vicinity of the Property, it is incumbent that the residential component of the Project be appropriately planned and developed to ensure a sense of place and a high quality of life.

Given the location of the Property within the lower desert land form but not within the ESL overlay, careful composition of design, architecture, landscaping and site planning is intended to announce the gateway to Pinnacle Peak while respecting and integrating the natural desert environment and character into its fabric.

In conclusion, RHVT believes Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak demonstrates that the proposed uses for the Property are appropriate for the area, and that it will sustain desirability and stability in harmony with the character of the surrounding area.

WOOD/PATEL



CIVIL ENGINEERS • HYDROLOGISTS • LAND SURVEYORS • CONSTRUCTION MANAGERS

NOV 10 2005

Darrel E. Wood, P.E., R.L.S.
Ashok C. Patel, P.E., R.L.S., CFM
Gordon W. R. Wark, P.E.
James S. Campbell, P.E.
Thomas R. Gettings, R.L.S.
Timothy A. Huval, P.E.
Michael T. Young, P.E.
Peter Hemingway, P.E.
Jeffrey R. Minch, P.E.
Robert D. Gofonia, P.E., R.L.S.

November 8, 2005

Mr. Mike Pacheco
RHVT Limited Partnership
1550 East Missouri Avenue
Suite 300
Phoenix, AZ 85011

Phone: (602) 230-1051
Fax: (602) 230-2826
Email: mpacheco@vtaig.com

Re: Silverstone
Regional Drainage Solution Statement
WP#042309.02

Dear Mr. Pacheco:

This letter serves to clarify the current goal of Silverstone with regard to regional drainage solutions for Rawhide Wash.

As proposed, Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak ("Silverstone") includes participation by the developer in a *regional drainage solution* for Rawhide Wash as it traverses the northwestern portion of the project. Additionally, in order for the Silverstone development to occur, the scope of this project would also require that the developer improve Pinnacle Peak Road including a bridge-type structure to accommodate a 100-year dry crossing of Rawhide Wash under a newly constructed Pinnacle Peak Road. The City's and developer's goal with this segment of Rawhide Wash is to solve significant regional flooding problems that occur on Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads, as well as to serve as a public amenity, with passive recreational uses and an extension of the contiguous 100 foot wide Scenic Corridor that runs along Scottsdale Road. This can be achieved with a "natural" (instead of a concrete lined channel) drainage corridor of approximately 330 feet in width. Furthermore, this drainage corridor will be "benched", meaning it will contain a low flow channel within the main channel to convey rainfall events that occur more regularly. This tried and true approach is beneficial because it only exposes a small portion of the drainage corridor to routine flood events. The overall drainage corridor would be designed to the City's engineering standards to convey Rawhide Wash's estimated 100-year rainfall/runoff event of 10,990 cubic feet per second. Landscaping placed within the wash corridor would consist of plant materials that are native to the Sonoran Desert, with the actual placement of the plantings designed to resist or withstand rainfall events. Based on our extensive experience in desert wash design, particularly in north Scottsdale, we are confident that the proposed Silverstone Wash improvements will be an excellent amenity that will not "wash away with the first rains".

Sincerely,

WOOD, PATEL & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Darrel E. Wood, P.E., R.L.S.
Principal



DEW/ac

Y:\WP\General Correspondence\042309.02 Silverstone_Regional Drainage Statement.doc

Silverstone
Residential Health Care Facility
Case No. 13-UP-2005

Use Permit Narrative
Updated 10/17/05

The (R-5) Multiple-Family Residential District is intended to allow multiple-family development in a residential character that promotes a high-quality environment through property development standards that are aesthetically-oriented. Uses permitted by conditional use permit in the R-5 district include but are not limited to, public buildings, private schools, hotels, motels, orphanages, day care centers and residential health care facilities.

This request is for a conditional use permit to allow development of a residential health care facility located in the Silverstone community. Silverstone, a master-planned, multi-generational community, is the ideal environment for a residential health care facility based on the four (4) standards enumerated by the Ordinance in determining the appropriateness of a conditional use. Specifically, the standards for a residential health care are:

1. Article I, Sec. 1.100 – the promotion and protection of the public health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Scottsdale and to provide the social, physical and economic advantages from the comprehensive and orderly use of land resources.
2. Article I, Sec. 1.401(A) – that the granting of such conditional use permit not be *materially* detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare considering factors including but not limited to:
 - Damage or nuisance arising from noise, smoke, odor, dust, vibration or illumination.
 - Impact on the surrounding areas resulting from an unusual volume or character of traffic.
3. Article I, Sec. 1.401(B) – that the characteristics of the proposed conditional use are *reasonably* compatible with the types of uses in the surrounding area.

**15-ZN-2005 &
13-UP-2005**

4. Article I, Sec. 1.401(O) – that the site development conditions of this section be complied with.

The proposed residential health care facility in Silverstone is consistent with maintaining the public health, safety and welfare. A cursory review of the City's records regarding use permit revocation actions and calls for emergency services indicate that residential health care facilities are one of the safest and community compatible-conditional uses. The social, physical and economic advantages to the City and its citizenry associated with the residential health care facility are myriad. A phenomenon associated with caring for an aging population is known as Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities (NORC). In the context of the Silverstone development, the proposed residential health care facility provides the resources and support needed to "age in place"; the social benefit of which is self evident.

The proposed facility is residential in nature. Therefore, the damage or nuisance arising from smoke, odor, dust, vibration or illumination is anticipated to be imperceptible if not non-existent based on a review of the Classic Residence by Hyatt and The Plaza Companies at Grayhawk. The Silverstone residential health care facility is currently planned to be developed by The Plaza Companies, the same company that developed the Classic Residence at Grayhawk at Grayhawk. No manufacturing, fabricating, incinerating or other activities occur will on the property. The only reasonably anticipated smoke from the use would be the same as any other use in R-5, such as smoke from a bar-b-que grill. As a mature, residential community, factors like noise from amplified music and vibration from automobile repair (both common in multifamily developments) will actually be less prevalent than would be reasonably expected in uses permitted by right in the R-5 zoning district.

It is not surprising that a residential health care facility generates less traffic than a comparable R-5 multi family development of equal density. At the same time, attentive care provided at the facility actually reduces emergency services calls as compared to other types of development permitted by right in the R-5 district.

The facility has been specifically located on the southern edge of the Silverstone project in the interior of the development and located across Williams Road (a Major Collector) from existing Highway Commercial (C-

3) and Industrial Park (I-1) uses – the proposed conditional use is compatible with existing development.

Having satisfied the Ordinance criteria for a conditional uses in general, this request further complies with the specific site development standards enumerated in Article I, Sec. 1.401 (O). The gross lot area shall be greater than one (1) acre. The total number of beds will not exceed 80 per gross acre of land. A minimum of twenty-four (24) percent of the net lot area will be provided as meaningful open space. The site plan shall be designed with both underground parking for staff, visitors and residences and surface parking to provide convenient pedestrian access.

In conclusion, based on the four (4) standards on which the City relies to judge the appropriateness of residential health care facilities: public health and social benefit; the absence of materially detrimental factors like noise, smoke, odor, dust, etc.; reasonable compatibility of the use with the surrounding properties; and compliance with site development standards, the proposed residential health care facility is an appropriate land use.

Amended Development Standards

15-ZN-2005

Revisions are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and ~~strikethrough~~.

Sec. 5.2100. (P-C) PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT.*

Sec. 5.2101. Purpose.

This is a zoning district that may be developed only in accordance with a specific development plan. The approved development plan is an integral part of this zoning district and all development shall comply with said plan. The planned community district is designed and intended to enable and encourage the development of large tracts of land which are under unified ownership or control, or lands which by reason of existing or planned land uses are appropriate for development under this section, so as to achieve land development patterns which will maintain and enhance the physical, social and economic values of an area.

To this end, there may be provided within such areas a combination of land uses, including a variety of residential types, commercial, industrial, public and semi-public areas, arranged and designed in accordance with modern land planning principles and development techniques; and in such a manner as to be properly related to each other, the surrounding community, the planned thoroughfare system, and other public facilities such as water and sewer systems, parks, schools and utilities.

The planned community district and procedure are further established to provide a land developer with reasonable assurance that specific uses proposed from time to time, if in accordance with an approved development plan, will be acceptable to the city; and to provide the City Planning Commission and the City Council with a long-term proposal for the development of a given area.

(Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Sec. 5.2102. General provisions.

- A. *Qualifications.* P-C districts may be established on parcels of land which, because of their unified ownership or control, size, topography, proximity to large public facilities, or exceptional or unusual locational advantages, are suitable for planned development in a manner consistent with the purposes of this section.
- B. *Minimum property size.* No P-C district shall be established on any parcel of less than ten (10) acres of gross land area in designated redevelopment areas; and on any other parcel outside of any designated redevelopment areas of less than one hundred sixty (160) acres of gross land area.
- C. *Property development standards.* All land uses in a P-C district shall conform to the property development standards of the comparable zoning district. Modification of the comparable district's standards may be allowed as provided in the modification procedure below. The planning director shall determine, primarily on the basis of proposed use and density, which of the districts of this ordinance is most closely comparable to the proposed development.

Property development standards modification procedure. Application shall be made and the procedure followed as provided in section 1.300, development applications; with the addition that an application for proposed amendments to development standards in a designated redevelopment area shall first be heard by the development review boards, section 1.900. The application shall be accompanied by written terminology, graphic material, and will illustrate the conditions that the modified standards will produce, so as to enable the Planning Commission and the City Council to make the determination that the modification

**15-ZN-2005 &
13-UP-2005**

ATTACHMENT #1B

will produce a living environment, landscape quality and life-style superior to that produced by existing standards.

- D. *Approvals required.* No structure or building shall be built or remodeled upon land in the P-C district until Development Review [Board] approval has been obtained as outlined in article I, section 1.900 hereof except residential development in a P-C district which is keyed to single-family residential (R-1) zoning districts shall not be subject to Development Review [Board] approval.
- E. All provisions of this ordinance shall apply to development in the P-C district except as allowed in the immediately preceding paragraphs C. and D. Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Sec. 5.2103. Development plan.

The rezoning application shall be accompanied by a development plan which shall consist of:

- A. A map or maps drawn to a suitable scale, showing at least the following:
 - 1. The boundary of the proposed district.
 - 2. The topographic character of the land.
 - 3. Drainage accommodations.
 - 4. Accommodations for all utilities.
 - 5. Any major regrading intended.
 - 6. The proposed uses of the land, keyed to the comparable existing zoning districts.
 - 7. The approximate location of all public streets.
 - 8. Location of public uses proposed, such as schools, parks, playgrounds, trails or other recreational facilities.
 - 9. The approximate location and configuration of different types or densities of dwelling units.

When appropriate, said plan shall include recommendations as to desirable or compatible uses in the areas surrounding said development.

Within the P-C district development units may be established of any size whatever but shall be logical in size and shape and shall function by themselves and in relationship to other development units within the district or adjacent property.
- B. A development program including:
 - (1) A legal description of the district boundary.
 - (2) Size of the area.
 - (3) The overall density proposed.
 - (4) The nature of development proposed.
 - (5) The disposition of lands proposed for public facilities.
 - (6) The anticipated timing for each unit of the district proposed to be developed separately.
 - (7) The delineation of development units which shall be integral units planned for development at different stages.
 - (8) The approximate size, in acres, of each development unit.
- C. All proposed restrictive covenants.
- D. All conditions agreed to by the applicant which are not included in the written documentation required under subsections A., B. and C. of this section are part of the development plan.

E. An approved development plan shall be kept on file in the Planning and Development Department.
(Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Sec. 5.2104. Additional material.

Additional material and information shall be provided for specific types of uses as follows:

- A. Wherever residential development is proposed within a P-C district, the development plan shall contain at least the following information:
 - 1. The approximate number of dwelling units proposed by type of dwelling and the density, i.e., the number of dwelling units proposed per gross acre for each type of use.
 - 2. The standards of height, open space, building coverage, yard area, parking facilities and the kinds of street and land improvements proposed.
- B. For P-C districts or sections thereof for which commercial development is proposed, the development plan shall contain at least the following information:
 - 1. The approximate retail sales floor area and total area proposed for commercial development.
 - 2. The types of uses proposed to be included in the development, which uses to be consistent with comparable zoning district.
 - 3. The standards of height, open space, buffering, landscaping, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, off-street parking and loading signs, and nuisance controls intended for the development.
- C. For P-C districts or sections thereof for which industrial development is proposed, the development plan shall contain at least the following information:
 - 1. The approximate total area proposed for such use.
 - 2. The types of uses proposed to be included in the development. (Generally those industrial, office, laboratory and manufacturing uses shall be allowed which do not create any danger to health and safety in surrounding areas and which do not create any offensive noise, vibration, smoke, dust, odor, heat or glare and which by reason of high value in relation to size and weight of merchandise received and shipped, generate a minimum of truck traffic.)
 - 3. The anticipated employment in the entire development and in each major section thereof. This may be stated as a range.
 - 4. The standards of height, open space, buffering, landscaping, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, off-street parking and loading, signs, and nuisance controls intended for the development.
- D. For P-C districts or units thereof containing institutional, recreational or other public or quasi-public development, the development plan shall contain the following information:
 - 1. General types of uses proposed in the entire development and each major section thereof.
 - 2. Significant applicable information with respect to enrollment, residence employment, attendance, or other social or economic characteristics of development.

3. The standards of height, open space, buffering, landscaping, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, off-street parking and loading, and signs intended for the development.

(Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Sec. 5.2105. Findings required.

Before approval or modified approval of an application for a proposed P-C district, the Planning Commission and the City Council must find:

- A. That the development proposed is in substantial harmony with the General Plan of the City of Scottsdale, and can be coordinated with existing and planned development of surrounding areas.
- B. That the streets and thoroughfares proposed are suitable and adequate to serve the proposed uses and the anticipated traffic which will be generated thereby.
- C. The Planning Commission and City Council shall further find that the facts submitted with the application and presented at the hearing establish beyond reasonable doubt that:
 1. In the case of proposed residential development, that such development will constitute a residential environment of sustained desirability and stability; that it will be in harmony with the character of the surrounding area; and that the sites proposed for public facilities, such as schools, playgrounds and parks, are adequate to serve the anticipated population. The Planning Commission and City Council shall be presented written acknowledgment of this from the appropriate school district, the Scottsdale Parks and Recreation Commission and any other responsible agency.
 2. In the case of proposed industrial or research uses, that such development will be appropriate in area, location and overall planning to the purpose intended; and that the design and development standards are such as to create an industrial environment of sustained desirability and stability.
 3. In the case of proposed commercial, institutional, recreational and other non-residential uses, that such development will be appropriate in area, location and overall planning to the purpose intended; and that such development will be in harmony with the character of the surrounding areas.
- ~~D. Private and charter school having no room regularly used for housing or sleeping overnight. Subject to Development Review Board approval and compliance with the following standards, as well as those otherwise required in the district:~~
 - ~~(1) Location: All proposed private and charter schools shall be located a minimum of one thousand (1,000) feet from any adult use, bar, cocktail lounge, liquor store, turkish bath, or pool hall.~~
 - ~~(2) Lot area: The minimum lot area shall be equal to that required for the district, except that no lot shall be less than forty three thousand (43,000) square feet (net).~~
 - ~~(3) There shall be no outside speaker system or bells, if the school building is within one hundred (100) feet of a single family dwelling or multifamily dwelling unit.~~
 - ~~(4) Open space: Per underlying zoning district open space requirements. All NAOS requirements of the district must be met and may be applied~~

towards the overall open space requirements subject to compliance with NAQS standards.

- (5) ~~Parking: Parking shall observe the front yard setbacks of the district for all frontages. One third (1/3) of the required parking may be shared parking with other establishments present on site. Parking shall be located and screened per the requirements of the district.~~
- (6) ~~Outdoor recreation area: All outdoor playgrounds and recreation areas shall be entirely enclosed by a minimum three-foot high fence and/or wall and shall be located within the side or rear yard. Any playground or outdoor recreation area shall be located a minimum of fifty (50) feet from any residential district and screened by a minimum six-foot high wall~~
- (7) ~~Drop-off area: A drop-off area accommodating a minimum of five (5) vehicles shall be located along a sidewalk or landing area connected to the main entrance to the school. This area shall not include internal site traffic aisles, parking spaces, fire lanes, etc.~~
- (8) ~~Any public trails or pedestrian connections shall be incorporated into the site plan and approved by the Development Review Board.~~

(Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Revisions are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and ~~strikethrough~~.

Sec. 5.1000. (R-5) MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT.

Sec. 5.1001. Purpose.

This district is intended to provide for development of multiple-family residential and allows a high density of population with a proportional increase in amenities as the density rises. The district is basically residential in character and promotes a high quality environment through aesthetically oriented property development standards.

Sec. 5.1002. Approvals required.

No structure or building shall be built or remodeled upon land in the R-5 district until Development Review [Board] approval has been obtained as outlined in article I, section 1.900 hereof.

(Ord. No. 3225, § 1, 5-4-99)

Sec. 5.1003. Use regulations.

- A. *Permitted uses.* Buildings, structures or premises shall be used and buildings and structures shall hereafter be erected, altered or enlarged only for the following uses:

1. Accessory buildings; swimming pool, private; home occupations; and other accessory uses.
2. Boardinghouse or lodging house.
3. Day care home.
4. Dwelling, single-family detached.
5. Dwelling, multiple family.
6. Municipal uses, **AND MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS. (MUNICIPAL USES AND MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS, (EXCEPT FOR UTILITY BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES OR APPURTENANCES THERETO FOR PUBLIC UTILITY USES, AND STAND ALONE PARKING LOTS,) SHALL BE EXCEPT FROM SECTION 1.500, SECTION 1.501, AND SECTION 1.502.)**
- 6.1. Wireless communications facilities; Types 1, 2, and 3, subject to the requirements of Sections 1.906, 3.100 and 7.200.
- ~~7. School: Public, elementary and high.~~
- ~~8-7.~~ Temporary buildings for uses incidental to construction work, which buildings shall be removed upon the completion or abandonment of construction work.
- ~~9-8.~~ Temporary sales office buildings and model homes.
- ~~10-9~~ Churches and places of worship.

- C. *Uses permitted by conditional use permit.*

1. Commercial and/or ham transmitting or receiving radio and television antennas in excess of seventy (70) feet.
2. Recreational uses (see section 1.403 for specific uses and development criteria for each).
3. Community buildings or recreational fields not publicly owned. Convent.
4. Convent.
5. Day care center (see section 1.403 for criteria).

6. Golf course (except miniature course or practice driving tee operated for commercial purposes), including clubhouse and service facilities which are intended to primarily serve golf course uses and are so located within the golf course that the development is self-contained and would provide whatever degree of buffer is necessary to adjacent property.
7. Hotel, motel, and timeshare project of not less than ten (10) units and commercial uses appurtenant thereto, such as restaurant, cocktail lounges, gift shops, newsstand, smoke shops, barbershops, beauty parlors and small retail shops, provided the entrance of such use shall be from the interior of the building, lobby, arcade or interior patio.
8. ~~Orphanage.~~
- 9-8. Wireless communications facilities; Type 4, subject to requirements of sections 1.400, 3.100 and 7.200.
10. ~~Plant nursery; provided, however, that all materials (other than plant materials) shall be screened from view by a solid fence or wall at least six (6) feet in height, and further that a completely enclosed building having a minimum floor area of five hundred (500) square feet shall be provided.~~
- 11.10. Private club, fraternity, sorority and lodges.
- 12.11. Private lake, semi-public lake, swimming pools, tennis courts.
13. ~~Private or charter school having no room regularly used for housing or sleeping overnight. Subject to Development Review Board approval and compliance with the following standards, as well as those otherwise required in the district.~~
 - a. ~~Lot area: The minimum lot area shall be equal to that required for the district, except that no lot shall be less than forty three thousand (43,000) square feet (net).~~
 - b. ~~Floor area ratio: In no case shall the gross floor area of the structure(s) exceed an amount equal to two tenths (0.2) multiplied by the net lot area.~~
 - c. ~~There shall be no outside speaker system or bells, if the school building is within one hundred (100) feet of a single family dwelling or multifamily dwelling unit.~~
 - d. ~~Open space: In no case shall the open space be less than twenty-four (24) percent of the total lot area for zero (0) to twenty (20) feet of total building height, plus four tenths (0.4) percent of the total site for each foot of height above twenty (20) feet. All NAOS requirements of the district must be met and may be applied towards the overall open space requirements subject to compliance with NAOS standards.~~
 - e. ~~Parking: Parking shall be allowed in the front yard setbacks of the district for schools on streets classified by the Scottsdale General Plan as minor collector or greater. There shall be a three-foot high landscaped berm or wall along the street frontage where parking occurs. On all other street classifications, parking shall be located behind the established front building line(s). A minimum of fifteen (15) percent of all parking areas shall be landscaped. A twenty-foot minimum landscaped setback shall be provided where parking is adjacent to residential districts.~~
 - f. ~~Lighting: All pole mounted lighting shall be directed down and shielded and shall be a maximum of sixteen (16) feet in height. All lighting adjacent to residential districts shall be setback a minimum of thirty (30) feet from the property line. All lighting, other than security, shall be turned off by 10:00 p.m., unless otherwise approved through a special event permit.~~
 - g. ~~Screening: There shall be a minimum six-foot high masonry wall and/or landscape screen, as approved by the Development Review~~

~~Board, on the side and rear property lines adjacent to residential districts.~~

- ~~h. Access: All private and charter schools shall have frontage on a street classified by the Scottsdale General Plan as a minor collector or greater. Side street access to a local collector residential street is prohibited when the number of students allowed to attend the school is greater than two hundred fifty (250). A drop-off area shall be provided that accommodates a minimum of five (5) cars at one (1) time.~~
- ~~i. Operations: No outdoor activities shall be permitted after 8:00 p.m. unless otherwise approved through a special event permit. No playground or outdoor activity area shall be located within fifty (50) feet of any R1 district or within twenty-five (25) feet of any R2, R3, R4, R4-R, R5 or M-H district. All playgrounds and outdoor activity areas shall be screened from any residential district by a minimum six-foot high screen wall.~~
- ~~j. Building design: All buildings shall be designed to be compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhood. All building elevations shall be approved by the Development Review Board.~~

4412. Public buildings other than hospitals.

4513. Public utility buildings, structures or appurtenances thereto for public service uses.

4614. Residential health care facility (see section 1.403 for criteria).

Sec. 5.1004. Property development standards.

The following property development standards shall apply to all land and buildings in the R-5 district.

A. *Minimum property size.*

1. Each parcel or lot within a development shall be a minimum net lot size of thirty-five thousand (35,000) square feet.
2. If an R-5 zoned parcel of land or a lot of record in separate ownership has an area of less than thirty-five thousand (35,000) square feet and has been lawfully established and recorded prior to the adoption of this requirement on October 2, 1979, such lot may be used for any purpose permitted in this section, subject to all other requirements of this ordinance.

B. *Open space requirements*

1. Main land uses that are density-based shall provide open space in the amounts specified in the density chart--Section 5.1004.D, in the following proportions:
 - a. A minimum of ~~one-half~~ **ONE-THIRD** of the open space requirement shall be incorporated as frontage open space **ADJACENT TO THE INTERNAL STREETS (INTERNAL STREETS SHALL NOT INCLUDE THE WILLIAMS ROAD, SCOTTSDALE ROAD, MILLER ROAD AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD ALIGNMENTS)** to provide a setting for the building, visual continuity within the community, and a variety of spaces in the streetscape, except that the frontage open space shall not be required to exceed fifty (50) square feet per one (1) foot of ~~public-street~~ frontage and shall not be less than twenty (20) square feet per one (1) foot of public street frontage.

- b. A private outdoor living space shall be provided adjoining each dwelling unit equal to a minimum of ten (10) percent of the gross size of the dwelling unit, except that dwelling units above the first story shall provide such space equal to a minimum of five (5) percent of the gross size of the dwelling unit.
 - e. ~~The remainder of the required open space shall be provided in common open space.~~
 - C. **THE REMAINDER OF THE REQUIRED OPEN SPACE SHALL BE PROVIDED AS COMMON OPEN SPACE WITH IN A PROPERTY, OR IT MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT (PCD) THROUGH AN OPEN SPACE PLAN APPROVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD.**
2. Main land uses that are not density-based shall provide a minimum of twenty-four (24) percent of the net lot area in open space, a minimum of ~~one-half~~ **ONE-THIRD** of which shall be in frontage open space **ADJACENT TO THE INTERNAL STREETS (INTERNAL STREETS SHALL NOT INCLUDE THE WILLIAMS ROAD, SCOTTSDALE ROAD, MILLER ROAD AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD ALIGNMENTS). THE REMAINDER OF THE REQUIRED OPEN SPACE SHALL BE PROVIDED AS COMMON OPEN SPACE WITH IN A PROPERTY, OR IT MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT (PCD) THROUGH AN OPEN SPACE PLAN APPROVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD.**
 3. Open space required under this section shall be exclusive of parking lot landscaping required under the provisions of article IX of this ordinance.

C. *Building height.*

1. ~~No building shall exceed thirty-six (36) feet in height~~ **THE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT OF ANY BUILDING SHALL COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING HEIGHT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED BUILDING HEIGHT PLAN,** except as otherwise provided in article VII.
2. Building height shall not exceed one (1) story within fifty (50) feet of any R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-4R or M-H district boundary line.

- D. *Density requirements.* Compliance with the standards under columns 3 and 4 determine allowable density for dwelling and guest units.

TABLE INSET:

ALLOWABLE DENSITY		STANDARDS	
1	2	3	4
Dwelling Units Per Acre (and corresponding gross land area per unit requirement)	Timeshare or Guest Units Per Acre (and corresponding gross land area per unit requirement)	Minimum Percentage of Net Lot Area to be maintained in Open Space	Minimum Percentage of the Tree Requirement to be provided in Mature Trees

17 (2562) or less	24 (1816) or less	22	40
18 (2422)	25.5 (1708)	25	50
19 (2292)	27 (1613)	28	60
20 (2180)	28.5 (1528)	31	70
21 (2074)	30 (1452)	34	80
22 (1980)	31.5 (1382)	37	90
23 (1890)	33 (1320)	40	100

E. *Building setback.*

1. Wherever an R-5 development abuts an R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-4R or M-H district or an alley abutting any of those districts, a yard of not less than fifteen (15) feet shall be maintained.
2. Wherever an R-5 development abuts any district other than R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-4R or M-H, or abuts an alley adjacent to such other district, a building may be constructed on the property line. However, if any yard is to be maintained, it shall be not less than ten (10) feet in depth. Larger yards may be required by the Development Review Board or City Council if the existing or future development of the area around the site warrants such larger yards.

F. *Distance between buildings.*

1. There shall not be less than ten (10) feet between an accessory building and a main building or between two (2) main buildings, except that an accessory building with two (2) or more open sides, one of which is adjacent to the main building, may be built to within six (6) feet of the main building.

G. *Walls, fences and required screening.*

1. Walls, fences and hedges not to exceed eight (8) feet in height shall be permitted on the property line or within the required yard areas, except within the required frontage open spaces, within which they may not exceed three (3) feet in height, or except as otherwise provided in article VII.
2. **WALLS, AND FENCES SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED WITHIN THE SCOTTSDALE ROAD SCENIC CORRIDOR, WITHIN THE LANDSCAPE BUFFERS ADJACENT WILLIAMS ROAD, MILLER ROAD AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD, OR WITHIN THE REQUIRED FRONT OPEN SPACE ADJACENT TO THE INTERNAL STREETS.**
- 2-3. All parking areas adjacent to a public street shall be screened with a wall to a height of three (3) feet above the parking surface.
- 3-4. All mechanical structures, and appurtenances shall be screened as approved by the Development Review Board.

4-5. All storage and refuse areas shall be screened as determined by Development Review [Board].

- H. Access. All lots shall have frontage on and have vehicular access from a dedicated street, unless a secondary means of permanent vehicle access has been approved by the Development Review Board.

Sec. 5.1005. Off-street parking.

The provisions of article IX shall apply.

Sec. 5.1006. Signs.

The provisions of article VIII shall apply.

Revisions are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and strikethrough.

Sec. 5.1400. (C-2) CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT.

Sec. 5.1401. Purpose.

This district is intended to permit all uses permitted in the (C-1) neighborhood commercial district, plus commercial activities designed to serve the community. This district includes uses usually associated with the central business district and shopping facilities which are not ordinarily compatible with residential development.

Sec. 5.1402. Approvals required.

No structure or building shall be built or remodeled upon land in the C-2 district until Development Review [Board] approval has been obtained as outlined in article I, section 1.900 hereof.

(Ord. No. 3225, § 1, 5-4-99)

Sec. 5.1403. Use regulations.

- A. *Uses permitted.* Buildings, structures, or premises shall be used and buildings and structures shall hereafter be erected, altered or enlarged only for the following uses:

1. Business and professional services.

- a. Business and professional offices.
- b. Business schools.
- c. Hospital for animals including boarding and lodging provided that there are no open kennels maintained and provided all activities will be conducted in soundproof buildings.
- d. Medical or dental offices including laboratory.
- e. Museum.
- f. Optician.
- g. Municipal uses.
- ~~h. Private and charter school having no room regularly used for housing or sleeping overnight. Subject to Development Review Board approval and compliance with standards including, but not limited to, the following as well as those otherwise required in the district.~~
 - ~~(1) Location: All proposed private and charter schools shall be located a minimum of five hundred (500) feet from any adult use.~~
 - ~~(2) Lot area: The minimum lot area shall be equal to that required for the district, except that no lot shall be less than forty three thousand (43,000) square feet (net).~~
 - ~~(3) There shall be no outside speaker system or bells, if the school building is within one hundred (100) feet of a single family dwelling or multifamily dwelling unit.~~
 - ~~(4) Open space: Per underlying zoning district open space requirements. All NAOS requirements of the district must be met and may be applied towards the overall open space requirement subject to compliance with NAOS standards.~~
 - ~~(5) Parking: Parking shall observe the front yard setbacks of the district for all frontages. One third (1/3) of the required parking may be shared parking with other establishments present on site. Parking shall be located and screened per the requirements of the district.~~

- (6) ~~Outdoor recreation area: All outdoor playgrounds and recreation areas shall be enclosed by a wall or fence sufficient in height to protect the safety and welfare of the students and shall be located within the side or rear yard. Any playground or outdoor recreation area shall be located a minimum of fifty (50) feet from any residential district and screened by a minimum six foot high wall.~~
- (7) ~~Drop-off area: A drop-off area accommodating a minimum of five (5) vehicles shall be located along a sidewalk or landing area connected to the main entrance to the school. This area shall not include internal site traffic aisles, parking spaces, fire lanes, etc.~~
- (8) ~~Any public trails or pedestrian connections shall be incorporated into the site plan and approved by the Development Review Board.~~
- (9) ~~Circulation plan: The applicant shall submit a circulation plan to insure minimal conflicts between the student drop-off area, potential van and bus drop-off area, parking, access driveways, pedestrian and bicycle paths on site.~~
- i. Studio for professional work or teaching of any form of commercial or fine arts.

2. Residential.

- a. Dwelling units physically integrated with commercial establishments ~~(limited to one (1) dwelling unit for each business establishment).~~

3. Retail sales.

- a. Antique store.
- b. Appliance store.
- c. Art gallery.
- d. Automobile parts store.
- e. Bakery.
- f. Bicycle store.
- g. ~~Big box. Any single retail space (limited to permitted retail uses in this C-2 district) with a building footprint of equal to or greater than seventy-five thousand (75,000) square feet, if:~~

~~(1) Primary access is not on a local collector* street; and~~

Note: *At the request of the city the term residential has been changed to collector in this subsection.

~~(2) Residential zoned property is not located within one thousand three hundred (1,300) feet of the Big box property line (except residential zoned properties separated from the Big box by the Pima Freeway or developed with non-residential uses).~~

~~However, big box is not permitted in the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Supplementary District.
Also See Sections 1.403 and 5.1403.B.~~

- h. Bookstore.
- i. Camera store.
- j. Candy store.
- k. Carpet and floor covering store.
- l. Clothing store.

- m. Craft shop conducted in conjunction with retail business which may include ceramics, mosaics, fabrics, jewelry, leather goods, silk screening, dress designing, sculpturing and wood carving.
- n. Department store.
- o. Drugstore.
- p. Electronic equipment store.
- q. Fabric store.
- r. Florist.
- s. Furniture store.
- t. Gift shop.
- u. Grocery store or supermarket, **LIMITED TO A BUILDING FOOTPRINT OF EQUAL TO OR LESS THAN FIFTY THOUSAND (50,000) SQUARE FEET.**
- ~~v. Gun shop.~~
- w. Hardware store.
- x. Hobby or toy store.
- y. Home improvement store.
- z. Ice cream store.
- aa. Import store.
- bb. Liquor store.
- cc. Music store.
- ~~dd. Pawnshop.~~
- ee. Pet shop.
- ff. Restaurants, ~~excluding~~ **INCLUDING** drive-in or drive-through types.
- gg. Sporting goods store.
- hh. Stationery store.
- ii. Swimming pool supply store.
- jj. Variety store.
- kk. Restaurant with associated microbrewery where brewed beer is consumed only on-premises and brewery occupies no more than fifteen (15) percent of the floor area of the establishment.

4. Services.

- a. Appliance repair.
- b. Bank.
- c. Barber or beauty shop.
- ~~d. Bowling alley.~~
- e. Broadcasting station and studio, radio or television excluding transmitting or receiving towers.
- f. Clothes cleaning agencies and laundromats excluding industrial cleaning and dyeing plants.
- g. Fitness studio.
- h. Hotel, motel, and timeshare project.
- i. Movie theater (indoor only).
- j. Post office.
- k. Printing, lithography, publishing or photostating establishment.
- ~~l. Fraternities and sororities.~~
- m. Shoe repair.
- ~~n. Taxidermist.~~
- o. Telephone answering service.
- p. **DAY SPA OR HEALTH STUDIO, LIMITED TO A BUILDING FOOTPRINT OF EQUAL TO OR LESS THAN FIFTY THOUSAND (50,000) SQUARE FEET.** ~~Turkish bath that may include masseur and/or masseuse.~~

5. Other uses.

- a. Accessory buildings.
- b. Churches and places of worship.
- c. Day care center, if the drop off or outdoor play area is more than one hundred (100) feet from a residential district.
- d. Wireless communications facilities; Types 1, 2, and 3, subject to the requirements of Sections 1.906, 3.100 and 7.200.
- e. Temporary buildings for uses incidental to construction work, to be removed upon completion or abandonment of construction work.

B. *Uses permitted by a conditional use permit.*

1. ~~Adult uses (see Section 1.403 for criteria).~~
2. Automated carwash.
3. Bars and cocktail lounges (see Section 1.403 for criteria).
4. ~~Big box. Any single retail space (limited to permitted retail uses in this C-2 district) with a building footprint of equal to or greater than seventy-five thousand (75,000) square feet, if:~~
 - a. ~~Primary access is on a local residential street; or~~
 - b. ~~Residential zoned property is located within one thousand three hundred (1,300) feet of the Big box property line (except residential zoned properties separated from the Big box by the Pima Freeway or developed with non-residential uses). However, Big box is not permitted in the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Supplementary District. For Use Permit Provisions and Criteria, See Section 1.403.~~
5. ~~Bus station, excluding overnight parking and storage of buses.~~
6. Day care center, if the drop off or outdoor play area is within one hundred (100) feet from a residential district (see section 1.403 for criteria).
7. ~~Funeral home and chapel.~~
8. Game center.
9. Gasoline service station (see section 1.403 for criteria).
10. Health studio. **WITH A BUILDING FOOTPRINT GREATER THAN FIFTY THOUSAND (50,000) SQUARE FEET.**
11. Live entertainment (see section 1.403 for criteria).
12. Wireless communications facilities; Type 4, subject to requirements of sections 1.400, 3.100 and 7.200.
13. ~~Plant nursery (see section 1.403 for criteria).~~
14. Pool hall.
15. Residential health care facility (see section 1.403 for criteria).
16. ~~Teen dance center (see section 1.403 for criteria).~~
17. ~~Internalized community storage (see section 1.403 for criteria).~~
18. Restaurant with associated microbrewery with limited wholesale and retail sales of the brewed product, where the floor area utilized for brewing, bottling and/or packaging occupies no more than thirty (30) percent of the floor area of the establishment.
19. Seasonal art festival.

Sec. 5.1404. Property development standards.

The following property development standards shall apply to all land and buildings in the C-2 district:

- A. *Floor area ratio.* In no case shall the gross floor area of a structure exceed the amount equal to eight-tenths multiplied by net lot area in square feet.
- B. *Volume ratio.* In no case shall the volume of any structure exceed the product of the net lot area in square feet multiplied by 9.6 feet.
- C. *Open space requirement.*
1. In no case shall the open space requirement be less than ten (10) percent of the net lot area for zero (0) feet to twelve (12) feet of height, plus four-tenths percent of the net lot for each foot of height above twelve (12) feet.
 2. Open space required under this section shall be exclusive of parking lot landscaping required under the provisions of article IX of this ordinance.
 3. **REQUIRED OPEN SPACE MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT (PCD) THROUGH AN OPEN SPACE PLAN APPROVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD.**
- D. *Building height.* ~~No building shall exceed thirty-six (36) feet in height~~ **THE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT OF ANY BUILDING SHALL COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING HEIGHT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED BUILDING HEIGHT PLAN,** except as otherwise provided in article VI or article VII.
- E. *Density.*
1. Hotels, motels, and timeshare projects shall provide not less than ten (10) guest rooms and/or dwelling units with a minimum gross land area of one thousand (1,000) square feet per unit.
 2. Multiple-family dwellings shall provide a minimum floor area of five hundred (500) square feet for each dwelling unit.
- F. *Yards.*
1. *Front Yard.*
 - a. No front yard is required except as listed in the following three (3) paragraphs and in article VII hereof, unless a block is partly in a residential district, in which event the front yard regulations of the residential district shall apply.
 - b. A minimum of one-half (1/2) of the open space requirement shall be incorporated as frontage open space to provide a setting for the building and a streetscape containing a variety of spaces.
 - c. Where parking occurs between a building and the street a yard of thirty-five (35) feet in depth between the street and parking shall be maintained. This depth may be decreased to a minimum of twenty (20) feet subject to Section 10.402.D.3.
 2. *Side Yard*
 - a. A side yard of not less than fifty (50) feet shall be maintained where the side of the lot abuts a single-family residential district or abuts an alley which is adjacent to a single-family residential district. The fifty (50) feet may include the width of the alley.

- b. A side yard of not less than twenty-five (25) feet shall be maintained where the side lot abuts a multiple-family residential district. The twenty-five (25) feet may include any alley adjacent to the multiple-family residential district.
- 3. Rear Yard.
 - a. A rear yard of not less than fifty (50) feet shall be maintained where the rear lot abuts a single-family residential district or abuts an alley which is adjacent to the single-family residential district. The fifty (50) feet may include the width of the alley.
 - b. A rear yard of not less than twenty-five (25) feet shall be maintained where the rear lot abuts a multiple-family residential district. The twenty-five (25) feet may include any alley adjacent to the multiple-family residential district.
- 4. All operations and storage shall be conducted within a completely enclosed building or within an area contained by a wall or fence as determined by Development Review [Board] approval or use permit.
- 5. Other requirements and exceptions as specified in article VII.

Sec. 5.1405. Off-street parking.

The provisions of article IX shall apply.

Sec. 5.1406. Signs.

The provisions of article VIII shall apply.

Revisions are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and ~~strikethrough~~.

Sec. 5.2200. (C-O) COMMERCIAL OFFICE DISTRICT.

Sec. 5.2201. Purpose.

This district is intended to provide an environment desirable for and conducive to development of office and related uses adjacent to the central business district or other major commercial cores. In addition, certain other kinds of uses are permitted under conditions and standards which assure their compatibility with a general concentration of office use as well as with the medium and high density residential districts which will often adjoin the C-O district. This district will generally serve to provide a transition from, and occur between, the commercial core and residential districts.

Sec. 5.2202. Approvals required.

No structure or building shall be built or remodeled upon land in the C-O district until Development Review [Board] approval has been obtained as outlined in article I, section 1.900 hereof.

(Ord. No. 3225, § 1, 5-4-99)

Sec. 5.2203. Use regulations.

- A. *Permitted uses.* Buildings, structures, or premises shall be used and buildings and structures shall hereafter be erected, altered or enlarged only for the following uses:
1. **Business and professional.**
 - a. Business college, limited to the teaching of office and business practices and skills.
 - b. Corporate headquarters, which may include transient residential units only for employees on a site of twenty (20) acres or greater.
 - c. Office, business: Any office in which chattels or goods, wares or merchandise are not commercially created, displayed, sold or exchanged, except commercial uses appurtenant thereto, such as restaurants, cocktail lounges, gift shops, newsstand, smoke shop, barbershop, beauty parlors, and small retail shops, provided the entrance of such accessory uses shall be from the interior of the building, lobby or arcade, and there shall be no exterior advertising.
 - d. Office, professional, for: Accountant, architect, chiropracist, chiropractor, dentist, engineer, lawyer, minister, naturopath, osteopath, physician, surgeon, surveyor, optometrist, veterinarian.
 - e. Office, semi-professional, for: Insurance broker, public stenographer, real estate broker, stockbroker, and other persons who operate or conduct offices which do not require the stocking of goods for wholesale or retail, but shall not include barber, beauty operator, cosmetologist, embalmer or mortician except as provided in subparagraph (b) hereof.
 - f. Optician (limited to prescription work only).
 - g. Laboratories: Medical, dental, blood bank.
 - h. Physiotherapist.
 - i. Studio: For professional work, or teaching of any form of commercial or fine arts, photography, music, drama, dance, but not including commercial gymnasium, dance hall or job printing.

- j. Hospitals for animals including boarding and lodging; provided that there shall be no open kennels maintained and provided that all facilities will be in soundproof buildings.
- k. Museum, library, post office.
- l. Broadcasting station and studio, radio or television, but not including sending or receiving tower.
- m. ~~Private and charter school having no room regularly used for housing or sleeping overnight. Subject to Development Review Board approval and compliance with standards including, but not limited to, the following as well as those otherwise required in the district.~~
 - (1) ~~Location: All proposed private and charter schools shall be located a minimum of five hundred (500) feet from any adult use.~~
 - (2) ~~Lot area: The minimum lot area shall be equal to that required for the district, except that no lot shall be less than forty three thousand (43,000) square feet (net).~~
 - (3) ~~There shall be no outside speaker system or bells, if the school building is within one hundred (100) feet of a single family dwelling or multifamily dwelling unit.~~
 - (4) ~~Open space: Per underlying zoning district open space requirements. All NAOS requirements of the district must be met and may be applied towards the overall open space requirements subject to compliance with NAOS standards.~~
 - (5) ~~Parking: Parking shall observe the front yard setbacks of the district for all frontages. One third (1/3) of the required parking may be shared parking with other establishments present on site. Parking shall be located and screened per the requirements of the district.~~
 - (6) ~~Outdoor recreation area: All outdoor playgrounds and recreation areas shall be enclosed by a wall or fence sufficient in height to protect the safety and welfare of the students and shall be located within the side or rear yard. Any playground or outdoor recreation area shall be located a minimum of fifty (50) feet from any residential district and screened by a minimum six foot high wall.~~
 - (7) ~~Drop off area: A drop off area accommodating a minimum of five (5) vehicles shall be located along a sidewalk or landing area connected to the main entrance to the school. This area shall not include internal site traffic aisles, parking spaces, fire lanes, etc.~~
 - (8) ~~Any public trails or pedestrian connections shall be incorporated into the site plan and approved by the Development Review Board.~~
 - (9) ~~Circulation plan: The applicant shall submit a circulation plan to insure minimal conflicts between the student drop off area, potential van and bus drop off area, parking, access driveways, pedestrian and bicycle paths on site.~~

2. Retail.

- a. Art gallery.
- b. Establishments primarily supplemental in character to other permitted principal uses, such as: Pharmacy, apothecary shop, sale of correction garments, prosthetic devices and optical goods.
- c. Blueprinting, printing, lithograph, publishing or photostating.

3. Service.

- a. Banks, finance offices, lending institutions, stock brokerage firms, savings and loan associations and credit unions.
- b. Churches and places of worship.
- c. Day care center, if the drop off or outdoor play area is more than one hundred (100) feet from a residential district.
- d. Wireless communications facilities; Types 1, 2, and 3, subject to the requirements of Sections 1.906, 3.100 and 7.200.
- e. Racquet, paddle or handball courts (indoor only).
- f. Telephone answering service.
- g. Temporary buildings for uses incidental to construction work, which buildings shall be removed upon completion or abandonment of the construction work.

B. *Uses subject to a conditional use permit.*

1. Corporate headquarters which includes transient residential units only for employees on a site of less than twenty (20) acres.
2. Day care center, if the drop off or outdoor play area is within one hundred (100) feet from a residential district (see section 1.403 for criteria).
3. ~~Funeral home and chapel.~~
4. Hospital.
5. Municipal uses.
6. Wireless communications facilities; Type 4, subject to requirements of sections 1.400, 3.100 and 7.200.
7. Private club, ~~fraternity, sorority,~~ and lodges.
8. Research and development facilities.

Sec. 5.2204. Property development standards.

The following property development standards shall apply to all land and buildings in the C-O district:

- A. *Floor area ratio.* In no case shall the gross floor area of a structure exceed the amount equal to six-tenths multiplied by net lot area in square feet.
- B. *Volume ratio.* In no case shall the volume of any structure exceed the product of the net lot area in square feet multiplied by 7.2 feet.
- C. *Open space requirements.*
 1. In no case shall the open space requirement be less than fifteen (15) percent of the net lot area for zero (0) feet to twelve (12) feet of height, plus five-tenths percent of the total site for each foot of height above twelve (12) feet. Open space as defined in article VI.
 2. Open space required under this section shall be exclusive of parking lot landscaping required under the provisions of article IX of this ordinance.
 3. **REQUIRED OPEN SPACE MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT (PCD) THROUGH AN OPEN SPACE PLAN APPROVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD.**
- D. *Building height.* ~~No building shall exceed thirty-six (36) feet in height~~ **THE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT OF ANY BUILDING SHALL COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING HEIGHT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED BUILDING HEIGHT PLAN,** except that within one hundred (100) feet of any R-1, R-2, R-3, R-

4, R-4R or M-H district no building shall exceed twenty-four (24) feet in height and except as otherwise provided in article VII.

E. *Yards.*

1. *Front Yard.*

- a. No front yard is required except as listed in the following two (2) paragraphs and in article VII hereof, unless a block is partly in a residential district, in which event the front yard regulations of the residential district shall apply.
- b. A minimum of one-half of the open space requirement shall be incorporated as frontage open space to provide a setting for the building and a streetscape containing a variety of spaces.
- c. Where parking occurs between a building and the street a yard thirty-five (35) feet in depth shall be maintained. This depth may be decreased to a minimum of twenty (20) feet subject to Section 10.402.D.3.

2. *Side Yard.*

- a. A side yard of not less than fifty (50) feet shall be maintained where the side of the lot abuts a single-family residential district or abuts an alley which is adjacent to a single-family residential district. The fifty (50) feet may include the width of the alley.
- b. A side yard of not less than twenty-five (25) feet shall be maintained where the side lot abuts a multiple-family residential district. The twenty-five (25) feet may include any alley adjacent to the multiple-family residential district.

3. *Rear Yard.*

- a. A rear yard of not less than fifty (50) feet shall be maintained where the rear lot abuts a single-family residential district or abuts an alley which is adjacent to the single-family residential district. The fifty (50) feet may include the width of the alley.
- b. A rear yard of not less than twenty-five (25) feet shall be maintained where the rear lot abuts a multiple-family residential district. The twenty-five (25) feet may include any alley adjacent to the multiple-family residential district.

F. *Distance between buildings.*

1. There shall not be less than ten (10) feet between an accessory building and a main building or between two (2) main buildings, except that an accessory building with two (2) or more open sides, one (1) of which is adjacent to the main building, may be built to within six (6) feet of the main building.

G. *Walls, fences and required screening.*

1. Walls, fences and hedges not to exceed eight (8) feet in height shall be permitted on the property line or within the required yard areas, except within the required frontage open space, within which they may not exceed three (3) feet in height, or except as otherwise provided in article VII.
2. All mechanical structures and appurtenances shall be screened as approved by the Development Review Board.

3. All storage and refuse areas shall be screened as determined by Development Review [Board] approval.
4. All operations and storage shall be conducted within a completely enclosed building or within an area contained by a wall or fence as determined by Development Review [Board] approval or use permit.

H. *General provisions.* Other requirements and exceptions as specified in article VII.


- I. *Access.* All lots shall have frontage on and have vehicular access from a dedicated street, unless a secondary means of permanent vehicle access has been approved in the development review or subdivision plat.

Sec. 5.2205. Off-street parking.

The provisions of article IX shall apply.

Sec. 5.2206. Signs.

The provisions of article VIII shall apply.



LEGEND

- 0-24 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT
- 24-30 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT
- 30-36 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT
- 36-45 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT
- 45 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT
- AS A BUILDING HEIGHT LIMITED TO 45 FT FOR BUILDING HEIGHTS
- FOR BUILDING HEIGHTS
- CONCEPTUAL AND APPROXIMATE ONLY


NOTE: ALL DISTANCES AND AREA MEASUREMENTS ARE CONCEPTUAL AND APPROXIMATE ONLY.



BUILDING HEIGHT PLAN
SILVERSTONE
AT PINNACLE PEAK
PRELIMINARY DESIGN
DATE: 10/15/2004

15-ZN-2005

10-31-05




Silverstone

15-ZN-2005 & 13-UP-2005

ATTACHMENT #2A

General Plan



- | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Rural Neighborhoods | | Commercial |
| | Suburban Neighborhoods | | Office |
| | Urban Neighborhoods | | Employment |
| | Mixed-Use Neighborhoods | | Natural Open Space |
| | Resorts/Tourism | | Developed Open Space (Parks) |
| | Shea Corridor | | Developed Open Space (Golf Courses) |
| | Mayo Support District | | Cultural/Institutional or Public Use |
| | Regional Use District | | |
| | McDowell Sonoran Preserve (as of 8/2003) | | |
| | Recommended Study Boundary of the McDowell Sonoran Preserve | | |
| | City Boundary | | Location not yet determined |



15-ZN-2005/13-UP-2005

ATTACHMENT #3

Adopted by City Council October 30, 2001
 Ratified by Scottsdale voters March 12, 2002
 revised to show McDowell Sonoran Preserve as of May 2004
 revised to reflect General Plan amendments through June 2004

ORDINANCE NO. 3651

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 455, THE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, BY AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF CHANGING THE ZONING ON THE "DISTRICT MAP" TO ZONING APPROVED IN CASE NO. 15-ZN-2005, FROM W-P (WESTERN THEME PARK DISTRICT) TO PC (PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT) WITH COMPARABLE ZONING OF C-2 (CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT), C-O (COMMERCIAL OFFICE DISTRICT), AND R-5 (MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT) ON A 160 +/- ACRE PARCEL WITH AMENDED DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS LOCATED AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF N. SCOTTSDALE ROAD AND E. PINNACLE PEAK ROAD.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission and City Council have held hearings on and considered Zoning Case No. 15-ZN-2005; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the proposed development is in substantial harmony with the General Plan of the City of Scottsdale and will be coordinated with existing and planned development; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the streets and thoroughfares are suitable and adequate to serve the proposed uses and the anticipated traffic which will be generated thereby; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the following has been established beyond a reasonable doubt: The development will constitute continued sustained desirability and stability and will be in harmony with the character of the area; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the following has been established beyond a reasonable doubt: This development will be appropriate for the area and the overall planning purpose intended, and that the development will be in harmony with the character of the surrounding areas; and

WHEREAS, it is now necessary that the comprehensive zoning map of the City of Scottsdale ("District Map") be amended to conform with the decision of the Scottsdale City Council in Case No. 15-ZN-2005.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Scottsdale, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Council has made the relevant findings required by Section 5.2105 of the Zoning Ordinance as more specifically set forth above.

Section 2. That the "District Map" adopted as a part of the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Scottsdale, showing the zoning district boundaries, is amended by rezoning a 160+/- acre parcel located at the southeast corner of N. Scottsdale Road and E. Pinnacle Peak Road and marked as "Site" (the Property) on the map attached as Exhibit 2, incorporated herein by reference, from Western Theme Park District (W-P) to Planned Community District (PC) with comparable zoning of Central Business District (C-2), Commercial Office District (C-O), and Multiple Family Residential District (R-5).

Section 3. That the above rezoning approval is conditioned upon compliance with all stipulations attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

Section 4. That the development standards for the Property located at the northeast corner of 73rd Street and Thompson Peak Parkway are hereby amended, as set forth in the Amended Development Standards, attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Council of the City of Scottsdale this 10 day of January, 2006.


ATTEST:

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, an Arizona
municipal corporation

By: _____
Carolyn Jagger
City Clerk

By: _____
Mary Manross
Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By:  _____
Deborah Robberson
City Attorney

STIPULATIONS FOR CASE 15-ZN-2005, 13-UP-2005

Revised stipulations after the Planning Commission hearing are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and strikethrough.

PLANNING/ DEVELOPMENT

1. **CONFORMANCE TO LAND USE PLAN.** Development shall generally conform to the land use plan submitted by H&S International with a revision date of 10/4/2005. These stipulations take precedence over the above-referenced land use plan. The applicant understands and agrees that the approved density for each parcel is subject to drainage, topography, and other site planning concerns that will need to be resolved at the time of site plan or preliminary plat approval. Appropriate design solutions to these constraints may preclude achievement of the proposed units or density on any or all parcels. Any proposed significant change, as determined by the ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, shall be subject to subsequent public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.
2. **MAXIMUM DENSITY/INTENSITY.** The maximum dwelling units/density and maximum intensity for Parcels shown on the above-referenced land use plan shall be as indicated in the land use budget table below, and as stipulated below.

Parcel	Acres	Comparable Zoning	Land Use	Floor Area/Units	FAR/Density
A and B	4.48	C-O	Municipal	45,000 sq.ft.	0.23
C	12.47	C-2	Retail	95,000 sq.ft.	0.17
D	12.42	C-O	Office	165,000 sq.ft.	0.30
E	17.47	R-5	Residential	262 units	14.9 du/ac
F	21.82	R-5	Residential	186 units	8.5 du/ac
G	23.77	R-5	Residential	258 units	10.8 du/ac
H	34.26	R-5	Residential	270 units	7.8 du/ac
Wash/ Park	12.92	R-5	Wash/Park	--	--
Other	20.39	--	Streets, etc.	--	--
Total	160.00			305,000 sq.ft./ 976 units	

The specific location of each parcel shall be determined at the time of site plan or preliminary plat review. Any redistribution of floor area or dwelling units is subject to ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval, and limited to the overall total outlined in the land use budget table. Additional floor area may be allowed for municipal uses if determined not be a significant change as determined by the ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**. Any redistribution request shall be submitted by the developer with the development review board application and shall include a revised master development plan and a revised land use budget table indicating the parcels with the corresponding reductions and increases. Any proposed significant change, as determined by the ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, shall be subject to subsequent public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.

3. **RESIDENTIAL HEALTH CARE FACILITY.** The location of the residential health care facility shall be limited to Parcel H, and shall be limited to 60 units.
4. **LIVE/WORK UNITS.** A maximum of one-third of the dwelling units in Parcel E shall be allowed to be live/work units.

5. CONFORMANCE TO AMENDED DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS. Development shall conform with the amended development standards attached as Attachment 1B. Any change to the development standards shall be subject to subsequent public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.
6. MUNICIPAL USES. **AT THE TIME OF FINAL PLATTING**, a minimum of 4.48 acres (Parcels A and B) shall be dedicated to the City for municipal use purposes, ~~of which~~ **IT IS INTENDED THAT** a minimum of **3.48 ACRES WILL BE UTILIZED FOR A PUBLIC LIBRARY** ~~2 acres shall be dedicated along Williams Road for a fire station site.~~ This land is separate from other public or semi-public spaces, including but not limited to streets, parks, wash and drainage easements, scenic corridor easements, landscape buffers, and public art areas. **ALL MUNICIPAL USES SHALL COMPLY WITH THE MUNICIPAL USE MASTER SITE PLAN PROCESSING REQUIREMENTS.**
7. SCENIC CORRIDORS, LANDSCAPED SETBACKS. Scenic corridor width and landscaped setbacks shall comply with the following standards:
 - A. The scenic corridor width along Scottsdale Road shall be a minimum of one hundred (100) feet. The scenic corridor shall be measured from the outside edge of the ultimate street right-of-way.
 - B. The minimum landscaped setback along Pinnacle Peak Road shall be fifty (50) feet.
 - C. The minimum landscaped setback along Miller Road shall be thirty (30) feet.
 - D. The minimum landscaped setback along Williams Road **DRIVE** shall be thirty (30) feet.
8. SITE CONDITIONS.
 - A. **THE DEVELOPER AGREES THAT** the existing billboard along Scottsdale Road shall be removed **WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS REZONING ORDINANCE**, and the scenic corridor shall be revegetated to a natural condition subject to Development Review Board approval.
 - B. The wash channel and areas disturbed adjacent to the wash channel shall be revegetated to a natural condition subject to Development Review Board approval.
 - C. Areas of the site that have been disturbed shall be revegetated to a natural condition subject to Development Review Board approval. The disturbed areas shall be revegetated at the time when the individual parcel that contains any disturbance is developed.
9. BUILDING HEIGHT LIMITATIONS. ~~Building heights shall conform to the Building Height exhibit submitted by H&S International with a staff date of 10/31/2005 to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator.~~ With the Development Review Board submittal, the developer shall submit plans demonstrating how the proposed finish floor grades compare with the existing natural grades to maintain. ~~This is to demonstrate the spirit and intent of the building height limitations~~ **CONSISTENT WITH THE BUILDING HEIGHT EXHIBIT SUBMITTED BY H&S INTERNATIONAL WITH A STAFF DATE OF 10/31/2005. THIS SHALL BE SUBJECT TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE.**
10. PHASING MASTER PLAN. Timing of the development shall be dictated by improvements to the wash and street network. No office or retail development (Parcels C and D) shall occur until Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road are built to their ultimate configurations (6 lanes and 4 lanes respectively). A phasing plan shall be approved by the ~~Planning and Development Services General Manager~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** prior to construction commencing. Any building pad, **INCLUDING MUNICIPAL USES**, which remains undeveloped for a period of 1 year or more shall be topped with 2" of decomposed granite or Maricopa County approved dust control methods **BY THE RESPECTIVE OWNER.**
11. DEDICATIONS. The owner/master developer shall dedicate all required rights-of-way, Scenic

Corridor easements, and non-vehicular access easements adjacent to, and for Scottsdale Road, Miller Road, Pinnacle Peak Road, Williams Road **DRIVE** and the internal loop roads **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** prior to the issuance of the first encroachment and/or building permit **S ADJACENT TO THESE RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND EASEMENTS AS DETERMINED BY THE CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE.**

12. DEDICATIONS. The owner/master developer shall dedicate all drainage easement required for the wash channel modifications prior to the encroachment and/or building permit.
13. DEDICATIONS. Each individual parcel owner/developer shall dedicate the all associated easements and rights-of-way as determined by the Development Review Board.
14. IMPROVEMENTS. It shall be the responsibility of the owner/master developer for the construction and dedication of all street improvements, water facilities, and wastewater facilities necessary to serve the development **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN** prior to the issuance of the first above-ground building permit. The Planning and Development Services General Manager **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** shall have the option to allow above-grade permits to be issued prior to the completion of the street improvements, water facilities, and wastewater facilities necessary to serve the development if the appropriate related permits have been issued, and substantial assurances (bonding) has been provided to City of Scottsdale for the required improvements.
15. IMPROVEMENTS. If any off-site improvements/facilities that the City of Scottsdale currently has planned to be constructed, and the City of Scottsdale have not yet constructed the improvements, the owner/developer shall construct the any-associated off-site improvements **S** if it is determined by the Development Review Board that the improvements are necessary to serve the development.

MASTER PLANS

1. MASTER PLANS GENERALLY. The developer shall have each of the Circulation and Drainage Master Plans prepared by a registered engineer licensed to practice in the State of Arizona. Each Master Plan shall be subject to ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval prior to any other Development Review Board submittal.
2. MASTER CIRCULATION PLAN. With the Development Review Board submittal, the developer shall submit a Master Circulation Plan for the site, which shall be subject to ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval. This plan shall indicate the location and design of street intersections, site driveways, internal streets, parking lot access, preliminary roundabout dimensions, and transit facilities. The plan shall also identify the phasing of the street construction for the major streets on the perimeter of the site and the primary internal streets.
3. DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN: The developer shall submit a master drainage report and plan subject to ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval. The master drainage report and plan shall conform the approved Drainage Design Report (Plan Check #3678-05) and to the Design Standards and Policies Manual - Drainage Report Preparation. In addition, the master drainage report and plan shall:
 - A. Include a complete description of requirements relating to project phasing.
 - B. Identify the timing of and parties responsible for construction of all storm water management facilities.
 - C. Identify improvements to the Rawhide Wash, including but not limited to retaining walls, scour walls, head walls, bridges, control structures, street and pedestrian crossing, and open space amenities.
 - D. Correspondence with State Lands/City of Phoenix to west

- E. Bridge timing/responsibility (Scottsdale Rd. and Pinnacle Peak), with **POSSIBLE** grade separated crossing for pedestrian access under Pinnacle Peak Road and under Scottsdale Road.
 - F. Before master drainage report approval, the developer shall, when requested by ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, submit two (2) hard copies and one (1) disc copy of the complete master drainage report.
 - G. Before the improvement plan submittal, the developer shall have obtained approval of the master drainage report.
4. **MASTER ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN CONCEPT PLAN.** Prior to any Development Review Board hearings for any building, owner/master developer shall submit and receive approval from the Development Review Board a Master Environmental Design Concept Plan (MEDCP). The MEDCAP **SHALL** address the following:
- A. Architectural designs for: perimeter walls, walls adjacent to the loop roads, fencing, drainage headwalls and drainage headwall railings.
 - B. Building material and colors consistent with the Environmentally Sensitive Lands design guidelines.
 - C. General design and architectural themes, and building orientations, to assure overall design compatibility of all buildings and structures on the site.
 - D. Entry monuments and master sign program.
 - E. Outdoor lighting fixture designs.
 - F. Wash/channel erosion protection and slope stabilization.
 - G. Overall streetscape concepts which incorporate street side and median landscape design concepts, plant and landscape materials, and perimeter and screen wall designs and locations
 - H. Horizontal street and pedestrian designs.
 - I. Multi-use trails and Multi-use path designs.
 - J. Master landscape theme and plant palette.
 - K. Native plant relocation program and revegetation guidelines for each parcel.
 - L. Median landscaping.
 - M. Desert, and wash/channel revegetation techniques.
 - N. Community entry designs.
 - O. Open space design concepts for open space areas, including the Rawhide Wash and park areas, open space corridors, and bikeways.
 - P. Street wash crossing, specifically the culvert designs.
 - Q. Grade and separated grade crossings cross-section of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road.
5. **LIMITS OF CHANNEL WASH DISTURBANCE.** With the Master Environmental Design Concept Plan, the owner/master **DEVELOPER** shall submit a detailed plans clearly defining the limits of construction of all improvements necessary to serve **AND CONSTRUCT** the proposed buildings.
6. **PUBLIC ART MASTER PLAN.** The developer shall provide original works of art costing of a minimum of one (1) percent of the total **NON-RESIDENTIAL** building valuation. The public art shall be installed and maintained in accordance with the provisions of the Scottsdale Zoning Ordinance (~~Sec. 5.3083. Cultural improvements program~~), and the Scottsdale Revised Code ~~section 20-121 and 20-121.1 (Art in public places program)~~. The developer may pay an amount equal to one (1) percent of the building valuation or any portion of the obligation not used on site, into the cultural trust fund, in lieu of installing original art work. Such amount shall be disbursed in accordance with the provisions of the art in public places program, provided that art work purchased with monies deposited in the cultural trust fund, shall be placed only within the boundaries of this project. A Public Art Master Plan shall be submitted with the first Development Review Board submittal that demonstrates compliance with the public art requirement, including a location plan and an implementation plan of any phasing of various art components.

WATER

1. **BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT (WATER).** Before the improvement plan submittal to the Planning and Development Services Department, the developer shall submit a basis of design report and plan subject to Water Resources Department approval. The basis of design report shall conform to the approved Master Plan (Plan Check #3046-05), and the Design Standards and Policies Manual. In addition, the basis of design report and plan shall:
 - a. Identify the location, size, condition and availability of existing water lines and related water related facilities such as water valves, water services, fire hydrants, back-flow prevention structures, etc.
 - b. Identify the timing of and parties responsible for construction of all water facilities.
 - c. Include a complete description of requirements relating to project phasing.
2. **APPROVED BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT.** Before the improvement plan submittal to the Planning and Development Services Department, the developer shall have obtained approval of the Basis of Design Report.
3. **WATERLINE EASEMENTS.** Before the issuance of any building permit for the site, the developer shall dedicate to the city, in conformance with the Scottsdale Revised Code the Design Standards and Policies Manual, all water easements necessary to serve the site.

WASTEWATER

1. **BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT (SANITARY SEWER).** Before the improvement plan submittal to the Planning and Development Services Department, the developer shall submit a basis of design report and plan subject to Water Resources Department approval. The basis of design report shall be in conformance with the approved Master Plan (Plan Check #3046-05), and the Design Standards and Policies Manual. In addition, the basis of design report and plan shall:
 - a. Identify the location of, the size, condition and availability of existing sanitary sewer lines and wastewater related facilities.
 - b. Identify the timing of and parties responsible for construction of all sanitary sewer facilities.
 - c. Include a complete description of requirements relating to project phasing.
2. **APPROVED BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT.** Before the improvement plan submittal to the Planning and Development Services Department, the developer shall have obtained approval of the Basis of Design Report.
3. **SANITARY SEWER EASEMENTS.** Before the issuance of any building permit for the site, the developer shall dedicate to the city, in conformance with the Scottsdale Revised Code and the Design Standards and Policies Manual, all sewer easements necessary to serve the site.

PROPERTY CONVEYANCE

4. ~~CONVEYANCE OF TRACTS/LOTS. Unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the Asset Management Coordinator, Each tract or lot dedicated to the city shall be:~~ **CONVEYED IN A FORM**
~~Conveyed by a general warranty deed, and~~
~~Accompanied by a title policy in favor of the city, both to the satisfaction of city staff as designated by the Asset Management Coordinator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE.**

CIRCULATION

1. **STREET CONSTRUCTION.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~he developer shall dedicate the following right-of-way and construct the following street improvements, **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual:

Street Name/Type	Dedications	Improvements	Notes
Scottsdale Road Major Arterial	75' half (55' existing)	Half street, Fig. 5.3-2	A, B, C, D, E
Pinnacle Peak Road Minor Arterial	55' half (existing)	Half street, Fig. 5.3-5	A, B, C, D, E
Miller Road Minor Arterial	55' half (40' existing)	Half street, Fig. 5.3-5	A, B, D, E
Williams Road DRIVE Major Collector	50' half (40' existing)	Half street, Fig. 5.3-10	A, B, D, E
Internal Streets Local Collector	50' full	Full street, Fig. 5.3-17	A, D, F
Internal Streets Local Residential	46' full	Full street, Fig. 5.3-20	A, D, F

- A. The street cross sections noted shall be in conformance with the City's Design Standards and Policies Manual unless otherwise modified through the master circulation plan.
 - B. The street improvements shall include a minimum eight-foot sidewalk along the street frontages.
 - C. The Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road street improvements shall include bridges at the Rawhide Wash crossings as determined by the drainage analysis. Full width improvements for Pinnacle Peak Road along the site frontage may be completed instead of **BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR** making an in-lieu payment for Scottsdale Road improvements as outlined in Circulation Stipulation Number 2 below.
 - D. The street improvements noted shall be completed ~~prior to the issuance of any certificate of occupancy~~ **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN** for any parcels per the schedule contained in Circulation Stipulation Number 3 below.
 - E. The developer shall provide any improvements supported by the approved traffic impact study for the site, as determined by the city staff, ~~unless otherwise approved by the Transportation General Manager~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**.
 - F. Internal streets with projected daily traffic volumes exceeding 1,500 vehicles shall be classified as Local Collector streets
2. **IN LIEU PAYMENTS.** At the direction of ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, before issuance of any building permit for the site, the developer shall not construct the Scottsdale Road street improvements specified by the **Notes** in the stipulation above, but shall make an in lieu payment to the city. Before any final plan approval, the developer shall submit an engineer's estimate for plan preparation, design and construction costs of a major arterial half street, including two lanes of pavement with curb and gutter, half median improvements (curb, gutter and landscaping), and any required drainage structures. The in lieu payment shall be based on this estimate, plus five percent (5%) contingency cost and other incidental items, as determined by ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**.
3. **TIMING OF STREET IMPROVEMENTS.** ~~Prior to any certificate of occupancy for the noted development parcels, T~~he associated street improvements described below shall be completed

CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN:

Residential Parcels E, F, G, & H:

- Pinnacle Peak Road – Full street construction (four lanes) from Scottsdale Road to Miller Road.
- Miller Road - West half street construction from Pinnacle Peak Road to Williams Road **DRIVE**.
- Williams Road **DRIVE**- north half street construction from Scottsdale Road to Miller Road.
- Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road – Additional interim turn lanes as recommended by the approved traffic impact study.
- Williams Road **DRIVE** and Scottsdale Road – Traffic signal construction.
- Pinnacle Peak Road and Miller Road - Additional turn lanes as recommended by the approved traffic impact study
- Williams Road **DRIVE** and Miller Road – Traffic signal construction.

Non-Residential Parcels C & D:

- Scottsdale Road – Full street construction (six lanes) from Thompson Peak Parkway to Pinnacle Peak Road.
- Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road – Additional turn lanes as recommended by the approved traffic impact study.

4. **ACCESS RESTRICTIONS.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall dedicate the necessary right-of-way, as determined by city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, and construct the following access to the site **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN**. Access to the site shall conform to the following restrictions (distances measured to the driveway or street centerlines) unless otherwise approved through the master circulation plan:

- a. Scottsdale Road - There shall be a maximum of three site driveways from Scottsdale Road, with a minimum of 600 feet between the driveways and street intersections. Full median openings shall be limited to one-quarter mile spacing. The developer shall dedicate a one-foot wide vehicular non-access easement on this street except at the approved street entrances.
- b. Pinnacle Peak Road - There shall be a maximum of six site driveways from Pinnacle Peak Road, with a minimum separation of 300 feet between the driveways and street intersections. Full median openings shall be limited to approximately 660 foot spacing, aligning with existing intersections to the north. The developer shall dedicate a one-foot wide vehicular non-access easement on this street except at the approved street entrances.
- c. Miller Road - There shall be a maximum of six site driveways from Miller Road, with a minimum separation of 300 feet between the driveways and street intersections. Full median openings shall be limited to approximately 660 foot spacing, aligning with existing intersections to the east. The developer shall dedicate a one-foot wide vehicular non-access easement on this street except at the approved street entrances.
- d. Williams Road **DRIVE**- There shall be a maximum of six site driveways from Williams Road **DRIVE**, with a minimum separation of 300 feet between the driveways and street intersections. Driveways and street intersections shall align with existing driveways to the south or be offset a minimum distance of 250 feet. The developer shall dedicate a one-foot wide vehicular non-access easement on this street except at the approved street entrances.

5. **AUXILIARY LANE CONSTRUCTION.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall dedicate the necessary right-of-way, as determined by city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, and construct right-turn deceleration lanes at all site entrances on all

arterial street frontages (Scottsdale Road, Pinnacle Peak Road, and Miller Road), **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual.

6. INTERSECTION RIGHT-OF-WAY. ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site,~~ The developer shall dedicate a minimum 25-foot radius right-of-way at the intersection of all major streets, **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual.
7. PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION PLAN. With the Development Review Board submittal, the developer shall submit a Pedestrian Circulation Plan for the site, which shall be subject to city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval. This plan shall indicate the location and width of all sidewalks and pedestrian pathways.
8. TRAFFIC SIGNAL PARTICIPATION. ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site,~~ The developer design and construct traffic signals at the following intersections **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN**:
 - a. Scottsdale Road and Williams Road **DRIVE**
 - b. Miller Road and Williams Road **DRIVE**

The developer shall be responsible for the modification of the existing traffic signals at the Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, and Miller Road and Pinnacle Peak intersections associated with any street improvements.

9. MULTI-USE PATH. ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site,~~ The developer shall construct a minimum 10-foot wide multi-use path within the Rawhide Wash **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN**. The path shall be contained within the drainage easement, which shall be dedicated to include public access. The developer shall dedicate the easement to the city within twelve (12) months of City Council approval **AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS REZONING ORDINANCE**. The alignment of the path shall be subject to approval by the city's Transportation Planning Division staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** prior to dedication. The path shall be designed in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual – Transportation, Bikeways Section.
10. MULTI-USE TRAIL – SCOTTSDALE ROAD. ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site,~~ The developer shall construct a minimum 8-foot wide multi-use trail along Scottsdale Road **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in accordance with the approved Trail System Master Plan. The trail shall be contained within the scenic corridor easement, which shall be dedicated to include public access. The developer shall dedicate the easement to the city within twelve (12) months of City Council approval. The alignment of the trail shall be subject to approval by the City's Trails Planner prior to dedication. The trail shall be designed in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual – Medians, Parks, and Trails, Non-Paved Trails Section.
12. MULTI-USE TRAIL – RAWHIDE WASH. ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site,~~ The developer shall construct a minimum 8-foot wide multi-use trail within the Rawhide Wash **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in accordance with the approved Trail System Master Plan. The trail shall be contained within the drainage easement, which shall be dedicated to include public access. The developer shall dedicate the easement to the city within twelve (12) months of City Council approval. The alignment of the trail shall be subject to approval by the City's Trails Planner prior to dedication. The trail shall be designed in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual – Medians, Parks, and Trails, Non-Paved Trails Section.

13. TRANSIT FACILITIES. ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, Tthe~~ developer shall construct a bus bay and stop facilities (shelter, bench and trash can) **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN** at the following locations:

Scottsdale Road just north of Williams ~~Read~~ **DRIVE**,
Scottsdale Road just north of the main site entrance,
Pinnacle Peak Road just east of Scottsdale Road,
Pinnacle Peak Road approximately one-quarter mile east of Scottsdale Road.

The design and location of these facilities shall be subject to ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval (Transit Department 480-312-7696) before any final plan approval. The development plan for any assisted living or congregate care facilities shall provide a pick-up area for Dial-A-Ride service.

14. PRIVATE STREET CONSTRUCTION. All private streets shall be constructed to full public street standards, except equivalent construction materials or wider cross-sections may be approved by ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**. In addition, all private streets shall conform to the following requirements:

- a. No internal private streets shall be incorporated into the city's public street system at a future date unless they are constructed, inspected, maintained and approved in conformance with the city's public street standards. Before any lot is sold, the developer shall record a notice satisfactory to ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** indicating that the private streets shall not be maintained by the city.
- b. Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, the developer shall post access points to private streets to identify that vehicles are entering a private street system.
- c. Secured access shall be provided on private streets only. The developer shall locate security gates a minimum of 75 feet from the back of curb to the intersecting street. The developer shall provide a vehicular turn-around between the public street and the security gate.

Amended Development Standards

15-ZN-2005

Revisions are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and ~~striketrough~~.

Sec. 5.2100. (P-C) PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT.*

Sec. 5.2101. Purpose.

This is a zoning district that may be developed only in accordance with a specific development plan. The approved development plan is an integral part of this zoning district and all development shall comply with said plan. The planned community district is designed and intended to enable and encourage the development of large tracts of land which are under unified ownership or control, or lands which by reason of existing or planned land uses are appropriate for development under this section, so as to achieve land development patterns which will maintain and enhance the physical, social and economic values of an area.

To this end, there may be provided within such areas a combination of land uses, including a variety of residential types, commercial, industrial, public and semi-public areas, arranged and designed in accordance with modern land planning principles and development techniques; and in such a manner as to be properly related to each other, the surrounding community, the planned thoroughfare system, and other public facilities such as water and sewer systems, parks, schools and utilities.

The planned community district and procedure are further established to provide a land developer with reasonable assurance that specific uses proposed from time to time, if in accordance with an approved development plan, will be acceptable to the city; and to provide the City Planning Commission and the City Council with a long-term proposal for the development of a given area.

(Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Sec. 5.2102. General provisions.

- A. *Qualifications.* P-C districts may be established on parcels of land which, because of their unified ownership or control, size, topography, proximity to large public facilities, or exceptional or unusual locational advantages, are suitable for planned development in a manner consistent with the purposes of this section.
- B. *Minimum property size.* No P-C district shall be established on any parcel of less than ten (10) acres of gross land area in designated redevelopment areas; and on any other parcel outside of any designated redevelopment areas of less than one hundred sixty (160) acres of gross land area.
- C. *Property development standards.* All land uses in a P-C district shall conform to the property development standards of the comparable zoning district. Modification of the comparable district's standards may be allowed as provided in the modification procedure below. The planning director shall determine, primarily on the basis of proposed use and density, which of the districts of this ordinance is most closely comparable to the proposed development.

Property development standards modification procedure. Application shall be made and the procedure followed as provided in section 1.300, development applications; with the addition that an application for proposed amendments to development standards in a designated redevelopment area shall first be heard by the development review boards, section 1.900. The application shall be accompanied by written terminology, graphic material, and will illustrate the conditions that the modified standards will produce, so as to enable the Planning Commission and the City Council to make the determination that the modification

will produce a living environment, landscape quality and life-style superior to that produced by existing standards.

- D. *Approvals required.* No structure or building shall be built or remodeled upon land in the P-C district until Development Review [Board] approval has been obtained as outlined in article I, section 1.900 hereof except residential development in a P-C district which is keyed to single-family residential (R-1) zoning districts shall not be subject to Development Review [Board] approval.
- E. All provisions of this ordinance shall apply to development in the P-C district except as allowed in the immediately preceding paragraphs C. and D. Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Sec. 5.2103. Development plan.

The rezoning application shall be accompanied by a development plan which shall consist of:

- A. A map or maps drawn to a suitable scale, showing at least the following:
 - 1. The boundary of the proposed district.
 - 2. The topographic character of the land.
 - 3. Drainage accommodations.
 - 4. Accommodations for all utilities.
 - 5. Any major regrading intended.
 - 6. The proposed uses of the land, keyed to the comparable existing zoning districts.
 - 7. The approximate location of all public streets.
 - 8. Location of public uses proposed, such as schools, parks, playgrounds, trails or other recreational facilities.
 - 9. The approximate location and configuration of different types or densities of dwelling units.

When appropriate, said plan shall include recommendations as to desirable or compatible uses in the areas surrounding said development.

Within the P-C district development units may be established of any size whatever but shall be logical in size and shape and shall function by themselves and in relationship to other development units within the district or adjacent property.
- B. A development program including:
 - (1) A legal description of the district boundary.
 - (2) Size of the area.
 - (3) The overall density proposed.
 - (4) The nature of development proposed.
 - (5) The disposition of lands proposed for public facilities.
 - (6) The anticipated timing for each unit of the district proposed to be developed separately.
 - (7) The delineation of development units which shall be integral units planned for development at different stages.
 - (8) The approximate size, in acres, of each development unit.
- C. All proposed restrictive covenants.
- D. All conditions agreed to by the applicant which are not included in the written documentation required under subsections A., B. and C. of this section are part of the development plan.

E. An approved development plan shall be kept on file in the Planning and Development Department.
(Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Sec. 5.2104. Additional material.

Additional material and information shall be provided for specific types of uses as follows:

- A. Wherever residential development is proposed within a P-C district, the development plan shall contain at least the following information:
 - 1. The approximate number of dwelling units proposed by type of dwelling and the density, i.e., the number of dwelling units proposed per gross acre for each type of use.
 - 2. The standards of height, open space, building coverage, yard area, parking facilities and the kinds of street and land improvements proposed.
- B. For P-C districts or sections thereof for which commercial development is proposed, the development plan shall contain at least the following information:
 - 1. The approximate retail sales floor area and total area proposed for commercial development.
 - 2. The types of uses proposed to be included in the development, which uses to be consistent with comparable zoning district.
 - 3. The standards of height, open space, buffering, landscaping, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, off-street parking and loading signs, and nuisance controls intended for the development.
- C. For P-C districts or sections thereof for which industrial development is proposed, the development plan shall contain at least the following information:
 - 1. The approximate total area proposed for such use.
 - 2. The types of uses proposed to be included in the development. (Generally those industrial, office, laboratory and manufacturing uses shall be allowed which do not create any danger to health and safety in surrounding areas and which do not create any offensive noise, vibration, smoke, dust, odor, heat or glare and which by reason of high value in relation to size and weight of merchandise received and shipped, generate a minimum of truck traffic.)
 - 3. The anticipated employment in the entire development and in each major section thereof. This may be stated as a range.
 - 4. The standards of height, open space, buffering, landscaping, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, off-street parking and loading, signs, and nuisance controls intended for the development.
- D. For P-C districts or units thereof containing institutional, recreational or other public or quasi-public development, the development plan shall contain the following information:
 - 1. General types of uses proposed in the entire development and each major section thereof.
 - 2. Significant applicable information with respect to enrollment, residence employment, attendance, or other social or economic characteristics of development.

3. The standards of height, open space, buffering, landscaping, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, off-street parking and loading, and signs intended for the development.

(Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)

Sec. 5.2105. Findings required.

Before approval or modified approval of an application for a proposed P-C district, the Planning Commission and the City Council must find:

- A. That the development proposed is in substantial harmony with the General Plan of the City of Scottsdale, and can be coordinated with existing and planned development of surrounding areas.
- B. That the streets and thoroughfares proposed are suitable and adequate to serve the proposed uses and the anticipated traffic which will be generated thereby.
- C. The Planning Commission and City Council shall further find that the facts submitted with the application and presented at the hearing establish beyond reasonable doubt that:
 1. In the case of proposed residential development, that such development will constitute a residential environment of sustained desirability and stability; that it will be in harmony with the character of the surrounding area; and that the sites proposed for public facilities, such as schools, playgrounds and parks, are adequate to serve the anticipated population. The Planning Commission and City Council shall be presented written acknowledgment of this from the appropriate school district, the Scottsdale Parks and Recreation Commission and any other responsible agency.
 2. In the case of proposed industrial or research uses, that such development will be appropriate in area, location and overall planning to the purpose intended; and that the design and development standards are such as to create an industrial environment of sustained desirability and stability.
 3. In the case of proposed commercial, institutional, recreational and other non-residential uses, that such development will be appropriate in area, location and overall planning to the purpose intended; and that such development will be in harmony with the character of the surrounding areas.
- ~~D. Private and charter school having no room regularly used for housing or sleeping overnight. Subject to Development Review Board approval and compliance with the following standards, as well as those otherwise required in the district.~~
 - ~~(1) Location: All proposed private and charter schools shall be located a minimum of one thousand (1,000) feet from any adult use, bar, cocktail lounge, liquor store, turkish bath, or pool hall.~~
 - ~~(2) Lot area: The minimum lot area shall be equal to that required for the district, except that no lot shall be less than forty-three thousand (43,000) square feet (net).~~
 - ~~(3) There shall be no outside speaker system or bells, if the school building is within one hundred (100) feet of a single-family dwelling or multifamily dwelling unit.~~
 - ~~(4) Open space: Per underlying zoning district open space requirements. All NAOS requirements of the district must be met and may be applied~~

~~towards the overall open space requirements subject to compliance with NAOS standards.~~

- ~~(5) Parking: Parking shall observe the front yard setbacks of the district for all frontages. One third (1/3) of the required parking may be shared parking with other establishments present on site. Parking shall be located and screened per the requirements of the district.~~
- ~~(6) Outdoor recreation area: All outdoor playgrounds and recreation areas shall be entirely enclosed by a minimum three foot high fence and/or wall and shall be located within the side or rear yard. Any playground or outdoor recreation area shall be located a minimum of fifty (50) feet from any residential district and screened by a minimum six foot high wall~~
- ~~(7) Drop-off area: A drop-off area accommodating a minimum of five (5) vehicles shall be located along a sidewalk or landing area connected to the main entrance to the school. This area shall not include internal site traffic aisles, parking spaces, fire lanes, etc.~~
- ~~(8) Any public trails or pedestrian connections shall be incorporated into the site plan and approved by the Development Review Board.~~

~~(Ord. No. 3258, § 1, 10-5-99)~~

Revisions are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and ~~strikethrough~~.

Sec. 5.1000. (R-5) MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT.

Sec. 5.1001. Purpose.

This district is intended to provide for development of multiple-family residential and allows a high density of population with a proportional increase in amenities as the density rises. The district is basically residential in character and promotes a high quality environment through aesthetically oriented property development standards.

Sec. 5.1002. Approvals required.

No structure or building shall be built or remodeled upon land in the R-5 district until Development Review [Board] approval has been obtained as outlined in article I, section 1.900 hereof.

(Ord. No. 3225, § 1, 5-4-99)

Sec. 5.1003. Use regulations.

A. *Permitted uses.* Buildings, structures or premises shall be used and buildings and structures shall hereafter be erected, altered or enlarged only for the following uses:

1. Accessory buildings; swimming pool, private; home occupations; and other accessory uses.
2. Boardinghouse or lodging house.
3. Day care home.
4. Dwelling, single-family detached.
5. Dwelling, multiple family.
6. Municipal uses, **AND MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS. (MUNICIPAL USES AND MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS, (EXCEPT FOR UTILITY BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES OR APPURTENANCES THERETO FOR PUBLIC UTILITY USES, AND STAND ALONE PARKING LOTS,) SHALL BE EXCEPT FROM SECTION 1.500, SECTION 1.501, AND SECTION 1.502.)**
- 6.1. Wireless communications facilities; Types 1, 2, and 3, subject to the requirements of Sections 1.906, 3.100 and 7.200.
- ~~7. School: Public, elementary and high.~~
- ~~8-7.~~ Temporary buildings for uses incidental to construction work, which buildings shall be removed upon the completion or abandonment of construction work.
- ~~9-8.~~ Temporary sales office buildings and model homes.
- ~~10-9~~ Churches and places of worship.

C. *Uses permitted by conditional use permit.*

1. Commercial and/or ham transmitting or receiving radio and television antennas in excess of seventy (70) feet.
2. Recreational uses (see section 1.403 for specific uses and development criteria for each).
3. Community buildings or recreational fields not publicly owned. Convent.
4. Convent.
5. Day care center (see section 1.403 for criteria).

6. Golf course (except miniature course or practice driving tee operated for commercial purposes), including clubhouse and service facilities which are intended to primarily serve golf course uses and are so located within the golf course that the development is self-contained and would provide whatever degree of buffer is necessary to adjacent property.
7. Hotel, motel, and timeshare project of not less than ten (10) units and commercial uses appurtenant thereto, such as restaurant, cocktail lounges, gift shops, newsstand, smoke shops, barbershops, beauty parlors and small retail shops, provided the entrance of such use shall be from the interior of the building, lobby, arcade or interior patio.
- ~~8. Orphanage.~~
- 9-8. Wireless communications facilities; Type 4, subject to requirements of sections 1.400, 3.100 and 7.200.
- ~~10. Plant nursery; provided, however, that all materials (other than plant materials) shall be screened from view by a solid fence or wall at least six (6) feet in height, and further that a completely enclosed building having a minimum floor area of five hundred (500) square feet shall be provided.~~
1110. Private club, fraternity, sorority and lodges.
1211. Private lake, semi-public lake, swimming pools, tennis courts.
13. ~~Private or charter school having no room regularly used for housing or sleeping overnight. Subject to Development Review Board approval and compliance with the following standards, as well as those otherwise required in the district.~~
 - a. ~~Lot area: The minimum lot area shall be equal to that required for the district, except that no lot shall be less than forty-three thousand (43,000) square feet (net).~~
 - b. ~~Floor area ratio: In no case shall the gross floor area of the structure(s) exceed an amount equal to two-tenths (0.2) multiplied by the net lot area.~~
 - c. ~~There shall be no outside speaker system or bells, if the school building is within one hundred (100) feet of a single family dwelling or multifamily dwelling unit.~~
 - d. ~~Open space: In no case shall the open space be less than twenty-four (24) percent of the total lot area for zero (0) to twenty (20) feet of total building height, plus four-tenths (0.4) percent of the total site for each foot of height above twenty (20) feet. All NAOS requirements of the district must be met and may be applied towards the overall open space requirements subject to compliance with NAOS standards.~~
 - e. ~~Parking: Parking shall be allowed in the front yard setbacks of the district for schools on streets classified by the Scottsdale General Plan as minor collector or greater. There shall be a three-foot high landscaped berm or wall along the street frontage where parking occurs. On all other street classifications, parking shall be located behind the established front building line(s). A minimum of fifteen (15) percent of all parking areas shall be landscaped. A twenty-foot minimum landscaped setback shall be provided where parking is adjacent to residential districts.~~
 - f. ~~Lighting: All pole mounted lighting shall be directed down and shielded and shall be a maximum of sixteen (16) feet in height. All lighting adjacent to residential districts shall be setback a minimum of thirty (30) feet from the property line. All lighting, other than security, shall be turned off by 10:00 p.m., unless otherwise approved through a special event permit.~~
 - g. ~~Screening: There shall be a minimum six-foot high masonry wall and/or landscape screen, as approved by the Development Review~~

~~Board, on the side and rear property lines adjacent to residential districts.~~

- ~~h. Access: All private and charter schools shall have frontage on a street classified by the Scottsdale General Plan as a minor collector or greater. Side street access to a local collector residential street is prohibited when the number of students allowed to attend the school is greater than two hundred fifty (250). A drop off area shall be provided that accommodates a minimum of five (5) cars at one (1) time.~~
- ~~i. Operations: No outdoor activities shall be permitted after 8:00 p.m. unless otherwise approved through a special event permit. No playground or outdoor activity area shall be located within fifty (50) feet of any R1 district or within twenty five (25) feet of any R2, R3, R4, R4-R, R5 or M-H district. All playgrounds and outdoor activity areas shall be screened from any residential district by a minimum six-foot high screen wall.~~
- ~~j. Building design: All buildings shall be designed to be compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhood. All building elevations shall be approved by the Development Review Board.~~

1412. Public buildings other than hospitals.

1413. Public utility buildings, structures or appurtenances thereto for public service uses.

1414. Residential health care facility (see section 1.403 for criteria).

Sec. 5.1004. Property development standards.

The following property development standards shall apply to all land and buildings in the R-5 district.

A. *Minimum property size.*

1. Each parcel or lot within a development shall be a minimum net lot size of thirty-five thousand (35,000) square feet.
2. If an R-5 zoned parcel of land or a lot of record in separate ownership has an area of less than thirty-five thousand (35,000) square feet and has been lawfully established and recorded prior to the adoption of this requirement on October 2, 1979, such lot may be used for any purpose permitted in this section, subject to all other requirements of this ordinance.

B. *Open space requirements*

1. Main land uses that are density-based shall provide open space in the amounts specified in the density chart--Section 5.1004.D, in the following proportions:
 - a. A minimum of ~~one-half~~ **ONE-THIRD** of the open space requirement shall be incorporated as frontage open space **ADJACENT TO THE INTERNAL STREETS (INTERNAL STREETS SHALL NOT INCLUDE THE WILLIAMS ROAD, SCOTTSDALE ROAD, MILLER ROAD AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD ALIGNMENTS)** to provide a setting for the building, visual continuity within the community, and a variety of spaces in the streetscape, except that the frontage open space shall not be required to exceed fifty (50) square feet per one (1) foot of ~~public-street~~ frontage and shall not be less than twenty (20) square feet per one (1) foot of public street frontage.

- b. A private outdoor living space shall be provided adjoining each dwelling unit equal to a minimum of ten (10) percent of the gross size of the dwelling unit, except that dwelling units above the first story shall provide such space equal to a minimum of five (5) percent of the gross size of the dwelling unit.

~~c. The remainder of the required open space shall be provided in common open space.~~

- C. THE REMAINDER OF THE REQUIRED OPEN SPACE SHALL BE PROVIDED AS COMMON OPEN SPACE WITH IN A PROPERTY, OR IT MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT (PCD) THROUGH AN OPEN SPACE PLAN APPROVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD.**

2. Main land uses that are not density-based shall provide a minimum of twenty-four (24) percent of the net lot area in open space, a minimum of ~~one-half~~ **ONE-THIRD** of which shall be in frontage open space **ADJACENT TO THE INTERNAL STREETS (INTERNAL STREETS SHALL NOT INCLUDE THE WILLIAMS ROAD, SCOTTSDALE ROAD, MILLER ROAD AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD ALIGNMENTS). THE REMAINDER OF THE REQUIRED OPEN SPACE SHALL BE PROVIDED AS COMMON OPEN SPACE WITH IN A PROPERTY, OR IT MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT (PCD) THROUGH AN OPEN SPACE PLAN APPROVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD.**
3. Open space required under this section shall be exclusive of parking lot landscaping required under the provisions of article IX of this ordinance.

C. Building height.

1. ~~No building shall exceed thirty-six (36) feet in height~~ **THE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT OF ANY BUILDING SHALL COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING HEIGHT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED BUILDING HEIGHT PLAN**, except as otherwise provided in article VII.
2. Building height shall not exceed one (1) story within fifty (50) feet of any R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-4R or M-H district boundary line.

- D. Density requirements.** Compliance with the standards under columns 3 and 4 determine allowable density for dwelling and guest units.

TABLE INSET:

ALLOWABLE DENSITY		STANDARDS	
1	2	3	4
Dwelling Units Per Acre (and corresponding gross land area per unit requirement)	Timeshare or Guest Units Per Acre (and corresponding gross land area per unit requirement)	Minimum Percentage of Net Lot Area to be maintained in Open Space	Minimum Percentage of the Tree Requirement to be provided in Mature Trees

17 (2562) or less	24 (1816) or less	22	40
18 (2422)	25.5 (1708)	25	50
19 (2292)	27 (1613)	28	60
20 (2180)	28.5 (1528)	31	70
21 (2074)	30 (1452)	34	80
22 (1980)	31.5 (1382)	37	90
23 (1890)	33 (1320)	40	100

E. *Building setback.*

1. Wherever an R-5 development abuts an R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-4R or M-H district or an alley abutting any of those districts, a yard of not less than fifteen (15) feet shall be maintained.
2. Wherever an R-5 development abuts any district other than R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-4R or M-H, or abuts an alley adjacent to such other district, a building may be constructed on the property line. However, if any yard is to be maintained, it shall be not less than ten (10) feet in depth. Larger yards may be required by the Development Review Board or City Council if the existing or future development of the area around the site warrants such larger yards.

F. *Distance between buildings.*

1. There shall not be less than ten (10) feet between an accessory building and a main building or between two (2) main buildings, except that an accessory building with two (2) or more open sides, one of which is adjacent to the main building, may be built to within six (6) feet of the main building.

G. *Walls, fences and required screening.*

1. Walls, fences and hedges not to exceed eight (8) feet in height shall be permitted on the property line or within the required yard areas, except within the required frontage open spaces, within which they may not exceed three (3) feet in height, or except as otherwise provided in article VII.
2. **WALLS, AND FENCES SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED WITHIN THE SCOTTSDALE ROAD SCENIC CORRIDOR, WITHIN THE LANDSCAPE BUFFERS ADJACENT WILLIAMS ROAD, MILLER ROAD AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD, OR WITHIN THE REQUIRED FRONT OPEN SPACE ADJACENT TO THE INTERNAL STREETS.**
- 2-3. All parking areas adjacent to a public street shall be screened with a wall to a height of three (3) feet above the parking surface.
- 3-4. All mechanical structures, and appurtenances shall be screened as approved by the Development Review Board.

4-5. All storage and refuse areas shall be screened as determined by Development Review [Board].

- H. Access. All lots shall have frontage on and have vehicular access from a dedicated street, unless a secondary means of permanent vehicle access has been approved by the Development Review Board.

Sec. 5.1005. Off-street parking.

The provisions of article IX shall apply.

Sec. 5.1006. Signs.

The provisions of article VIII shall apply.

Revisions are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and ~~strikethrough~~.

Sec. 5.1400. (C-2) CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT.

Sec. 5.1401. Purpose.

This district is intended to permit all uses permitted in the (C-1) neighborhood commercial district, plus commercial activities designed to serve the community. This district includes uses usually associated with the central business district and shopping facilities which are not ordinarily compatible with residential development.

Sec. 5.1402. Approvals required.

No structure or building shall be built or remodeled upon land in the C-2 district until Development Review [Board] approval has been obtained as outlined in article I, section 1.900 hereof.

(Ord. No. 3225, § 1, 5-4-99)

Sec. 5.1403. Use regulations.

- A. *Uses permitted.* Buildings, structures, or premises shall be used and buildings and structures shall hereafter be erected, altered or enlarged only for the following uses:

1. Business and professional services.

- a. Business and professional offices.
- b. Business schools.
- c. Hospital for animals including boarding and lodging provided that there are no open kennels maintained and provided all activities will be conducted in soundproof buildings.
- d. Medical or dental offices including laboratory.
- e. Museum.
- f. Optician.
- g. Municipal uses.
- ~~h. Private and charter school having no room regularly used for housing or sleeping overnight. Subject to Development Review Board approval and compliance with standards including, but not limited to, the following as well as those otherwise required in the district.~~
 - ~~(1) Location: All proposed private and charter schools shall be located a minimum of five hundred (500) feet from any adult use.~~
 - ~~(2) Lot area: The minimum lot area shall be equal to that required for the district, except that no lot shall be less than forty three thousand (43,000) square feet (net).~~
 - ~~(3) There shall be no outside speaker system or bells, if the school building is within one hundred (100) feet of a single-family dwelling or multifamily dwelling unit.~~
 - ~~(4) Open space: Per underlying zoning district open space requirements. All NAOS requirements of the district must be met and may be applied towards the overall open space requirement subject to compliance with NAOS standards.~~
 - ~~(5) Parking: Parking shall observe the front yard setbacks of the district for all frontages. One-third (1/3) of the required parking may be shared parking with other establishments present on site. Parking shall be located and screened per the requirements of the district.~~

- (6) ~~Outdoor recreation area: All outdoor playgrounds and recreation areas shall be enclosed by a wall or fence sufficient in height to protect the safety and welfare of the students and shall be located within the side or rear yard. Any playground or outdoor recreation area shall be located a minimum of fifty (50) feet from any residential district and screened by a minimum six-foot high wall.~~
- (7) ~~Drop-off area: A drop-off area accommodating a minimum of five (5) vehicles shall be located along a sidewalk or landing area connected to the main entrance to the school. This area shall not include internal site traffic aisles, parking spaces, fire lanes, etc.~~
- (8) ~~Any public trails or pedestrian connections shall be incorporated into the site plan and approved by the Development Review Board.~~
- (9) ~~Circulation plan: The applicant shall submit a circulation plan to insure minimal conflicts between the student drop-off area, potential van and bus drop-off area, parking, access driveways, pedestrian and bicycle paths on site.~~
- i. Studio for professional work or teaching of any form of commercial or fine arts.
- 2. Residential.
 - a. Dwelling units physically integrated with commercial establishments ~~(limited to one (1) dwelling unit for each business establishment).~~
- 3. Retail sales.
 - a. Antique store.
 - b. Appliance store.
 - c. Art gallery.
 - d. Automobile parts store.
 - e. Bakery.
 - f. Bicycle store.
 - g. ~~Big box. Any single retail space (limited to permitted retail uses in this C-2 district) with a building footprint of equal to or greater than seventy-five thousand (75,000) square feet, if:~~
 - (1) ~~Primary access is not on a local collector* street; and~~
 - Note:** ~~*At the request of the city the term residential has been changed to collector in this subsection.~~
 - (2) ~~Residential zoned property is not located within one thousand three hundred (1,300) feet of the Big box property line (except residential zoned properties separated from the Big box by the Pima Freeway or developed with non-residential uses).~~
 - ~~However, big box is not permitted in the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Supplementary District.~~
 - ~~Also See Sections 1.403 and 5.1403.B.~~
 - h. Bookstore.
 - i. Camera store.
 - j. Candy store.
 - k. Carpet and floor covering store.
 - l. Clothing store.

- m. Craft shop conducted in conjunction with retail business which may include ceramics, mosaics, fabrics, jewelry, leather goods, silk screening, dress designing, sculpturing and wood carving.
- n. Department store.
- o. Drugstore.
- p. Electronic equipment store.
- q. Fabric store.
- r. Florist.
- s. Furniture store.
- t. Gift shop.
- u. Grocery store or supermarket, **LIMITED TO A BUILDING FOOTPRINT OF EQUAL TO OR LESS THAN FIFTY THOUSAND (50,000) SQUARE FEET.**
- ~~v. Gun shop.~~
- w. Hardware store.
- x. Hobby or toy store.
- y. Home improvement store.
- z. Ice cream store.
- aa. Import store.
- bb. Liquor store.
- cc. Music store.
- ~~dd. Pawnshop.~~
- ee. Pet shop.
- ff. Restaurants, ~~excluding~~ **INCLUDING** drive-in or drive-through types.
- gg. Sporting goods store.
- hh. Stationery store.
- ii. Swimming pool supply store.
- jj. Variety store.
- kk. Restaurant with associated microbrewery where brewed beer is consumed only on-premises and brewery occupies no more than fifteen (15) percent of the floor area of the establishment.

4. Services.

- a. Appliance repair.
- b. Bank.
- c. Barber or beauty shop.
- ~~d. Bowling alley.~~
- e. Broadcasting station and studio, radio or television excluding transmitting or receiving towers.
- f. Clothes cleaning agencies and laundromats excluding industrial cleaning and dyeing plants.
- g. Fitness studio.
- h. Hotel, motel, and timeshare project.
- i. Movie theater (indoor only).
- j. Post office.
- k. Printing, lithography, publishing or photostating establishment.
- ~~l. Fraternities and sororities.~~
- m. Shoe repair.
- ~~n. Taxidermist.~~
- o. Telephone answering service.
- p. **DAY SPA OR HEALTH STUDIO, LIMITED TO A BUILDING FOOTPRINT OF EQUAL TO OR LESS THAN FIFTY THOUSAND (50,000) SQUARE FEET.** ~~Turkish bath that may include masseur and/or masseuse.~~

5. Other uses.
 - a. Accessory buildings.
 - b. Churches and places of worship.
 - c. Day care center, if the drop off or outdoor play area is more than one hundred (100) feet from a residential district.
 - d. Wireless communications facilities; Types 1, 2, and 3, subject to the requirements of Sections 1.906, 3.100 and 7.200.
 - e. Temporary buildings for uses incidental to construction work, to be removed upon completion or abandonment of construction work.
- B. *Uses permitted by a conditional use permit.*
 - ~~1. Adult uses (see Section 1.403 for criteria).~~
 2. Automated carwash.
 3. Bars and cocktail lounges (see Section 1.403 for criteria).
 - ~~4. Big box. Any single retail space (limited to permitted retail uses in this C-2 district) with a building footprint of equal to or greater than seventy-five thousand (75,000) square feet, if:

 - ~~a. Primary access is on a local residential street; or~~
 - ~~b. Residential zoned property is located within one thousand three hundred (1,300) feet of the Big box property line (except residential zoned properties separated from the Big box by the Pima Freeway or developed with non-residential uses). However, Big box is not permitted in the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Supplementary District. For Use Permit Provisions and Criteria, See Section 1.403.~~~~
 - ~~5. Bus station, excluding overnight parking and storage of buses.~~
 6. Day care center, if the drop off or outdoor play area is within one hundred (100) feet from a residential district (see section 1.403 for criteria).
 - ~~7. Funeral home and chapel.~~
 8. Game center.
 9. Gasoline service station (see section 1.403 for criteria).
 10. Health studio. **WITH A BUILDING FOOTPRINT GREATER THAN FIFTY THOUSAND (50,000) SQUARE FEET.**
 11. Live entertainment (see section 1.403 for criteria).
 12. Wireless communications facilities; Type 4, subject to requirements of sections 1.400, 3.100 and 7.200.
 - ~~13. Plant nursery (see section 1.403 for criteria).~~
 14. Pool hall.
 15. Residential health care facility (see section 1.403 for criteria).
 - ~~16. Teen dance center (see section 1.403 for criteria).~~
 - ~~17. Internalized community storage (see section 1.403 for criteria).~~
 18. Restaurant with associated microbrewery with limited wholesale and retail sales of the brewed product, where the floor area utilized for brewing, bottling and/or packaging occupies no more than thirty (30) percent of the floor area of the establishment.
 19. Seasonal art festival.

Sec. 5.1404. Property development standards.

The following property development standards shall apply to all land and buildings in the C-2 district:

- A. *Floor area ratio.* In no case shall the gross floor area of a structure exceed the amount equal to eight-tenths multiplied by net lot area in square feet.
- B. *Volume ratio.* In no case shall the volume of any structure exceed the product of the net lot area in square feet multiplied by 9.6 feet.
- C. *Open space requirement.*
1. In no case shall the open space requirement be less than ten (10) percent of the net lot area for zero (0) feet to twelve (12) feet of height, plus four-tenths percent of the net lot for each foot of height above twelve (12) feet.
 2. Open space required under this section shall be exclusive of parking lot landscaping required under the provisions of article IX of this ordinance.
 3. **REQUIRED OPEN SPACE MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT (PCD) THROUGH AN OPEN SPACE PLAN APPROVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD.**
- D. *Building height.* ~~No building shall exceed thirty-six (36) feet in height~~ **THE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT OF ANY BUILDING SHALL COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING HEIGHT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED BUILDING HEIGHT PLAN,** except as otherwise provided in article VI or article VII.
- E. *Density.*
1. Hotels, motels, and timeshare projects shall provide not less than ten (10) guest rooms and/or dwelling units with a minimum gross land area of one thousand (1,000) square feet per unit.
 2. Multiple-family dwellings shall provide a minimum floor area of five hundred (500) square feet for each dwelling unit.
- F. *Yards.*
1. *Front Yard.*
 - a. No front yard is required except as listed in the following three (3) paragraphs and in article VII hereof, unless a block is partly in a residential district, in which event the front yard regulations of the residential district shall apply.
 - b. A minimum of one-half (1/2) of the open space requirement shall be incorporated as frontage open space to provide a setting for the building and a streetscape containing a variety of spaces.
 - c. Where parking occurs between a building and the street a yard of thirty-five (35) feet in depth between the street and parking shall be maintained. This depth may be decreased to a minimum of twenty (20) feet subject to Section 10.402.D.3.
 2. *Side Yard*
 - a. A side yard of not less than fifty (50) feet shall be maintained where the side of the lot abuts a single-family residential district or abuts an alley which is adjacent to a single-family residential district. The fifty (50) feet may include the width of the alley.

- b. A side yard of not less than twenty-five (25) feet shall be maintained where the side lot abuts a multiple-family residential district. The twenty-five (25) feet may include any alley adjacent to the multiple-family residential district.
- 3. Rear Yard.
 - a. A rear yard of not less than fifty (50) feet shall be maintained where the rear lot abuts a single-family residential district or abuts an alley which is adjacent to the single-family residential district. The fifty (50) feet may include the width of the alley.
 - b. A rear yard of not less than twenty-five (25) feet shall be maintained where the rear lot abuts a multiple-family residential district. The twenty-five (25) feet may include any alley adjacent to the multiple-family residential district.
- 4. All operations and storage shall be conducted within a completely enclosed building or within an area contained by a wall or fence as determined by Development Review [Board] approval or use permit.
- 5. Other requirements and exceptions as specified in article VII.

Sec. 5.1405. Off-street parking.

The provisions of article IX shall apply.

Sec. 5.1406. Signs.

The provisions of article VIII shall apply.

Revisions are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and ~~strike through~~.

Sec. 5.2200. (C-O) COMMERCIAL OFFICE DISTRICT.

Sec. 5.2201. Purpose.

This district is intended to provide an environment desirable for and conducive to development of office and related uses adjacent to the central business district or other major commercial cores. In addition, certain other kinds of uses are permitted under conditions and standards which assure their compatibility with a general concentration of office use as well as with the medium and high density residential districts which will often adjoin the C-O district. This district will generally serve to provide a transition from, and occur between, the commercial core and residential districts.

Sec. 5.2202. Approvals required.

No structure or building shall be built or remodeled upon land in the C-O district until Development Review [Board] approval has been obtained as outlined in article I, section 1.900 hereof.

(Ord. No. 3225, § 1, 5-4-99)

Sec. 5.2203. Use regulations.

- A. *Permitted uses.* Buildings, structures, or premises shall be used and buildings and structures shall hereafter be erected, altered or enlarged only for the following uses:
1. **Business and professional.**
 - a. Business college, limited to the teaching of office and business practices and skills.
 - b. Corporate headquarters, which may include transient residential units only for employees on a site of twenty (20) acres or greater.
 - c. Office, business: Any office in which chattels or goods, wares or merchandise are not commercially created, displayed, sold or exchanged, except commercial uses appurtenant thereto, such as restaurants, cocktail lounges, gift shops, newsstand, smoke shop, barbershop, beauty parlors, and small retail shops, provided the entrance of such accessory uses shall be from the interior of the building, lobby or arcade, and there shall be no exterior advertising.
 - d. Office, professional, for: Accountant, architect, chiropractist, chiropractor, dentist, engineer, lawyer, minister, naturopath, osteopath, physician, surgeon, surveyor, optometrist, veterinarian.
 - e. Office, semi-professional, for: Insurance broker, public stenographer, real estate broker, stockbroker, and other persons who operate or conduct offices which do not require the stocking of goods for wholesale or retail, but shall not include barber, beauty operator, cosmetologist, embalmer or mortician except as provided in subparagraph (b) hereof.
 - f. Optician (limited to prescription work only).
 - g. Laboratories: Medical, dental, blood bank.
 - h. Physiotherapist.
 - i. Studio: For professional work, or teaching of any form of commercial or fine arts, photography, music, drama, dance, but not including commercial gymnasium, dance hall or job printing.

- j. Hospitals for animals including boarding and lodging; provided that there shall be no open kennels maintained and provided that all facilities will be in soundproof buildings.
- k. Museum, library, post office.
- l. Broadcasting station and studio, radio or television, but not including sending or receiving tower.
- m. ~~Private and charter school having no room regularly used for housing or sleeping overnight. Subject to Development Review Board approval and compliance with standards including, but not limited to, the following as well as those otherwise required in the district.~~

- ~~(1) Location: All proposed private and charter schools shall be located a minimum of five hundred (500) feet from any adult use.~~
- ~~(2) Lot area: The minimum lot area shall be equal to that required for the district, except that no lot shall be less than forty-three thousand (43,000) square feet (net).~~
- ~~(3) There shall be no outside speaker system or bells, if the school building is within one hundred (100) feet of a single-family dwelling or multifamily dwelling unit.~~
- ~~(4) Open space: Per underlying zoning district open space requirements. All NAOS requirements of the district must be met and may be applied towards the overall open space requirements subject to compliance with NAOS standards.~~
- ~~(5) Parking: Parking shall observe the front yard setbacks of the district for all frontages. One third (1/3) of the required parking may be shared parking with other establishments present on site. Parking shall be located and screened per the requirements of the district.~~
- ~~(6) Outdoor recreation area: All outdoor playgrounds and recreation areas shall be enclosed by a wall or fence sufficient in height to protect the safety and welfare of the students and shall be located within the side or rear yard. Any playground or outdoor recreation area shall be located a minimum of fifty (50) feet from any residential district and screened by a minimum six-foot high wall.~~
- ~~(7) Drop-off area: A drop-off area accommodating a minimum of five (5) vehicles shall be located along a sidewalk or landing area connected to the main entrance to the school. This area shall not include internal site traffic aisles, parking spaces, fire lanes, etc.~~
- ~~(8) Any public trails or pedestrian connections shall be incorporated into the site plan and approved by the Development Review Board.~~
- ~~(9) Circulation plan: The applicant shall submit a circulation plan to insure minimal conflicts between the student drop-off area, potential van and bus drop-off area, parking, access driveways, pedestrian and bicycle paths on site.~~

2. Retail.

- a. Art gallery.
- b. Establishments primarily supplemental in character to other permitted principal uses, such as: Pharmacy, apothecary shop, sale of correction garments, prosthetic devices and optical goods.
- c. Blueprinting, printing, lithograph, publishing or photostating.

3. Service.

- a. Banks, finance offices, lending institutions, stock brokerage firms, savings and loan associations and credit unions.
- b. Churches and places of worship.
- c. Day care center, if the drop off or outdoor play area is more than one hundred (100) feet from a residential district.
- d. Wireless communications facilities; Types 1, 2, and 3, subject to the requirements of Sections 1.906, 3.100 and 7.200.
- e. Racquet, paddle or handball courts (indoor only).
- f. Telephone answering service.
- g. Temporary buildings for uses incidental to construction work, which buildings shall be removed upon completion or abandonment of the construction work.

B. *Uses subject to a conditional use permit.*

1. Corporate headquarters which includes transient residential units only for employees on a site of less than twenty (20) acres.
2. Day care center, if the drop off or outdoor play area is within one hundred (100) feet from a residential district (see section 1.403 for criteria).
3. ~~Funeral home and chapel.~~
4. Hospital.
5. Municipal uses.
6. Wireless communications facilities; Type 4, subject to requirements of sections 1.400, 3.100 and 7.200.
7. Private club, ~~fraternity, sorority,~~ and lodges.
8. Research and development facilities.

Sec. 5.2204. Property development standards.

The following property development standards shall apply to all land and buildings in the C-O district:

- A. *Floor area ratio.* In no case shall the gross floor area of a structure exceed the amount equal to six-tenths multiplied by net lot area in square feet.
- B. *Volume ratio.* In no case shall the volume of any structure exceed the product of the net lot area in square feet multiplied by 7.2 feet.
- C. *Open space requirements.*
 1. In no case shall the open space requirement be less than fifteen (15) percent of the net lot area for zero (0) feet to twelve (12) feet of height, plus five-tenths percent of the total site for each foot of height above twelve (12) feet. Open space as defined in article VI.
 2. Open space required under this section shall be exclusive of parking lot landscaping required under the provisions of article IX of this ordinance.
 3. **REQUIRED OPEN SPACE MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT (PCD) THROUGH AN OPEN SPACE PLAN APPROVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD.**
- D. *Building height.* ~~No building shall exceed thirty-six (36) feet in height~~ **THE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT OF ANY BUILDING SHALL COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING HEIGHT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED BUILDING HEIGHT PLAN,** except that within one hundred (100) feet of any R-1, R-2, R-3, R-

4, R-4R or M-H district no building shall exceed twenty-four (24) feet in height and except as otherwise provided in article VII.

E. *Yards.*

1. *Front Yard.*

- a. No front yard is required except as listed in the following two (2) paragraphs and in article VII hereof, unless a block is partly in a residential district, in which event the front yard regulations of the residential district shall apply.
- b. A minimum of one-half of the open space requirement shall be incorporated as frontage open space to provide a setting for the building and a streetscape containing a variety of spaces.
- c. Where parking occurs between a building and the street a yard thirty-five (35) feet in depth shall be maintained. This depth may be decreased to a minimum of twenty (20) feet subject to Section 10.402.D.3.

2. *Side Yard.*

- a. A side yard of not less than fifty (50) feet shall be maintained where the side of the lot abuts a single-family residential district or abuts an alley which is adjacent to a single-family residential district. The fifty (50) feet may include the width of the alley.
- b. A side yard of not less than twenty-five (25) feet shall be maintained where the side lot abuts a multiple-family residential district. The twenty-five (25) feet may include any alley adjacent to the multiple-family residential district.

3. *Rear Yard.*

- a. A rear yard of not less than fifty (50) feet shall be maintained where the rear lot abuts a single-family residential district or abuts an alley which is adjacent to the single-family residential district. The fifty (50) feet may include the width of the alley.
- b. A rear yard of not less than twenty-five (25) feet shall be maintained where the rear lot abuts a multiple-family residential district. The twenty-five (25) feet may include any alley adjacent to the multiple-family residential district.

F. *Distance between buildings.*

1. There shall not be less than ten (10) feet between an accessory building and a main building or between two (2) main buildings, except that an accessory building with two (2) or more open sides, one (1) of which is adjacent to the main building, may be built to within six (6) feet of the main building.

G. *Walls, fences and required screening.*

1. Walls, fences and hedges not to exceed eight (8) feet in height shall be permitted on the property line or within the required yard areas, except within the required frontage open space, within which they may not exceed three (3) feet in height, or except as otherwise provided in article VII.
2. All mechanical structures and appurtenances shall be screened as approved by the Development Review Board.

3. All storage and refuse areas shall be screened as determined by Development Review [Board] approval.
4. All operations and storage shall be conducted within a completely enclosed building or within an area contained by a wall or fence as determined by Development Review [Board] approval or use permit.

H. *General provisions.* Other requirements and exceptions as specified in article VII.

- I. *Access.* All lots shall have frontage on and have vehicular access from a dedicated street, unless a secondary means of permanent vehicle access has been approved in the development review or subdivision plat.

Sec. 5.2205. Off-street parking.

The provisions of article IX shall apply.

Sec. 5.2206. Signs.

The provisions of article VIII shall apply.

RESOLUTION NO. 6806

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, ADOPTING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR A RESIDENTIAL HEALTH CARE FACILITY LOCATED AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SCOTTSDALE ROAD AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has held a public hearing on November 30, 2005;
and

WHEREAS, the City Council, has held a public hearing on January 10, 2006;

NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Council finds that the conditional use permit criteria have been met and that the use will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, that the characteristics of the proposed conditional use are reasonably compatible with the types of uses permitted in the surrounding areas and that the proposed conditional use permit meets all the requirements of Section 1.403 for Minimal Residential Health Care Facilities.

Section 2. That the above conditional use permit is described in Case No. 13-UP-2005, and the property is shown on Exhibit 2 and the conditional use permit approval is conditioned upon compliance with all stipulations attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Council of the City of Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona this 10 day of January, 2006.


ATTEST:

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, an Arizona
municipal corporation

By: _____
Carolyn Jagger
City Clerk

By: _____
Mary Manross
Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By:  _____
Deborah Robberson
City Attorney

STIPULATIONS FOR CASE 15-ZN-2005, 13-UP-2005

Revised stipulations after the Planning Commission hearing are shown in **BOLD CAPS** and ~~strikethrough~~.

PLANNING/ DEVELOPMENT

1. **CONFORMANCE TO LAND USE PLAN.** Development shall generally conform to the land use plan submitted by H&S International with a revision date of 10/4/2005. These stipulations take precedence over the above-referenced land use plan. The applicant understands and agrees that the approved density for each parcel is subject to drainage, topography, and other site planning concerns that will need to be resolved at the time of site plan or preliminary plat approval. Appropriate design solutions to these constraints may preclude achievement of the proposed units or density on any or all parcels. Any proposed significant change, as determined by the ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, shall be subject to subsequent public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.
2. **MAXIMUM DENSITY/INTENSITY.** The maximum dwelling units/density and maximum intensity for Parcels shown on the above-referenced land use plan shall be as indicated in the land use budget table below, and as stipulated below.

Parcel	Acres	Comparable Zoning	Land Use	Floor Area/Units
A and B	4.48	C-O	Municipal	45,000 sq.ft.
C	12.47	C-2	Retail	95,000 sq.ft.
D	12.42	C-O	Office	165,000 sq.ft.
E	17.47	R-5	Residential	262 units
F	21.82	R-5	Residential	186 units
G	23.77	R-5	Residential	258 units
H	34.26	R-5	Residential	270 units
Wash/ Park	12.92	R-5	Wash/Park	--
Other	20.39	--	Streets, etc.	--
Total	160.00			305,000 sq.ft./ 976 units

The specific location of each parcel shall be determined at the time of site plan or preliminary plat review. Any redistribution of floor area or dwelling units is subject to ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval, and limited to the overall total outlined in the land use budget table. Additional floor area may be allowed for municipal uses if determined not be a significant change as determined by the ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**. Any redistribution request shall be submitted by the developer with the development review board application and shall include a revised master development plan and a revised land use budget table indicating the parcels with the corresponding reductions and increases. Any proposed significant change, as determined by the ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, shall be subject to subsequent public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.

3. **RESIDENTIAL HEALTH CARE FACILITY.** The location of the residential health care facility shall be limited to Parcel H, and shall be limited to 60 units.
4. **LIVE/WORK UNITS.** A maximum of one-third of the dwelling units in Parcel E shall be allowed to be live/work units.

5. CONFORMANCE TO AMENDED DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS. Development shall conform with the amended development standards attached as Attachment 1B. Any change to the development standards shall be subject to subsequent public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.
6. MUNICIPAL USES. **AT THE TIME OF FINAL PLATTING**, a minimum of 4.48 acres (Parcels A and B) shall be dedicated to the City for municipal use purposes, ~~of which IT IS INTENDED THAT a minimum of 3.48 ACRES WILL BE UTILIZED FOR A PUBLIC LIBRARY 2 acres shall be dedicated along Williams Road for a fire station site.~~ This land is separate from other public or semi-public spaces, including but not limited to streets, parks, wash and drainage easements, scenic corridor easements, landscape buffers, and public art areas. **ALL MUNICIPAL USES SHALL COMPLY WITH THE MUNICIPAL USE MASTER SITE PLAN PROCESSING REQUIREMENTS.**
7. SCENIC CORRIDORS, LANDSCAPED SETBACKS. Scenic corridor width and landscaped setbacks shall comply with the following standards:
 - A. The scenic corridor width along Scottsdale Road shall be a minimum of one hundred (100) feet. The scenic corridor shall be measured from the outside edge of the ultimate street right-of-way.
 - B. The minimum landscaped setback along Pinnacle Peak Road shall be fifty (50) feet.
 - C. The minimum landscaped setback along Miller Road shall be thirty (30) feet.
 - D. The minimum landscaped setback along Williams Road **DRIVE** shall be thirty (30) feet.
8. SITE CONDITIONS.
 - A. **THE DEVELOPER AGREES THAT** the existing billboard along Scottsdale Road shall be removed **WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS REZONING ORDINANCE**, and the scenic corridor shall be revegetated to a natural condition subject to Development Review Board approval.
 - B. The wash channel and areas disturbed adjacent to the wash channel shall be revegetated to a natural condition subject to Development Review Board approval.
 - C. Areas of the site that have been disturbed shall be revegetated to a natural condition subject to Development Review Board approval.
 - D. The disturbed areas shall revegetated at the time when the individual parcel that contains any disturbance is developed.
9. BUILDING HEIGHT LIMITATIONS. ~~Building heights shall conform to the Building Height exhibit submitted by H&S International with a staff date of 10/31/2005 to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator. With the Development Review Board submittal, the developer shall submit plans demonstrating how the proposed finish floor grades compare with the existing natural grades to maintain. This is to demonstrate the spirit and intent of the building height limitations~~ **CONSISTENT WITH THE BUILDING HEIGHT EXHIBIT SUBMITTED BY H&S INTERNATIONAL WITH A STAFF DATE OF 10/31/2005. THIS SHALL BE SUBJECT TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE.**
10. PHASING MASTER PLAN. Timing of the development shall be dictated by improvements to the wash and street network, as illustrated on Attachment #12. No office or retail development (Parcels C and D) shall occur until Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road are built to their ultimate configurations (6 lanes and 4 lanes respectively). A phasing plan shall be approved by the ~~Planning and Development Services General Manager~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** prior to construction commencing. Any building pad, **INCLUDING MUNICIPAL USES**, which remains undeveloped for a period of 1 year from the completion of internal roadways as determined by the City Manager ~~or more~~ shall be topped with 2" of decomposed granite or Maricopa County approved dust control methods **BY THE RESPECTIVE OWNER.**

11. DEDICATIONS. The owner/master developer shall dedicate all required rights-of-way, Scenic Corridor easements, and non-vehicular access easements adjacent to, and for Scottsdale Road, Miller Road, Pinnacle Peak Road, Williams Road **DRIVE** and the internal loop roads, as shown on the **APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** prior to the issuance of the first encroachment and/or building permit **S ADJACENT TO THESE RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND EASEMENTS AS DETERMINED BY THE CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE.**
12. DEDICATIONS. Where applicable, the owner/master developer shall dedicate all drainage easements required for the wash channel modifications prior to the encroachment and/or the issuance of a building permit.
13. DEDICATIONS. Each individual parcel owner/developer shall dedicate the all associated easements and rights-of-way as determined by the Development Review Board.
14. IMPROVEMENTS. It shall be the responsibility of the owner/master developer for the construction and dedication of all street improvements, water facilities, and wastewater facilities necessary to serve the development **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN** prior to the issuance of the first above ground building permit. The Planning and Development Services General Manager **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** shall have the option to allow above-grade permits to be issued prior to the completion of the street improvements, water facilities, and wastewater facilities necessary to serve the development if the appropriate related permits have been issued, and substantial assurances (bonding) has been provided to City of Scottsdale for the required improvements.
15. IMPROVEMENTS. If any off-site improvements/facilities that the City of Scottsdale currently has planned to be constructed, and the City of Scottsdale have not yet constructed the improvements, the owner/developer shall construct the any associated off-site improvements if it is determined by the Development Review Board that the improvements are necessary to serve the development.

MASTER PLANS

1. MASTER PLANS GENERALLY. The developer shall have each of the Circulation and Drainage Master Plans prepared by a registered engineer licensed to practice in the State of Arizona. Each Master Plan shall be subject to city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval prior to any other Development Review Board submittal.
2. MASTER CIRCULATION PLAN. With the Development Review Board submittal, the developer shall submit a Master Circulation Plan for the site, which shall be subject to city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval. This plan shall indicate the location and design of street intersections, site driveways, internal streets, parking lot access, preliminary roundabout dimensions, and transit facilities. The plan shall also identify the phasing of the street construction for the major streets on the perimeter of the site and the primary internal streets.
3. DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN: The developer shall submit a master drainage report and plan subject to city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval. The master drainage report and plan shall conform the approved Drainage Design Report (Plan Check #3678-05) and to the Design Standards and Policies Manual - Drainage Report Preparation. In addition, the master drainage report and plan shall address the following:
 - A. Include a complete description of requirements relating to project phasing.
 - B. Identify the timing of and parties responsible for construction of all storm water management facilities.

- C. Identify improvements to the Rawhide Wash, including but not limited to retaining walls, scour walls, head walls, bridges, control structures, street and pedestrian crossing, and open space amenities.
 - D. Correspondence with State Lands/City of Phoenix to west
 - E. Bridge timing/responsibility (Scottsdale Rd. and Pinnacle Peak), as determined by Planning and Development Service Manager with **POSSIBLE** grade separated crossing for possible pedestrian access under Pinnacle Peak Road and under Scottsdale Road.
 - F. Before master drainage report approval, the developer shall, when requested by city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, submit two (2) hard copies and one (1) disc copy of the complete master drainage report.
 - G. Before the improvement plan submittal, the developer shall have obtained approval of the master drainage report.
4. **MASTER ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN CONCEPT PLAN.** Prior to any Development Review Board hearings for any building, owner/master developer shall submit and receive approval from the Development Review Board a Master Environmental Design Concept Plan (MEDCP). The MEDCAP **SHALL** address the following:
- A. Representative architectural designs for: perimeter walls, walls adjacent to the loop internal roads, fencing, drainage headwalls and drainage headwall railings.
 - B. Representative building material and colors consistent with the Environmentally Sensitive Lands design guidelines.
 - C. General design and architectural themes, and building orientations, to assure overall design compatibility of all buildings and structures on the site.
 - D. Entry monuments and master sign program.
 - E. Outdoor lighting fixture designs.
 - F. Wash/channel erosion protection and slope stabilization.
 - G. Overall streetscape concepts which incorporate street side and median landscape design concepts, plant and landscape materials, and perimeter and screen wall designs and locations
 - H. Horizontal street and pedestrian designs.
 - I. Multi-use trails and Multi-use path designs.
 - J. Master landscape theme and plant palette.
 - K. Native plant relocation program and revegetation guidelines for each parcel.
 - L. Median landscaping.
 - M. Desert, and wash/channel revegetation techniques.
 - N. Community entry designs.
 - O. Open space design concepts for open space areas, including the Rawhide Wash and park areas, open space corridors, and bikeways.
 - P. Street wash crossing, specifically the culvert designs.
 - Q. Grade and separated grade crossings cross-section of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road.
5. **LIMITS OF CHANNEL WASH DISTURBANCE.** With the Master Environmental Design Concept Plan, the owner/master **DEVELOPER** shall submit a detailed plans clearly defining the limits of construction of all improvements necessary to serve **AND CONSTRUCT** the proposed buildings.
6. **PUBLIC ART MASTER PLAN.** The developer shall provide original works of art costing of a minimum of one (1) percent of the total **NON-RESIDENTIAL** building valuation. The public art shall be installed and maintained in accordance with the provisions of the Scottsdale Zoning Ordinance (~~Sec. 5.3083. Cultural improvements program~~), and the Scottsdale Revised Code ~~section 20-121 and 20-121.1 (Art in public places program)~~. The developer may pay an amount equal to one (1) percent of the building valuation or any portion of the obligation not used on site into the cultural trust fund in lieu of installing original art work. Such amount shall be disbursed in accordance with the provisions of the art in public places program, provided that art work

purchased with monies deposited in the cultural trust fund, shall be placed only within the boundaries of this project or within the right-of-way abutting the project (i.e. bus bay wait station), as approved by the Planning and Development Services General Manager. A Public Art Master Plan shall be submitted with the first Development Review Board submittal that demonstrates compliance with the public art requirement, including a location plan and an implementation plan of any phasing of various art components.

WATER

1. **BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT (WATER).** Before the improvement plan submittal to the Planning and Development Services Department, the developer shall submit a basis of design report and plan subject to Water Resources Department approval. The basis of design report shall conform to the approved Master Plan (Plan Check #3046-05), and the Design Standards and Policies Manual. In addition, the basis of design report and plan shall:
 - a. Identify the location, size, condition and availability of existing water lines and related water related facilities such as water valves, water services, fire hydrants, back-flow prevention structures, etc.
 - b. Identify the timing of and parties responsible for construction of all water facilities.
 - c. Include a complete description of requirements relating to project phasing.
2. **APPROVED BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT.** Before the improvement plan submittal to the Planning and Development Services Department, the developer shall have obtained approval of the Basis of Design Report.
3. **WATERLINE EASEMENTS.** Before the issuance of any building permit for the site, the developer shall dedicate to the city, in conformance with the Scottsdale Revised Code the Design Standards and Policies Manual, all water easements necessary to serve the site.

WASTEWATER

1. **BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT (SANITARY SEWER).** Before the improvement plan submittal to the Planning and Development Services Department, the developer shall submit a basis of design report and plan subject to Water Resources Department approval. The basis of design report shall be in conformance with the approved Master Plan (Plan Check #3046-05), and the Design Standards and Policies Manual. In addition, the basis of design report and plan shall:
 - a. Identify the location of, the size, condition and availability of existing sanitary sewer lines and wastewater related facilities.
 - b. Identify the timing of and parties responsible for construction of all sanitary sewer facilities.
 - c. Include a complete description of requirements relating to project phasing.
2. **APPROVED BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT.** Before the improvement plan submittal to the Planning and Development Services Department, the developer shall have obtained approval of the Basis of Design Report.
3. **SANITARY SEWER EASEMENTS.** Before the issuance of any building permit for the site, the developer shall dedicate to the city, in conformance with the Scottsdale Revised Code and the Design Standards and Policies Manual, all sewer easements necessary to serve the site.

PROPERTY CONVEYANCE

4. ~~CONVEYANCE OF TRACTS/LOTS. Unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the Asset Management Coordinator,~~ Each tract or lot dedicated to the city shall be: **CONVEYED IN A FORM**

Conveyed by a general warranty deed, and
Accompanied by a title policy in favor of the city, both to the satisfaction of city staff as
designated by the Asset Management Coordinator **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**.

CIRCULATION

1. **STREET CONSTRUCTION.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall dedicate the following right-of-way and construct the following street improvements, **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual:

Street Name/Type	Dedications	Improvements	Notes
Scottsdale Road Major Arterial	75' half (55' existing)	Half street, Fig. 5.3-2	A, B, C, D, E
Pinnacle Peak Road Minor Arterial	55' half (existing)	Half street, Fig. 5.3-5	A, B, C, D, E
Miller Road Minor Arterial	55' half (40' existing)	Half street, Fig. 5.3-5	A, B, D, E
Williams Road DRIVE Major Collector	50' half (40' existing)	Half street, Fig. 5.3-10	A, B, D, E
Internal Streets Local Collector	50' full	Full street, Fig. 5.3-17	A, D, F
Internal Streets Local Residential	46' full	Full street, Fig. 5.3-20	A, D, F

- A. The street cross sections noted shall be in conformance with the City's Design Standards and Policies Manual unless otherwise modified through the master circulation plan.
 - B. The street improvements shall include a minimum eight-foot sidewalk along the street frontages.
 - C. The Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road street improvements shall include bridges at the Rawhide Wash crossings as determined by the drainage analysis. Full width improvements for Pinnacle Peak Road along the site frontage may be completed instead of **BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR** or making an in-lieu payment for Scottsdale Road improvements as outlined in Circulation Stipulation Number 2 below.
 - D. The street improvements noted shall be completed ~~prior to the issuance of any certificate of occupancy~~ **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN** for any parcels per the schedule contained in Circulation Stipulation Number 3 below.
 - E. The developer shall provide any improvements supported by the approved traffic impact study for the site, as determined by the city staff, ~~unless otherwise approved by the Transportation General Manager~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**.
 - F. Internal streets with projected daily traffic volumes exceeding 1,500 vehicles shall be classified as Local Collector streets
2. **IN LIEU PAYMENTS.** At the direction of ~~city staff~~ the **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, and before the issuance of any building permit for the site, the developer ~~shall~~ elects not to construct the Scottsdale Road street improvements specified by the **Notes** in the stipulation above, but the developer shall make an in lieu payment to the city. Before any final plan approval, the developer shall submit an engineer's estimate for plan preparation, design and construction costs of a major arterial half street, including two lanes of pavement with curb and gutter, half median improvements (curb, gutter and landscaping), and any required drainage structures. The in lieu

payment shall be based on this estimate, plus five percent (5%) contingency cost and other incidental items, as determined by city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**.

3. **TIMING OF STREET IMPROVEMENTS.** ~~Prior to any certificate of occupancy for the noted development parcels, the~~ associated street improvements described below shall be completed **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN before the following parcels may receive a Certificate of Occupancy:**

Residential Parcels E, F, G, & H:

- Pinnacle Peak Road – Full street construction (four lanes) from Scottsdale Road to Miller Road.
- Miller Road - West half street construction from Pinnacle Peak Road to Williams Road **DRIVE**.
- Williams Road **DRIVE**- north half street construction from Scottsdale Road to Miller Road.
- Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road – Additional interim turn lanes as recommended by the approved Traffic Impact study Mitigation Analysis.
- Williams Road **DRIVE** and Scottsdale Road – Traffic signal construction.
- Pinnacle Peak Road and Miller Road - Additional turn lanes as recommended by the approved Traffic Impact study Mitigation Analysis.
- Williams Road **DRIVE** and Miller Road – Traffic signal construction.

Non-Residential Parcels C & D:

- Scottsdale Road – Full street construction (six lanes) from Thompson Peak Parkway to Pinnacle Peak Road.
- Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road – Additional turn lanes as recommended by the approved Traffic Impact study Mitigation Analysis.

4. **ACCESS RESTRICTIONS.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, the~~ developer shall dedicate the necessary right-of-way, as determined by city staff **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, and construct the following access to the site **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN**. Access to the site shall conform to the following restrictions (distances measured to the driveway or street centerlines) unless otherwise approved through the master circulation plan:

- a. Scottsdale Road - There shall be a maximum of three site driveways from Scottsdale Road, with a minimum of 600 feet between the driveways and street intersections. Full median openings shall be limited to one-quarter mile spacing. The developer shall dedicate a one-foot wide vehicular non-access easement on this street except at the approved street entrances.
- b. Pinnacle Peak Road - There shall be a maximum of six site driveways from Pinnacle Peak Road, with a minimum separation of 300 feet between the driveways and street intersections. Full median openings shall be limited to approximately 660 foot spacing, aligning with existing intersections to the north. The developer shall dedicate a one-foot wide vehicular non-access easement on this street except at the approved street entrances.
- c. Miller Road - There shall be a maximum of six site driveways from Miller Road, with a minimum separation of 300 feet between the driveways and street intersections. Full median openings shall be limited to approximately 660 foot spacing, aligning with existing intersections/driveways to the east. The developer shall dedicate a one-foot wide vehicular non-access easement on this street except at the approved street entrances.
- d. Williams Road **DRIVE**- There shall be a maximum of six site driveways from Williams Road **DRIVE**, with a minimum separation of 300 feet between the driveways and street intersections. Driveways and street intersections shall align with existing driveways to the south or be offset a minimum distance of 250 feet. The developer shall dedicate a

one-foot wide vehicular non-access easement on this street except at the approved street entrances.

5. **AUXILIARY LANE CONSTRUCTION.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall dedicate the necessary right-of-way, as determined by ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**, and construct right-turn deceleration lanes at all site entrances on all arterial street frontages (Scottsdale Road, Pinnacle Peak Road, and Miller Road), **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual.
6. **INTERSECTION RIGHT-OF-WAY.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall dedicate a minimum 25-foot radius right-of-way at the intersection of all major streets, **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual.
7. **PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION PLAN.** With the Development Review Board submittal, the developer shall submit a Pedestrian Circulation Plan for the site, which shall be subject to ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval. This plan shall indicate the location and width of all sidewalks and pedestrian pathways.
8. **TRAFFIC SIGNAL PARTICIPATION.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer design and construct traffic signals at the following intersections **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN:**
 - a. Scottsdale Road and Williams Road **DRIVE**
 - b. Miller Road and Williams Road **DRIVE**

The developer shall be responsible for the modification of the existing traffic signals at the Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, and Miller Road and Pinnacle Peak intersections associated with any street improvements.

9. **MULTI-USE PATH.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall construct a minimum 10-foot wide multi-use path within the Rawhide Wash **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN.** The path shall be contained within the drainage easement, which shall be dedicated to include public access. The developer shall dedicate the easement to the city at time of platting ~~within twelve (12) months of City Council approval~~ **AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS REZONING ORDINANCE.** The alignment of the path shall be subject to approval by the ~~city's Transportation Planning Division staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** prior to dedication. The path shall be designed in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual – Transportation, Bikeways Section.
10. **MULTI-USE TRAIL – SCOTTSDALE ROAD.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall construct a minimum 8-foot wide multi-use trail along Scottsdale Road **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in accordance with the approved Trail System Master Plan. The trail shall be contained within the scenic corridor easement, which shall be dedicated to include public access. The developer shall dedicate the easement to the city at time of platting. ~~within twelve (12) months of City Council approval.~~ The alignment of the trail shall be subject to approval by the City's Trails Planner prior to dedication. The trail shall be designed in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual – Medians, Parks, and Trails, Non-Paved Trails Section.
11. **MULTI-USE TRAIL – RAWHIDE WASH.** ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall construct a minimum 8-foot wide multi-use trail within the Rawhide Wash **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN AND** in accordance with the approved Trail System Master Plan. The trail shall be contained within the drainage

easement, which shall be dedicated to include public access. The developer shall dedicate the easement to the city at time of platting, ~~within twelve (12) months of City Council approval.~~ The alignment of the trail shall be subject to approval by the City's Trails Planner prior to dedication. The trail shall be designed in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual – Medians, Parks, and Trails, Non-Paved Trails Section.


12. TRANSIT FACILITIES. ~~Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, T~~the developer shall construct a bus bay and stop facilities (shelter, bench and trash can) **CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PHASING MASTER PLAN** at the following locations:

Scottsdale Road just north of Williams Road **DRIVE**,
Scottsdale Road just north of the main site entrance,
Pinnacle Peak Road just east of Scottsdale Road,
Pinnacle Peak Road approximately one-quarter mile east of Scottsdale Road.

The design and location of these facilities shall be subject to ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** approval (Transit Department 480-312-7696) before any final plan approval. The development plan for any assisted living or congregate care facilities shall provide a pick-up area for Dial-A-Ride service.

13. PRIVATE STREET CONSTRUCTION. All private streets shall be constructed to full public street standards, except equivalent construction materials or wider cross-sections may be approved by ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE**. In addition, all private streets shall conform to the following requirements:

- a. No internal private streets shall be incorporated into the city's public street system at a future date unless they are constructed, inspected, maintained and approved in conformance with the city's public street standards. Before any lot is sold, the developer shall record a notice satisfactory to ~~city staff~~ **CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE** indicating that the private streets shall not be maintained by the city.
- b. Before issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the site, the developer shall post access points to private streets to identify that vehicles are entering a private street system.
- c. Secured access shall be provided on private streets only. The developer shall locate security gates a minimum of 75 feet from the back of curb to the intersecting street. The developer shall provide a vehicular turn-around between the public street and the security gate.



Q.S.
44-45

G.I.S. ORTHOPHOTO 2003

Silverstone

13-UP-2005

Exhibit #2

TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS SUMMARY
Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak
177-PA-2005/15-ZN-2005/7-GP-2005

Summary Prepared by Jennifer Bohac, COS Traffic Engineering
Traffic Impact Study Prepared by Cathy Hollow, Parsons Brinkerhoff.

Existing Conditions:

The site is located along Scottsdale Road, extending from Williams Drive to Pinnacle Peak Road. The site extends east from Scottsdale Road to Miller Road. The approximately 160-acre site was previously occupied by Rawhide, a western theme park.

Scottsdale Road is classified as a Major Arterial Roadway in the city's Streets Master Plan. Scottsdale Road currently consists of two lanes in each direction along the western boundary of the site. Future plans call for an expansion to three lanes in each direction with a raised center median. The intersection of Scottsdale Road/Pinnacle Peak, which is currently a four-leg intersection, is signalized. The posted speed limit on Scottsdale Road the vicinity of the site is 55 mph. The current daily traffic volume adjacent to the site is 31,500 vehicles (August 2005 count adjusted for monthly variation).

Pinnacle Peak Road is classified as a Minor Arterial on the city's Streets Master Plan. Pinnacle Peak currently consists of one lane in each direction with a center turn lane in the vicinity of the site. The intersection of Pinnacle Peak Road and Miller Road is signalized. The posted speed limit on Pinnacle Peak Road is 45 mph. The current daily traffic volume adjacent to the site is 18,400 vehicles (August 2005 count adjusted for monthly variation).

Miller Road, which is a north-south roadway that connects Happy Valley Road with Deer Valley Road, is classified as a Minor Arterial on the city's Streets Master Plan. In the vicinity of Pinnacle Peak Road, Miller Road currently consists of two northbound lanes and one southbound lane with a raised median; in the vicinity of Williams Road, Miller Road consists of one lane in each direction. The posted speed limit on Miller Road is 35 mph. The current daily traffic volume adjacent to the site is 10,200 vehicles (August 2005 count adjusted for monthly variation).

Williams Road is classified as a Major Collector on the city's Streets Master Plan. The intersection of Williams Road and Scottsdale Road is a two-way stop controlled intersection, with the Williams Road approaches being stop controlled. The intersection of Williams Road and Pinnacle Peak Road is unsignalized, with the north and south approaches being stop controlled. The posted speed limit on Williams Road is 30 mph. The current daily traffic volume adjacent to the site is 3,700 vehicles (August 2005 count adjusted for monthly variation).

In the immediate vicinity of the site, there are several existing developments. Current Land uses surrounding the site are:

- To the north, retail-office
- To the south, industrial-commercial
- To the east – retail, office, residential
- To the west – vacant (City of Phoenix)

The accident history in the vicinity of the proposed site was examined for the period from 2002-2004. Table 1 below presents a summary of the accident rates by year. The accident rates are shown as accidents/million entering vehicles.

TABLE 1- INTERSECTION ACCIDENT SUMMARY

Location	2002	2004
	Accident Rate	Accident Rate
Scottsdale & Pinnacle Peak	0.86	0.71
Miller & Pinnacle Peak	NA	0.54
City-wide Average	0.54	0.63

This area is expanding with planned developments along with residential subdivisions and retail centers already built and prospering nearby. To the west of Scottsdale Road, is the planned Paradise Ridge development, which will likely have a high-intensity development plan of various residential and commercial elements.

Proposed Development:

The 160-acre site currently has a zoning designation of Western Theme Park (W-P). The proposed rezoning to Community District (P-C) and change in the General Plan from Cultural/Institutional to Mixed-use would allow the development of 165,000 SF of general office space; 95,000 SF of retail space; 25,000 SF of library (municipal use); a 10,000 square foot fire station; 706 residential townhouse/condominium units (with some units identified as "live-work" units), 270 congregate care residential units, and 60 beds for assisted living.

The trip generation calculation for the current zoning is based on potential development allowed by the existing Western Theme Park (W-P) category. It was assumed that 75 percent of the site would be occupied. A trip generation estimate is also provided for the previous land use on the site, Rawhide, which is based upon an estimated 800,000 annual visitors. It should be noted that this comparison was for an average weekday and the trip generation would likely be higher on the weekends and during special events. The trip generation for the proposed zoning is based on the development plan submitted with the requested change to the Community District (P-C) zoning category. The trip generation numbers for the development under the current and proposed zoning categories are presented in the Table 2.

This trip generation is based on data contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineer's *Trip Generation*. The trip generation calculations indicate that the proposed development would generate approximately 13,657 daily trips, with 698 trips generated during the a.m. peak hour and 1,317 trips generated during the p.m. peak hour.

TABLE 2 - TRIP GENERATION COMPARISON

Land Use	Daily Total	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Development Under Current Zoning							
Western Theme Park	7,576	19	2	21	241	154	395
Rawhide	2,200						
Development Under Proposed Zoning							
Retail – 95,000 SF	6,568	93	59	152	290	315	605
Office – 165,000 SF	1,962	246	34	280	45	219	264
Office (live/work) – 87 units	396	0	0	0	0	0	0
Condo/Town home (Parcel E2)– 175 units	1,033	16	65	81	62	33	95
Condo/Town home (Parcels F & G) – 444 units	2,279	34	136	170	133	71	204
Municipal Use (Library) – 25,000 SF	1,379	20	8	28	76	83	159
Congregate Care – 270 units	545	10	6	16	26	20	46
Assisted Living – 60 beds	213	5	3	8	6	7	13
Sub-Total	14,375	424	311	735	638	748	1,386
Internal Trips (5%)	719	21	16	37	32	37	69
Proposed Total	13,657	403	295	698	606	711	1,317

Future Conditions:

Capacity calculations were performed for 2008 and 2010 conditions for the signalized intersections of Scottsdale Road/ Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale Road/Williams Drive, Pinnacle Peak Road/Miller Road, and Williams Drive/Miller Road to evaluate the level of service (LOS) at the intersections. Capacity calculations were also performed at the unsignalized site driveways along Williams Road, Miller Road, Pinnacle Peak Road, and Scottsdale Road. The 2008 scenario assumes build out and occupancy of the residential parcels within the development. The associated street system assumes widening of Pinnacle Peak, Williams Road, and Miller Road to their ultimate four lane cross sections. The 2010 scenario assumes build out of the non-residential parcels within the development. The associated street system assumes widening of Scottsdale Road to Pinnacle Peak Road to its ultimate six lane cross section.

Capacity calculations for the signalized intersection were evaluated for the intersection approaches and the overall intersection. At the unsignalized intersections, the level of service was evaluated for each movement. The worse movement at the unsignalized intersections is typically the exiting left-turn movement onto a roadway with relatively high through volume.

The results of the capacity calculations for the signalized intersections are presented in the Table 3.

TABLE 3 - LEVEL OF SERVICE

Intersection	Type	2008 Scenario		2010 Scenario	
		AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Scottsdale Rd/ Pinnacle Peak Road	Signalized	D	D	D	D
Scottsdale Rd/ Williams Dr	Signalized	A	A	A	B
Pinnacle Peak Rd/ Miller Rd	Signalized	C	D	B	D
Miller Rd/ Williams Dr	Signalized	B	B	B	B

The table above shows that all of the signalized study intersections are projected to operate at level of service D or better in 2010 with the site-generated traffic, assuming recommended intersection improvements (outlined below).

The unsignalized intersections all had acceptable levels of service for the turning movements, with the exception of the left turn movements at driveways along Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road.

The following intersection improvements are recommended:

Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road

- Add dual left turn lanes on all four approaches
- Add separate right-turn lanes for the eastbound, northbound, and southbound approaches.

Pinnacle Peak Road and Miller Road

- Add a westbound left turn phase.

Scottsdale Road and Williams Road

- Install traffic signal.

Miller Road and Williams Road

- Install traffic signal.

Additional Information:

Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale to Pima Road, is being planned as a four-lane roadway (two each direction) with a raised landscaped median. The current adopted capital improvement plan indicates that construction will be completed by 2008.

Scottsdale Road, Thompson Peak to Pinnacle Peak, is being planned to expand the current four-lane roadway to the six lane ultimate cross-section with a raised landscaped median. It is currently estimated that this project will be completed by 2010.

Summary:

Analysis of the trip generation calculations indicate that the proposed development would generate approximately 13,657 daily trips, with 698 trips generated during the a.m. peak hour and 1,317 trips generated during the p.m. peak hour. Capacity calculations indicate that the major intersections in the vicinity of the site will operate at acceptable levels of service with the addition of the site-generated traffic. These analyses assume recommended intersection improvements at the intersections of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, and Miller Road and Pinnacle Peak Road. It is also recommended that the currently unsignalized intersections of Scottsdale Road and Williams Road, and Miller Road and Williams Road be signalized with the site development. It is also assumed, consistent with the zoning case stipulations, that Williams Road and Miller Road will be widened to four lanes with the site development.

NEIGHBORHOOD INVOLVEMENT and CITIZEN OUTREACH

Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak

December 15, 2005

Case # 15-ZN-2005, 13-UP-2005

Overview

This neighborhood involvement plan is being performed in association with a Major General Plan amendment request, a rezoning request, and use permit request for a the redevelopment of 160 acres located at the southeast corner of Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Roads. RHVT and its design team have created a project that is a master planned community based on traditional planning principles inspired by historic Arizona towns and pre-WWII neighborhoods.

The entire project team is sensitive to the importance of neighborhood involvement and creating a relationship with property owners, residents, business owners, homeowners associations, and other interested parties. Communication with these parties is ongoing throughout the process. Work on compiling stakeholders and preparing for the neighborhood outreach began prior to the application filing and will continue throughout the process. Communication with impacted and interested parties will take place with verbal, written, electronic, and door-to-door contact.

Community Involvement

Representatives of the neighborhood outreach team attended the City of Scottsdale's General Plan Open Houses and held their Open House for neighbors regarding the General Plan Amendment, Zoning Case, and Use Permit on Wednesday, August 10 in the multi purpose room at La Mirada Park. Surrounding property owners and other interested parties were noticed via first class mail within one mile of the proposed project. The notice contained information regarding the Open House, the project, and contact information to obtain additional information and feedback (see attached letter, labels, and map).

The open house meeting was attended by 68 people (see attached sign in sheets) who were all interested in the project. Residents were appreciative of the outreach effort and the plans on display. Many people at the meeting were in support of the project and the few who voiced concerns were about traffic infrastructure and density.

As a part of the outreach process, we have been contacting and holding numerous meetings with Homeowners Associations and private residents in the area through the General Plan Amendment and Zoning Case. Meetings have already been held with the following associations:

- Coalition of Pinnacle Peak
- Village @ Sonoran Hills
- Desierto Vida
- Arizona Silverado

- Paradise Valley School District
- Los Gatos Association
- Pinnacle Peak Country Club Estates Units 1-8
- La Vista @ Pinnacle Peak Neighborhood Association
- Pinnacle Paradise Association
- Alta Sonora Association
- Bella Vista Association
- Los Portones Plats 1 and 4
- Talara Association
- Tierra Bella Association
- Pinnacle Reserve 1 & 2
- Grayhawk Community (includes nearly 20 neighborhood associations)
- La Vida
- Pinnacle Peak Estates

Additionally, the outreach team has been available to any neighborhoods or associations in the area who wish to meet with them. There has been extensive door to door work done in the area and many private meetings with individual neighbors have been held as well.

We have also held numerous meetings with the leadership of the Coalition of Pinnacle Peak to review the TIMA report which resulted in a number of appropriate changes to that report.

To date, we have found many people who are in support of the project as evidenced by the number of signatures on our petition of support. Additionally we have collected a significant number of letters written in support of the project.

A vital part of the outreach process is to allow people to express their concerns and understand issues and attempt to address them in a professional and timely matter. As previously stated the entire team realizes the importance of the neighborhood involvement process and is committed to communication and outreach for the project.

Attachments:

City Major General Plan Open House Sign In Sheets (Previously Submitted)
 One Mile Notification Area Map (Previously Submitted)
 Neighborhood Meeting Notification Letter (Previously Submitted)
 One Mile Notification List (Previously Submitted)
 Open House Sign In Sheets & Comment Cards (Previously Submitted)
 Neighborhood Association Meeting Sign In Sheets (Previously Submitted)
 Neighborhood Association Meeting Comment Cards (Previously Submitted)
 Support Letters (Previously Submitted)
 Pinnacle Peak Estates Email

Subject: PPIHOA Meeting 12/12/05
Date: Tue, 13 Dec 2005 01:24:29 -0600
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
Thread-Topic: PPIHOA Meeting 12/12/05
Thread-Index: AcXtj6XSnbTyK5AQAI1+hiHvr9Lk==
From: "Eric Braun" <f1>
To: <sbsmith@technicalsolutionsaz.com>,
<lheiny@handsinternational.com>
Cc: <

X-Nospam: None

Susan and Larry,

Thank you for your time tonight to present to our Home Owners Association. A number of the owners expressed their appreciation to the board and I am sure the information was well received, as evidenced by our larger-than-normal attendance!

We look forward to working with you, and the Silverstone team, to support efforts of mutual concern:

- Noise abatement barriers (walls) along Pinnacle Peak Road
- Sidewalks the length of Pinnacle Peak Road from Pima Road to Scottsdale Road
- Effectiveness/use of ☐rubberized asphalt☐for the new Pinnacle Peak Road

Any information that you can share with our community regarding plans for Pinnacle Peak Road, including timelines, and any major changes to the Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak project would be greatly appreciated. Please let us know how our collective voice can continue to be heard, and how we can assist you in any efforts.

Regards,

Eric Braun

President

Pinnacle Peak I HOA

NEIGHBORHOOD INVOLVEMENT and CITIZEN OUTREACH

Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak

November 21, 2005

Case # 15-ZN-2005, 13-UP-2005

Overview

This neighborhood involvement plan is being performed in association with a Major General Plan amendment request, a rezoning request, and use permit request for the redevelopment of 160 acres located at the southeast corner of Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Roads. RHVT and its design team have created a project that is a master planned community based on traditional planning principles inspired by historic Arizona towns and pre-WWII neighborhoods.

The entire project team is sensitive to the importance of neighborhood involvement and creating a relationship with property owners, residents, business owners, homeowners associations, and other interested parties. Communication with these parties is ongoing throughout the process. Work on compiling stakeholders and preparing for the neighborhood outreach began prior to the application filing and will continue throughout the process. Communication with impacted and interested parties will take place with verbal, written, electronic, and door-to-door contact.

Community Involvement

Representatives of the neighborhood outreach team attended the City of Scottsdale's General Plan Open Houses and held their Open House for neighbors regarding the General Plan Amendment, Zoning Case, and Use Permit on Wednesday, August 10 in the multi purpose room at La Mirada Park. Surrounding property owners and other interested parties were noticed via first class mail within one mile of the proposed project. The notice contained information regarding the Open House, the project, and contact information to obtain additional information and feedback (see attached letter, labels, and map).

The open house meeting was attended by 68 people (see attached sign in sheets) who were all interested in the project. Residents were appreciative of the outreach effort and the plans on display. Many people at the meeting were in support of the project and the few who voiced concerns were about traffic infrastructure and density.

As a part of the outreach process, we have been contacting and holding numerous meetings with Homeowners Associations and private residents in the area through the General Plan Amendment and Zoning Case. Meetings have already been held with the following associations:

- Coalition of Pinnacle Peak

- Village @ Sonoran Hills
- Desierto Vida
- Arizona Silverado
- Paradise Valley School District
- Los Gatos Association
- Pinnacle Peak Country Club Estates Units 1-8
- La Vista @ Pinnacle Peak Neighborhood Association
- Pinnacle Paradise Association
- Alta Sonora Association
- Bella Vista Association
- Los Portones Plats 1 and 4
- Talara Association
- Tierra Bella Association
- Pinnacle Reserve 1 & 2
- Grayhawk Community (includes nearly 20 neighborhood associations)
- La Vida

Additionally, the outreach team has been available to any neighborhoods or associations in the area who wish to meet with them. There has been extensive door to door work done in the area and many private meetings with individual neighbors have been held as well.

We have also held numerous meetings with the leadership of the Coalition of Pinnacle Peak to review the TIMA report which resulted in a number of appropriate changes to that report.

To date, we have found many people who are in support of the project as evidenced by the number of signatures on our petition of support. Additionally we have collected a significant number of letters written in support of the project.

A vital part of the outreach process is to allow people to express their concerns and understand issues and attempt to address them in a professional and timely matter. As previously stated the entire team realizes the importance of the neighborhood involvement process and is committed to communication and outreach for the project.

Attachments:	City Major General Plan Open House Sign In Sheets One Mile Notification Area Map Neighborhood Meeting Notification Letter One Mile Notification List Open House Sign In Sheets & Comment Cards Neighborhood Association Meeting Sign In Sheets Neighborhood Association Meeting Comment Cards Support Letters
--------------	--

Beverly Lloyd-Lee

asid, iida



lloyd-lee ltd.
23013 north 87th st.
scottsdale, az 85255
480-419-3445
cell: 480-330-3445
fax: 480-419-7969

October 29, 2005

Susan Bitter Smith
Technical Solutions
3610 N. 44th St. #240
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Dear Susan Bitter Smith:

According to the papers everything looks good for Silverstone, as far as the City Council goes. I assume, however, COPPS will be out in force on November 9th.

Doesn't anyone realize noise or disruption to the neighbors will be so very greatly *diminished* from all the public activities at Rawhide, the neighborhood should be thankful? There will be no more live music, gunfights, camel rides, fireworks, festivals, or other Western celebrations. The neighborhood can start to enjoy a little peace and quiet, rather than revelry at midnight. Sometimes, groups or persons are so used to being against things . . . they are against even situations that benefit them.

I am still looking forward to meeting with you and the developer. I know I would be of the greatest benefit both aesthetically and economically.

Sincerely,

Beverly Lloyd-Lee

W. Craig Berger
11420 E. Raintree
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am in support of the proposed Silverstone project. The area will benefit from signature office space and the downtown core. It is also nice to hear that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site. North Scottsdale needs something closer than the Mustang Library. Please vote yes on Silverstone and fund a library for North Scottsdale soon.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "W. Craig Berger". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large, prominent "W" and a long, sweeping underline.

Virginia Lenci
11489 E. Paradise Lane
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am very excited about the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. I am writing this letter in favor of this development at Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ. I have been a long time resident of the north Scottsdale and it is wonderful to see all the new developments coming to our area.

Please consider this letter as my approval for such a great project.

Sincerely,

Virginia Lenci

Carol Matuszak
10040 E. Happy Valley Road
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 30, 2005

Mayor and City Council members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council members:

I am writing this letter to let you know that I am very supportive of the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ.

Please vote in support of a rezone to this property so we may bring this exciting project to our neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Carol Matuszak

*Carolee S. Cruse
11887 E. Paradise Drive
Scottsdale, AZ 85255*

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am writing this letter to show my support for the new development, Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road.

As a long time resident of north Scottsdale it is always nice to see an upscale development come to our community with shopping, dining and adult living.

Please give my letter consideration as my approval for this project.

Sincerely,

Carolee S. Cruse

Jill Jetter
8883 E. Mountain Spring Rd.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 30, 2005


Mayor and City Council members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council members:

I am writing this letter to let you know that I am very supportive of the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ.

Please vote in support of a rezone to this property so we may bring this exciting project to our neighborhood.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jill Jetter", written in a cursive style.

Vicki Troch
10054 E. Ironwood
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251


Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am writing this letter to show my support for the new development, Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road.

As a long time resident of north Scottsdale it is always nice to see an upscale development come to our community with shopping, dining and adult living.

Please give my letter consideration as my approval for this project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Vicki Troch". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal line extending from the end of the name.

Rita R. LeDuc
10932 E. Betony Scottsdale, AZ 85258

November 17, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

Rita R Le Duc

Joseph R. LeDuc
10932 E. Betony
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

November 17, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Joseph R. LeDuc". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned below the typed name "Joseph R. LeDuc".

MARC HERTZBERG
16305 N. 109TH WAY
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

November 17, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Marc Hertzberg', with a long, sweeping flourish extending from the bottom right.

Rhiannon Kertzberg
16305 N. 109th Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

November 17, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

Rhiannon Kertzberg

HARLEY BARNES
9290 E. THOMPSON PEAK PKWY.
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

November 17, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'HB' followed by a stylized flourish.

Sandra Barnes
9290 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

November 17, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Sandra Barnes", with a long, sweeping horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Joy Shaw
10937 E. Betony Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

November 17, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Joy Shaw". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned below the word "Sincerely,".

Man Berger
11420 E. Raintree Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

October 31, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing in favor of the Silverstone project in North Scottsdale. I believe that this project will truly uplift the area surrounding it. As a member of this community, I think that a project of this magnitude and quality would be a welcomed change. The Pinnacle Peak area will greatly benefit from the mix of residential, office, and commercial use buildings proposed in this project. I also believe that the architecture style will be very pleasing to the eye for all visitors and residents.

I strongly support the Silverstone project. I urge you to vote in favor of rezoning this land so that this exciting project can come to North Scottsdale. I can only see benefits to everyone affected by this project. Please support the Silverstone project for the surrounding community and for all Scottsdale residents.

Sincerely,

Man S. Berger

Tom Mertens
11425 E. Raintree Dr. Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 30, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,



Della Mertens
11425 E. Raintree Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 30, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

Della Mertens

A. H. NEAL
10929 E. BETONY
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

September 30, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'A. H. Neal', with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the left and then curves back towards the center.

Claudia Neal
10929 E. Betony
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 30, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. A rezone of this land will allow for a project that fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

Claudia Neal

Curtis, Tim

From: CW Swanson [cswanson@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 05, 2005 10:56 PM
To: tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov
Subject: silverstone

This development is on Scottsdale Road, a Scenic Corridor. The density and height are **NOT** appropriate. Reduce the height and number of condos. Patio homes, not condos, are needed.

Lowering the density at Silverstone would reduce the traffic impact to an appropriate level. However, more needs to be done. I know that Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads will be wider. I would also like to see Miller completed between Pinnacle Peak Rd. and Happy Valley Rd. A four lane road already exists except for the bridge over Rawhide Wash. This, along with the ongoing straightening of the Pima and Happy Valley intersection and the improvements to Happy Valley Rd. between Miller and Scottsdale Rd., would make Happy Valley Rd. a useable minor arterial.

In addition to the assisted living and library facilities planned for Silverstone, a citizen service center and senior center are needed in this area of Scottsdale.

cws

11/23/2005

McClay, Doris

From: Meinhart, Robin
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2005 8:54 AM
To: McClay, Doris
Cc: Curtis, Tim
Subject: FW: for input on the proposed Rawhide rezoning-#177-PA-2005

Doris, please include with public input/comment on this case.
Thanks
Robin

-----Original Message-----

From: Terry [mailto:terrys202@cox.net]
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2005 8:21 PM
To: Meinhart, Robin
Cc: jfrankel@msn.com
Subject: Re: for input on the proposed Rawhide rezoning-#177-PA-2005

dear robin,

thank you for the information regarding the rezoning request by "silverstone at pinnacle peak".

Since i can not make the next public meeting on this subject, it would be appreciated if you could enter my comments & questions below in the meeting minutes requesting responses where indicated.

* My interest & concern for the approval of the RHVT proposal is multi-fold:

My home is located at La Vista Community off Pinnacle Peak at 77th st. This is behind the Northeast corner (off Miller) across from Rawhide .

My property value is my Number 1 priority. Our 2nd priority is maintaining the population density at similar levels as they are today. The RHVT proposal appears to be in direct opposition to these 2 objectives.

The development of "Multigenerational residencial uses"? is a new way of disguising heavy density apartment buildings. The R-5 rezoning (or is it R-4 that their maps reflect) appears to represent multi-family rental apartments representing about 50% of the acreage of this "Property" and thus in in direct opposition to my 2 Priorities. Are any single family owned homes planned? Home ownership is the key to wanting property to increase in value & it creates a "sense of place" (This is the vague description that the RHVT Project Team uses in their Rezoning Request Narrative).

How can the City of Scottsdale allow RHVT (developers-the FOX in the Hen House) to engage (hire & pay) Cornerstone Consulting Company & Cushman Wakefield Real Estate to perform a Market Feasibility Study and totally rely on their findings. Whose Team are these, fully bought consulting firms, going to support? The property values of nearby home owners or the developer (the FOX in the Hen House). Certainly the later will be the main beneficiary of the suspect research, not the nearby community as a whole.

The City Of Scottsdale has a fiduciary responsibility to seriously question the findings of the paid for consultants and provide due diligence. Would any member of the City Council or Zoning Board want this Modified Master-Planned Project to be built in their neighborhood and negatively effect their property values and "sense of place" ?

Robin, please pass this inquiry on to the appropriate officials. I look forward to some response.

Thanks again,

Kenneth A. Steuer
480-513-3348

Richard Katz
10784 N. 101st Street

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251


Dear Mayor and City Council members:

I am writing this letter to let you know that I am very supportive of the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ.

This will be a great asset to the north Scottsdale area to have a first class development with senior living mixed with shopping and fine dining.

Please consider this letter a vote of approval for this new project.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Richard Katz', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Colleen Katz
10784 N. 101st Street

September 12, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am very excited about the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. I am writing this letter in favor of this development at Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ. I have been a long time resident of the north Scottsdale and it is wonderful to see all the new developments coming to our area.

Please consider this letter as my approval for such a great project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Colleen Katz', written over the word 'Sincerely,'.

Tracy Stupi
22469 N. 79th Place

September 12, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing in favor of the Silverstone project in North Scottsdale. I believe that this project will truly uplift the area surrounding it. As a member of this community, I think that a project of this magnitude and quality would be a welcomed change. The Pinnacle Peak area will greatly benefit from the mix of residential, office, and commercial use buildings proposed in this project. I also believe that the architecture style will be very pleasing to the eye for all visitors and residents.

I strongly support the Silverstone project. I urge you to vote, support, or work in favor of this project entering into North Scottsdale. I can only see benefits to everyone affected by this project. Please support the Silverstone project for the surrounding community and for all Scottsdale residents.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Tracy Stupi", written in dark ink.

TERRY BENSON
11491 N. 131ST ST.
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85259

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am very excited about the proposed Silverstone Project in North Scottsdale. I am writing this letter in favor of the development. I have been a long time resident of the area and I am thrilled to learn that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site.

Please vote yes for this project.

Sincerely,

Terry Benson

Phil Benson
11491 N. 131st St.
Scottsdale, AZ 85259

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am very excited about the proposed Silverstone Project in North Scottsdale. I am writing this letter in favor of the development. I have been a long time resident of the area and I am thrilled to learn that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site.

Please vote yes for this project.

Sincerely,

Phil Benson

Nan S. Berger
11420 E. Raintree
Scottsdale, AZ 85255


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am very excited about the proposed Silverstone Project in North Scottsdale. I am writing this letter in favor of the development. I have been a long time resident of the area and I am thrilled to learn that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site.

Please vote yes for this project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Nan S. Berger". The signature is fluid and elegant, with the first and last names being more prominent than the middle initial.

Carol Beaumont
1124 E. Raintree Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am in support of the proposed Silverstone project. The area will benefit from signature office space and the downtown core. It is also nice to hear that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site. North Scottsdale needs something closer than the Mustang Library. Please vote yes on Silverstone and fund a library for North Scottsdale soon.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Beaumont

Dean Beaumont
1124 E. Raintree Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am very excited about the proposed Silverstone Project in North Scottsdale. I am writing this letter in favor of the development. I have been a long time resident of the area and I am thrilled to learn that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site.

Please vote yes for this project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Dean Beaumont", written in dark ink.

Rhiannon Hertzberg
16305 N. 109th Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251


Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing this to let you know that I am very supportive
of the proposed Silverstone Project.

This will be a great asset to North Scottsdale to have first class
shopping, fine dining and signature office space coming to this
area.

Please vote yes for this project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Rhiannon Hertzberg".

Marc Hertzberg
16305 N. 109th Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251


Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing this to let you know that I am very supportive
of the proposed Silverstone Project.

This will be a great asset to North Scottsdale to have first class
shopping, fine dining and signature office space coming to this
area.

Please vote yes for this project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Marc Hertzberg", with a long, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

Carolee S. Cruse

11887 E. Paradise Drive, Scottsdale, AZ 85259

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing this to let you know that I am very supportive
of the proposed Silverstone Project.

This will be a great asset to North Scottsdale to have first class
shopping, fine dining and signature office space coming to this
area.

Please vote yes for this project.

Sincerely,

Carolee S. Cruse

THE HONORABLE MARY MANROSS
SCOTTSDALE CITY COUNCIL
3939 DRINKWATER BLVD.
SCOTTSDALE, AZ. 85251

TO YOUR HONOR;

HAVING BEEN A MAYOR I STILL ENJOY GOING BACK HOME
AND HAVING SOME OF THE SENIOR CITIZENS STILL ADDRESS
ME AS YOUR HONOR.

I HAVE BEEN A RESIDENT HERE SINCE 84 AND AT THE
CLASSIC RESIDENCE FOR OVER 5 YEARS. MY WIFE AND I WERE
ONE OF THE FIRST TO MOVE IN AND FIND THE PEACE OF MIND
AND CARE MANAGED "ABSOLUTLY WITHOUT EQUAL"

A NEW CLASSIC RESIDENCE AT SILVERSTONE IS SURELY
NEEDED AND THE LONG WAIT LIST AT THE CLASSIC IS SOLID
EVIDENCE OF THE INTEREST AND APPRECIATION OF THE
QUALITY OF CARE BY THE PLAZA CO. AND HYATT CO.

I AM ONLY ONE OF THE HUNDREDS OF SENIOR CITIZENS
WHO CONSIDER THE APPROVAL OF THIS SITE AS ANOTHER
UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY BY OUR CITY COUNCIL TO BUILD A
BETTER FUTURE FOR SCOTTSDAL SENIOR CITIZENS.

HAVING SERVED AS THE FOUNDER OF OUR CLASSIC
RESIDENCE FOUNDATION FOR 4 YRS. I KNOW FIRST HAND
THE PRECIOUS BENEFITS THE PRESENT RESIDENCE ENJOY.

SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FROM SOMEONE WITH
NO AXE TO GRIND FEEL FREE TO CALL ME AT PRESCOTT
928-778-2201 UNTIL OCT. 1ST. AT SCOTTSDALE 480-585-4344

SINCERELY


JOE LARSON

7501-E-THOMPSON PEAK PKWY. #316
SCOTTSDALE, AZ. 85255.

Tommy N. Evans, M.D., F.A.C.O.G., F.A.C.S.
7501 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy.
Unit 233
Scottsdale, Az. 85255-4533

September 13, 2005

The Honorable Mary Monross
Scottsdale City Council
3939 Drinkwater Boulevard
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

To Whom It May Concern:

My wife and I have lived at the Classic Residence, which was built by Hyatt and The Plaza Companies on Thompson Peak Parkway, since March 12, 2003 and were Scottsdale residents for 12 years before that. The Classic Residence is a luxury senior community.

It is our understanding that a new development (Silverstone) may include a similar institution. Please be assured that we and our many friends and neighbors would welcome such an addition.

Our home at Classic Residence has been terrific, and it certainly has been a superb asset to Scottsdale. We believe that we and others have felt fortunate to be here, but also have had a bit of guilt reaction about so many (now almost 300) who are on the waiting list to join us. The proximity to our current residence would be no problem and actually would be most welcomed by many of us at the Thompson Peak Classic Residence.

The tax base for Scottsdale would be enhanced substantially.

As a physician and former President of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, I have been greatly concerned about the care of our older citizens. The creations of Hyatt and The Plaza Companies seem to be an important step in the right direction.

If the Silverstone development can emulate our Thompson Peak home, then we all should be winners. The composition of residents here is fantastic and includes achievers in most professions with graduates of many major universities. These include artists (sculptor/painting), medicine and medical scientists and research institutions, retired senior officers of our military services, legal professionals, many areas of engineering and other physical sciences, a number of senior academicians in many areas, manufacturers, etc.

If there are any related questions to which I can help provide an answer, please know it will be a pleasure to respond.

Yours sincerely,



T.N. Evans, M.D.

September 8, 2005

The Honorable Mary Manrose
Scottsdale City Council
3939 Drinkwater Boulevard
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor Manrose:

This letter is in support of the proposed development by Classic Residence by Hyatt and the Plaza Companies at Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. We write from our personal experience as residents of the Classic Residence by Hyatt and the Plaza Companies, 7501 E. Thompson Peak Parkway. We moved here from Albuquerque, New Mexico in September 2001 for the precise reason of moving into a Continuing Care Residence Community (CCRC). We selected this new home after a careful study of seven such developments in other states.

We discovered from our search that Hyatt and The Plaza Companies are among a few pacesetters in the development of a paradigm for CCRC's. We are pleased to tell you this from our four years as residents at this Scottsdale CCRC.

My husband, a retired professor of philosophy-including medical ethics, and I, with a Masters in Public Health Planning with a Certificate in Urban Planning, can attest to our opinion. We believe that this proposed new development meets a vital need in the development of Scottsdale's growing population.

We wish you continued success in your tireless efforts to keep abreast of new and needed developments.

Sincerely yours,


Joseph F. Perz, PhD


Helen A. Perz, MPH

Drs. Jane and Larry McGrath
7501 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy #224 • Scottsdale, AZ 85255
(480) 794-1610 • Fax (801) 697 1774

September 8, 2005

The Honorable Mary Manross
Scottsdale City Council
3939 Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

To Whom It May Concern:

We are among the fortunate few who make their home at Classic Residence at Grayhawk, Scottsdale's luxury life care community by Hyatt and the Plaza Companies.

We say "fortunate few" because it took three years for us to work our way up the waitlist and be offered the opportunity to join this wonderful community. We love our carefree lifestyle, enriched by the Hyatt Touch. We also treasure the security that this exceptional life care community provides.

Another reason we enjoy living at Classic Residence is our opportunity to be good neighbors and citizens. Our residents donate thousands of volunteer hours to Scottsdale medical, educational, and service organizations. In addition, each year our Classic Residence Foundation awards a number of college scholarships to staff members, many of whom live in Scottsdale.

Classic Residence has been 100% occupied since its opening and its waitlist continues to grow. Therefore, we think a similar community, offering comparable services and life care security would be a valuable addition to Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak.

Please consider this letter a vote of approval for Classic Residence at Silverstone.

Sincerely,

Jane & Larry McGrath

ANN AND VICTOR PHILLIPS
7501 E. THOMPSON PEAK PKY. #429
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

The Honorable Mary Manross
Scottsdale City Council
3939 Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

To Whom It May Concern:


We moved from Ohio to Scottsdale in 1988. The primary attractions were the quality of lifestyle and the weather. We were faculty members at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio for many years, but the appeal of Scottsdale was stronger than any ties to the university community for our retirement years.


In October, 1999 we were among the first families to move into Classic Residence by Hyatt and The Plaza Companies. Classic is in keeping with Scottsdale as a superb place to live, not only its buildings and grounds but also the services and operating personnel. We are so happy to be here.

Not surprisingly, Classic has been 100% occupied for several years. Thus it seems to us that a similar place, namely Classic Residence at Silverstone, is needed.

Please consider this letter as our strong endorsement and approval of the proposed Silverstone development.

Sincerely,


Victor F. Phillips, Jr.
Professor of Management Emeritus
Miami University


Ann G. Phillips
University Librarian Emerita
Miami University

JUDITH M. WELLER

23003 N. 77th Way, Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 16, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing to express my support for the redevelopment of Rawhide into Silverstone. My home is located in Bella Vista and am one of the closest single family residences to the project with a view of Rawhide. I am sorry to see Rawhide go as I have enjoyed their western theme park and spectacular fireworks shows. Silverstone will be a great addition to our area. I am also looking forward to having more restaurants and retail north of 101. I am glad the designers have chosen to go with a mixed use design. The property is surrounded by most commercial uses and I think that high end townhomes and condominiums will be ideal for the site. "Classic Residence" being a part of the project also speaks well to the kind of high end project I am expecting. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

If you have any questions regarding my support or would like to contact me, I can be reached at 480.515.1190.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Judith M. Weller", with a stylized, flowing script.

Judith Weller

Harley Barnes
9290 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251


Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am writing this letter to show my support for the new development, Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road.

As a long time resident of north Scottsdale it is always nice to see an upscale development come to our community with shopping, dining and adult living.

Please give my letter consideration as my approval for this project.

Sincerely,



9290 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy
Scottsdale, Az. 85255

A.H. NEAL
10929 E. BETONY DR.
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am very excited about the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. I am writing this letter in favor of this development at Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ. I have been a long time resident of the north Scottsdale and it is wonderful to see all the new developments coming to our area.

Please consider this letter as my approval for such a great project.

Sincerely,



10929 E. BETONY DR.
SCOTT. AZ 85255

Sandra Barnes
9290 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy
Scottsdale, AZ 85255


Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. I am a resident of Scottsdale and I think that this project fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,


9290 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy
Scottsdale, Az. 85255

Richard Gaynes
11001 E. Betony Drive Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am in support of the proposed Silverstone project. The area will benefit from signature office space and the downtown core. It is also nice to hear that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible Library site. North Scottsdale needs something closer than the Mustang Library. Please vote yes on Silverstone and fund a library for North Scottsdale soon.

Sincerely,



11001 E. Betony Dr.
Scottsdale, Az 85255


Jane Huey
15945 N. 111th Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am in support of the proposed Silverstone project. The area will benefit from signature office space and the downtown core. It is also nice to hear that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible Library site. North Scottsdale needs something closer than the Mustang Library. Please vote yes on Silverstone and fund a library for North Scottsdale soon.

Sincerely,


15945 N. 111th Way

Joy Shaw
10929 E. Betony Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am writing this letter to show my support for the new development, Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road.

As a long time resident of north Scottsdale it is always nice to see an upscale development come to our community with shopping, dining and adult living.

Please give my letter consideration as my approval for this project.

Sincerely,

Joy Shaw
10937 E. Betony Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Claudia Neal
10929 E. Betony Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council members:

I am writing this letter to let you know that I am very supportive of the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ.

This will be a great asset to the north Scottsdale area to have a first class development with senior living mixed with shopping and fine dining.

Please consider this letter a vote of approval for this new project.

Sincerely,

Claudia Neal
10929 E. Betony Dr.
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Kris Kober
20717 N. 83rd Place Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council members:

I am writing this letter to let you know that I am very supportive of the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ.

This will be a great asset to the north Scottsdale area to have a first class development with senior living mixed with shopping and fine dining.

Please consider this letter a vote of approval for this new project.

Sincerely,

Kris Kober
20717 N. 83rd Place
Scottsdale, Arizona
85255

*KATHY GAYNES
11001 E. BETONY DR.
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255*

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am very excited about the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. I am writing this letter in favor of this development at Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ. I have been a long time resident of the north Scottsdale and it is wonderful to see all the new developments coming to our area.

Please consider this letter as my approval for such a great project.

Sincerely,

*KATHY GAYNES 11001 E. BETONY DR.
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255*

*Barbara Kober
20717 N. 83rd Place
Scottsdale, AZ 85255*

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. I am a resident of Scottsdale and I think that this project fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

*Barb Kober
20717 N. 83rd Place
~~Phoenix~~ Scottsdale, AZ 85255*



City of Phoenix

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

July 29, 2005

Doris McClay
Planning Assistant
City of Scottsdale
7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

RE: SCOTTSDALE MAJOR GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS

Dear Ms. McClay:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the proposed General Plan amendment cases for this year. The city of Phoenix has reviewed the cases, specifically Camberlango Properties and Silverstone, which border Phoenix along Scottsdale Road. The city of Phoenix has no issues with the proposed land use modifications and we anticipate that the Mixed-Use designation will compliment and enhance the adjacent existing and proposed land uses in Phoenix.

If you need any further assistance, please contact Dean Brennan at (602) 262-4499.

Sincerely,

David E. Richert
Growth, Land Use, and State Land Manager

c:\documents and settings\tsosie\desktop\ssaenz\072905a_scottsdale general amendment.doc

c: Dean Brennan
Alan Stephenson
file

Curtis, Tim

From: R. Craig Campbell [rcraigcampbell@cox.net]
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:30 AM
To: tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov
Subject: Rawhide

Mr. Curtis,

While I am personally sorry to see Rawhide go, having lived in/been involved with the Pinnacle Peak area for 30-some years since 1973, I want you to know that I am in support of the Rawhide re-development project - - at least from what I have seen to date.

I am the President of a small residential community's HOA (Bella Vista @ PP Road & Miller), and as such, arranged a meeting for our HOA with Matt Ludick of Technical Solutions to learn as much as we could about the tentative plans for the area's redevelopment. As a result of his excellent presentation, we understood at that time, albeit early on, that the population density plan for the redevelopment was intended to be "medium to high density". Today, possibly due to association with Phoenix's development plans for the area just west of us across Scottsdale Rd., the area is being described by the Valley's press as "potentially one of the highest people-density-areas in the valley."

While no one wants their neighborhood to be disrupted with increasing density & the resulting extra auto traffic, I understand that this is the consequence of the attraction of our beautiful "place-in-the-sun". However, I plead with you to help insure that appropriate solid planning is devoted to the traffic handling & control facilities needed within this developing area. Please study carefully the paved-road-infrastructure currently available and/or needed in & around the intersection of Scottsdale Rd. & Pinnacle Peak Rd. **IT IS NOT CURRENTLY CAPABLE OF HANDLING TODAY'S TRAFFIC** during "rush hours", much less handling it as the thousands of new autos associated with the "new Rawhide homes" and their multi-family unit dwellings begin adding to our current traffic.

Please give the above your most careful consideration, and then please advise me of your intended plans. I will pass on to my members for their "informed information."

Not only would I appreciate your taking my comments into consideration, but also I would like to establish a considerable level of (email?) communication with you so as to be in the loop on what is being considered, evaluated, and/or approved. If you will agree to do that, I can then agree to present your proffered information to my group - - keeping them informed with fact rather than rumor.

Would you please let me know if you find this proposal workable, and/or if there is an easier way for you.

Sincerely,
Craig Campbell
Pres. Bella Vista HOA
H: 480-538-5238
FX: 480-538-5239
C: 480/459/6810

Curtis, Tim

From: donmcdougal33@cox.net
Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2005 2:49 PM
To: tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov
Subject: future project at the Rawhide site

I am in support of the future project at the current Rawhide site. Our HOA was given a presentation by Matt Ludick of Technical Solutions and my wife and I understand it's a medium to high density project.

Sincerely, Don McDougal, Past President, Bella Vista HOA

Curtis, Tim

Subject:

FW: Rawhide rezone - 177PA-2005

-----Original Message-----

From: ianvash@cox.net [mailto:ianvash@cox.net]
Sent: Sunday, April 17, 2005 3:31 PM
To: ewallace@ci.scottsdale.az.us
Subject: Rawhide rezone - 177PA-2005

Dear E Wallace,

Re: - 177PA-2005 SCOTTSDALE & PINNACLE PEAK MXD

I wish to be notified and consulted on this project as it progresses.

I am Board President of the Homeowners Association of 'Village @ Sonoran Hills'. Our community is situated on the North East corner of Williams and Miller.

We are generally supportive of this project, however we will be asking the City of Scottsdale to ensure that our interests are considered. This will be such things as placement of access roads, garbage hoppers, loading docks etc.

The setback on Miller should be maintained, especially as the setback across the street (east side of Miller north of Williams) has been reduced under project 1-BA-2005

We do hope that this project will accelerate completion of Miller as a divided highway and especially the installation of a traffic light at Williams/Miller.

Regards,

Ian M Smith
Board President.
Village @ Sonoran Hills.

Curtis, Tim

From: CW Swanson [cswanson@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2005 10:51 AM
To: tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov
Subject: Silverstone (Rawhide)

I have 2 concerns about the proposal for this property.

1. How will it fit with development plans for the Phoenix property across the street? I think that complimentary versus competitive development is best for everyone.
2. Several HOAs met with the developers PR people. I am concerned that we were misled. We were told that mixed-use would include shopping, offices, and a variety of residential densities. It now appears that they want to build only high density residential - 1,400 residences with the shopping and offices. I think patio homes would be more appropriate than condos for this area of Scottsdale. I don't think condos will withstand the test of time - people will discover that they don't like the stairs and noise associated with common walls. Patio homes provide housing for first-time home buyers as well as the older crowd wanting to downsize.

cws



City of Scottsdale
Current Planning Services

SCHOOL DISTRICT
Determination of Adequate Facilities

Area in gray to be completed by Applicant.

City of Scottsdale Project Number: - PA -

Project Name: RAWHIDE SITE

Project Location: Scottsdale Rd. & Pinnacle Peak

Applicant Name: James Lee Phone: 602-867-5100

Applicant E-mail: jilee@pvusd.k12.az.us Fax: _____

School District: Paradise Valley Unified

I, James Lee, hereby certify that the following determination has been made in regards to the above referenced project:

- ☒ The school district has adequate school facilities to accommodate the projected number of additional students generated by the proposed rezoning within the school district's attendance area; or
- ☐ The school district will have adequate school facilities via a planned capital improvement to be constructed within one (1) year of the date of notification of the district and located within the school district's attendance area; or
- ☐ The school district has determined an existing or proposed charter school as contracted by the district can provide adequate school facilities for the projected increase in students; or
- ☐ The applicant and the school district have entered into an agreement to provide, or help to provide, adequate school facilities within the school district's attendance area in a timely manner (a copy of said agreement is attached hereto); or
- ☐ The school district does not have adequate school facilities to accommodate projected growth attributable to the rezoning.

Attached are the following documents supporting the above certification:

- ☐ Maps of attendance areas for elementary, middle and high schools for this location
- ☐ Calculations of the number of students that would be generated by the additional homes.
- ☐ School capacity and attendance trends for the past three years.

Or

I, _____, hereby request a thirty (30) day extension of the original discussion and response time.


James Lee (Asst. Supt. Support Services)
Superintendent or Designee


Date 7/25/05

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
Phone: 480-312-7000 • Fax: 480-312-7088

City Notifications – Mailing List Selection Map





LEGEND

- MANICURE
- CONCRETE DRIVE
- CONCRETE DRIVE
- RESIDENTIAL
- INDUSTRIAL AREA
- UNIMPROVED

SCALE: 1" = 100'



LAND USE PLAN
SILVERSTONE
AT PINNACLE PEAK
P. 100' 100' 100'

15-ZN-2005 & 13-UP-2005

LAND USE BUDGET SUMMARY

Parcel	Use	Total Acres (1)	Open Space	Residential Uses		Non-Residential Uses		
				DUI/A Range	Dwelling Unit Allocation (2) (5)	C-2 (3)	C-O	Other (4)
A	Municipal	3.24	0.65					25,000
B	Municipal	1.24	0.25					15,000
C	Retail	12.47	2.49			95,000		
D	Office	12.42	2.48				165,000	
E	Residential	17.47	3.49	12-15	262 (3)			
F	Residential	21.82	4.36	8-10	186			
G	Residential	23.77	4.75	10-12	258			
H	Residential	34.26	6.85	8	270 (6)			
Wash		11.32	11.32					
Park		1.60	1.60					
Streets		7.60						
Total Acres		147.21	38.26					
Total Dwelling Units					976			
Total Building Area						95,000	165,000	40,000

N.B.: All areas are approximate

(1) Numbers are approximate due to parcel boundary shifts that may be required during detailed land planning and engineering.

(2) The Total Number of Residential Units shall not exceed 976

(3) Up to one-third of Dwelling Units may be Live/Work

(4) Other Uses include Municipal uses, Underlying Zoning for Parcels A and B to be C-O

(5) Transfer of Dwelling Units between parcels is permitted as long as the Maximum Dwelling Units does not exceed 976 units for the entire property and on a parcel by parcel basis does not exceed the maximum DUI/A's indicated under the DUI/A Range column

(6) Dwelling Unit Allocation does not include the approximate 60 beds in the Care Facility.

1875 ASL

PANHANDLE PARK



15-ZN-2005 &
13-UP-2005

LEGEND

0-24 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT



24-30 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT



31-36 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT



37-45 FT MAXIMUM HEIGHT

100' MINIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT
100' MINIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT
100' MINIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT

100' MINIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT
100' MINIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT
100' MINIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT


N



BUILDING HEIGHT PLAN
SILVERSTONE
AT PINNACLE PEAK

15-ZN-2005

10-31-05



FORWARDING TO THE CITY COUNSEL. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BARNETT.

Commissioner Heitel said he would probably support this case, but noted that it raises some very concerning aspects for future cases. He is not totally convinced that on-lot meaningful open space is in the spirit of the ESLO ordinance as it was written. This is a question mark in the ordinance and he is not prepared to hold up the developer, who will probably add a positive aspect to development in north Scottsdale. However, down the road he foresees problems. He asked whether, in a future study session, staff could discuss what they might and might not like to do in terms of recommending clarification of ESLO.

Commissioner Hess shared Commissioner Heitel's opinion. He will support this case with the same reluctance.

Commissioner Steinke said opined that this is a good proposal. He wants to make sure that City Council is aware of everything and that stipulations are very clear and spelled out.

Vice-Chairman Steinberg opined that Crown did a good job and that the space will be meaningful because the land is so beautiful. He supports the motion.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 5 (FIVE) TO 0 (ZERO).

Chairman Gulino thanked Vice-Chairman Steinberg and resumed chairing the meeting.

4. 19-ZN-2005 (Sierra Highlands) request by owner to rezone from Single Family Residential District, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (R1-190 ESL) to Single Family Residential District, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (R1-70 ESL & R1-43 ESL) with amended development standards on a 30.5 +/- acre parcel located at 8500 E Black Mountain Road (Northeast corner Black Mountain Road and 84th Street). Staff contact person is Tim Curtis, 480-312-4210. **Applicant contact person is Alex Stedman, 480-994-0994.**

Continued to December 14, 2005.

5. 15-ZN-2005 (Silverstone) request by owner to rezone from Western Theme Park District (W-P) to Planned Community District (PC) with comparable zoning of Central Business District (C-2), Commercial Office District (C-O), and Multiple Family Residential District (R-5), including amended development standards on 160 +/- acres located at the southeast corner of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road. Staff contact person is Tim Curtis, 480-312-4210. **Applicant contact person is John Berry, 480-385-2727.**
6. 13-UP-2005 (Silverstone Use Permit) request by owner for a conditional use permit for a residential health care facility on a portion of the 160 +/- acres located at the southeast corner of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road. Staff contact person is Tim Curtis, 480-312-4210. **Applicant contact person is John Berry, 480-385-2727.**

Mr. Curtis presented the case, highlights of which included slides of Silverstone 160 Acres Context Aerial/Close Aerial, Zoning Map, Silverstone Request Diagram, Building Heights Diagram, Items for Consideration, Planned Roadway Improvements, Timing of Street Improvements Diagram, and Applicant's Presentation. He noted that the 160-acre property was formerly known as Rawhide. Traffic improvements are a key issue. The plan is to improve the surrounding roads with the development. Feedback from the neighborhood has mostly focused on the concern that retail development not take place on this part of Scottsdale Road until it and Pinnacle Peak are built to full capacity.

In response to inquiry by Vice-Chairman Steinberg, Mr. Curtis noted that a stipulation requiring removal of the billboard is included.

Mr. Berry addressed the meeting on behalf of the Applicant, introducing the development team. Highlights of Mr. Berry's presentation included a review of current land uses in the area with permitted heights and densities and an overview of the history of the current development. Neighborhood feedback and reaction to the original plan expressed concern about traffic and lack of open space. The revised plan incorporates wholesale changes with a focus on open space and with Rawhide Wash as the centerpiece of the development to be open to the public

In an effort to reduce traffic to the development, the new plan has greatly reduced retail and office space. The residential density was reduced by 20 percent and the request for additional height above that permitted by the ordinance, except for the Senior Living Component, has been eliminated. This development will have underground parking, creating more open space.

Vice-Chairman Steinberg asked the Applicant whether they had considered that the traffic to and from the Senior Living Component would not compete with peak hour traffic patterns. Mr. Berry said that the traffic engineers had taken that into account when generating the traffic numbers for the site.

In answer to questions from Vice-Chairman Steinberg, Mr. Berry reported that the completion of the expansion of Scottsdale Road should occur by 2010. The residential component of the project will be the first phase completed.

In response to inquiry by Vice-Chairman Steinberg regarding the need for a firehouse, Mr. Curtis reported that the Fire Department feels the need to reserve space for a fire station.

In response to inquiry by Commissioner Steinke regarding the projected timelines, Mr. Berry explained that Pinnacle Peak Road from Scottsdale Road to Pima is scheduled to be done in 2008. Miller Road is under construction currently. Williams will be improved as part of the first phase of development.

Mr. Bob Vairo addressed the meeting on behalf of the Coalition of Pinnacle Peak. The Coalition is in favor of the application. Main concerns have been traffic, density, building height and whether the project would fit into the context of the neighborhood. The Applicant has been responsive to the Coalition's concerns. The Coalition supports the applications.

Mr. Marvin Richman of 8132 East Via de Luna addressed the meeting. He is a developer who is in favor of appropriate development. The current plan is now vastly improved. The developer has listened responsively to community concerns. He noted that an estimated 2,900 people will be living on 97 acres and this density is perhaps more suited to a downtown urban area. The development team has demonstrated that they can accomplish the densities that they need to make this project successful with buildings that are one or two storeys high. If the project can be achieved with a building height of 24 feet, aside from the Senior Living Component, it is unclear why the application is for building heights of 36 feet for the residential component.

Mr. Kenneth Steuer, who lives northeast of the property, addressed the meeting. He stressed concerns that the City had turned their back on this area. He perceives that a rural community is now being injected with an urban configuration. Had the community not spoken out about the original plan, they would be looking at a very unsuitable project. He believes an opportunity exists to reduce the number of residences.

Mr. Andrew Mutch of 23605 North 80th Way addressed the meeting. He is on the Board of the HOA for Desierto Vida. Residents want to ensure that the development is appropriate. The plan shown today is very good for the most part. Residents are in favor of the municipal facilities, the parklands and support the assisted living facility. However, they believe some refinements are needed. Residents would like building height limited to 36 feet and zoning to be amended to C1-R5 instead of C2-R5. They also believe the current plan will lead to traffic congestion and long delays at the intersection of Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Road. They would like the roads to be built to achieve average service in the area. If the roads cannot be planned and built to that level, the development should be scaled back. Mr. Mutch opined that this is more of a road planning issue than a Silverstone issue.

Chairman Gulino noted that Patsy Davidson had completed a card in favor of the application, but had left the meeting.

Mr. Leonard W. Huck of 7501 East Thompson Peak Parkway spoke. He is the retired President of Valley National Bank and was Chairman of the Chamber of Commerce. His life has revolved around a commitment to Scottsdale and he is in favor of the application. He is now living in the Classic Residence which has reinvented active senior housing. Assisted living is a community amenity.

Ms. Judy Weller, a 35-year resident, addressed the meeting. She expressed appreciation to the developer for the commendable job performed in terms of informing the neighbors and being reactive to neighborhood needs and concerns. She is in favor of the application.

Chairman Gulino closed public testimony, noting four cards from non-speakers, all in favor of the application.

Mr. Berry thanked Mr. Vairo and the Coalition of Pinnacle Peak for their efforts, which had improved the project.

Commenting that traffic and building height were areas of concern to the speakers, Mr. Berry discussed grade differentials on the site, stressing that the assisted living center needs to be higher than other buildings because of its function. He noted that Ms.

Weller, who lives closest to Silverstone, does not have a concern with the building heights.

Mr. Berry addressed expressed traffic concerns and clarified that the level of service D is, in fact, the standard for intersection traffic applied in the City.

Chairman Gulino asked Traffic staff about the current performance of the intersections under discussion.

Mr. Phil Kercher noted that Mr. Berry had explained the situation well. Due to the number of lanes, there is not a great deal of capacity at the intersection of Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Road. The level of service is not as good. With the planned improvements, the additional capacity will give room to handle the traffic in the future.

In response to inquiry by Chairman Gulino, Mr. Kercher noted that traffic engineers routinely consider peak hours in traffic counts.

Chairman Gulino asked whether it would be fair to compare this intersection with the intersection of Indian Bend and Scottsdale Road. Mr. Kercher said this is probably a fair comparison. The Indian Bend intersection was recently improved and now handles significantly more traffic. He estimated that it is also at a D level of service.

Chairman Gulino asked whether when the development and the road improvements are completed, the level of service at the intersection of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak will stay essentially about the same. Mr. Kercher agreed that that is a fair assessment.

Chairman Gulino asked how many exit points are stipulated in the Silverstone application. Ingress and egress are important considerations. Mr. Kercher replied that there are additional driveways on all four sides of Silverstone, some of which align with median breaks, while others will be right turn only in and out. The staff is comfortable with the ability to handle the traffic volumes generated by the projects and the improvements that can realistically be put in place. Staff had a concern about the major driveway on Scottsdale Road with earlier site plans.

Mr. Kercher summarized that with the first phase of the project, the developer will plan to complete Williams Road and Miller Road to their four-lane cross sections, add the signal lights at Scottsdale Road and Williams and Miller and Williams and complete Pinnacle Peak to four lanes from Scottsdale Road to Miller Road before a certificate of occupancy will be granted.

The City has a capital project to complete Pinnacle Peak to Pima Road as a four-lane road by 2008. Scottsdale Road would be completed to six lanes by 2010 by the City. In reply to a question from Chairman Gulino, Mr. Kercher confirmed that those projects have been funded.

Chairman Gulino asked whether the 4-1/2 acres set aside for the library and fire station would be big enough to create a mini-town center. Mr. Berry answered that the developer has agreed to provide 4-1/2 acres to the City, and are hoping that some of the land will be used for a library, which will be an amenity to the community. The City will have to decide how it will be used.

Chairman Gulino commented that there is nothing in the packet that directs DRB consideration. He noted that pedestrian circulation, the park, the Rawhide Wash and associated questions, and vehicular circulation are items that the DRB should closely consider as the project moves forward.

Mr. Berry explained that with a planned community district such as Silverstone, there is a requirement for a master environmental design concept plan. This plan will go to the DRB. A pedestrian plan and a drainage plan are stipulated to be provided to the Development Review Board.

Vice-Chairman Steinberg asked how the development to the west on Paradise Ridge will affect the level of service in Scottsdale. Mr. Berry replied that the TIMA Report took into account all development in the area.

Commissioner Barnett noted that the Planning Commission rarely sees a project that comes through with little resistance. The development team has done an outstanding job. He appreciates their work with local Scottsdale firms to assist in the process.

Commissioner Heitel noted the tremendous amount of cooperation through the process. The development team cooperated with City staff and the Coalition of Pinnacle Peak. Clearly this is going to be a remarkable project.

Commissioner Hess added that the Planning Department deserves a compliment as well. The staff have shown cooperation and imagination in moving this forward, and this is worthy of note.

Vice-Chairman Steinberg concurred. This has been an opportunity to work with a wonderful team of quality developers who is truly giving back to the community with an exemplary mixed-use project. The one percent dedication to public art is over and above what is required and he would like to see that as a standard for future developments. He expects that this project will be admired as being on the level of DC Ranch.

Commissioner Steinke commented that Rawhide was a defining location for Scottsdale. This project at this gateway to the community is going to be another fine representation of what defines Scottsdale.

COMMISSIONER STEINKE MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF 15-ZN-2005 AND 13-UP-2005 WITH THE NOTE THAT THE FINDINGS REQUIRED BY THE ORDINANCE HAVE BEEN MET FOR BOTH THE PLANNED COMMUNITY DISTRICT REZONING AND THE USE PERMIT HAVE BEEN MET. COMMISSIONER BARNETT SECONDED THIS MOTION, WHICH WAS CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 6 (SIX) TO 0 (ZERO).

8. 88-DR-2005 (ASU-Scottsdale Center for New Technology and Innovation) request by owner under the provisions of zoning case number 26-ZN-2004 (ASUF Scottsdale), any free-standing ornamental monument in excess of 60 feet may be approved by the City Council; after receiving a recommendation from the Planning Commission and Development Review Board. The applicant is proposing a freestanding ornamental monument in excess of 60 feet, to be located along the



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted **BEFORE** public testimony has begun on the item. Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

6

PLEASE PRINT NAME MARK BROWN MEETING DATE 11/30/05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT _____

ADDRESS 21825 N 79th Way Scottsdale AZ 85255 ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480 661 1555 WORK PHONE _____

☐ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # _____

☒ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☒ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # 6 ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted **BEFORE** public testimony has begun on the item. Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

5 + 6

PLEASE PRINT NAME Claudia Neal MEETING DATE 11/30/05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT _____

ADDRESS 10929 E. Betty Dr. ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480-538-0416 WORK PHONE _____

☐ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # _____

☒ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # _____ ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments

A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining

A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments

I support this proposed plan and believe it will lead
to long term benefits for my area.

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments

A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining

A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments

I am a native of Arizona. I now currently reside
in North Scottsdale. I am very please with the Silverstone
Project and feel it will ~~have a~~ ^{have a} positive impact in the
community up North. It's very desirable to see the
use of seniors blended into this project. Please count
me as a "yes" vote for this project.



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

546

PLEASE PRINT NAME MICHAEL FOSTER MEETING DATE 11/30/2005

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT Self

ADDRESS 22450 N. 76th Place Sonoran Hills ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480-366-4977 WORK PHONE 480-213-0178

☐ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # _____

☒ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☒ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # 15-2N-2005 ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING 13-4D-2005

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

546

PLEASE PRINT NAME Colleen Katz MEETING DATE 11-30-2005

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT _____

ADDRESS 10784 N. 101 Street Scottsdale ZIP 85260

HOME PHONE 480 661 9353 WORK PHONE 480 748 1474

☐ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # _____

☒ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☒ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # Silverstone ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments

A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining

A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments I live S.E. of Rawhide & the Miller/Williams intersection. My home backs up to the proposed development. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE HEIGHT OF THE BUILDINGS AND IF I WILL HAVE PEOPLE LOOKING INTO MY BACKYARD. CAN SOMEONE INFORM ME WHAT I WILL SEE FROM MY BACKYARD?

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments

A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining

A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments I am excited for the Mixed Use plan for Rawhide. I strongly encourage the Council to include a library in the plan.



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

5

PLEASE PRINT NAME JUDY WALKER MEETING DATE 11/30/05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT _____

ADDRESS 23003 N. 77th Way Scotts ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE (480) 515-1190 WORK PHONE (602) 980-1966

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 5

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☒ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # 5 ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

546

PLEASE PRINT NAME Dean Sheppard MEETING DATE 11-30-05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT Scottsdale Chamber

ADDRESS 7343 Scottsdale Mall ZIP 85021

HOME PHONE _____ WORK PHONE 480-429-2250

☐ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # _____

☒ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☒ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # 5 ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted **BEFORE** public testimony has begun on the item. Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

5

PLEASE PRINT NAME LEONARD W. HUCK MEETING DATE 11/30/05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT CLASSIC RESIDENCE

ADDRESS 7501 E. THOMPSON PEAK PKWY #520 ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480.248-6285 WORK PHONE 602.221-1436

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 5

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☒ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # 5 ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted **BEFORE** public testimony has begun on the item. Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

5/6

PLEASE PRINT NAME Inge Vairo MEETING DATE 1-30-05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT _____

ADDRESS 10040 E. Happy Valley Rd. ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE _____ WORK PHONE _____

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 5 and 6

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # _____ ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

I give my time
to Bob Vairo

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted **BEFORE** public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.



PLEASE PRINT NAME LINDA REBOH-KING MEETING DATE 11/30/05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT

DESERT VIDA HOA

ADDRESS 23413 N. 80TH WAY SCOTTSDALE ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 602-549-9833 WORK PHONE SAME

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # DONATE minute to Andrew
MUTCH

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # _____ ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)




SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted **BEFORE** public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.



PLEASE PRINT NAME ANDREW J. MUTCH MEETING DATE 11-30-2005

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT

DESERTO VIDA HOA

ADDRESS 23605 N. 80TH WAY SCOTTSDALE AZ ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480 840 1195 WORK PHONE 480 840 1192

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 5 - SILVERSTONE

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # _____ ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments

A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining

A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments

CONCERN ABOUT TRAFFIC &
BUILDING HEIGHT - all in SILVERSTONE

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments

A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining

A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments

CONCERNED ABOUT TRAFFIC AND
BUILDING HEIGHTS PROPOSED IN SILVERSTONE
DEVELOPMENT



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted **BEFORE** public testimony has begun on the item. Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

5

PLEASE PRINT NAME KENNETH STEUER MEETING DATE 11-30-05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT _____

ADDRESS 24101 N. 76 PL. ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480 513 3348 WORK PHONE _____

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 5

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # _____ ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted **BEFORE** public testimony has begun on the item. Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

5

PLEASE PRINT NAME Patsy Davidson MEETING DATE 11/30/05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT Classic Hyatt Residence

ADDRESS 7501 E Thompson PK Pky #161 ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480 361-8653 WORK PHONE _____

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 5

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☒ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # 5 ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)

Time Given by Inge Vairo



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

5 & 6

PLEASE PRINT NAME Bob Vairo MEETING DATE 11/30/05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT Coalition of Pinnacle Peak, Inc.

ADDRESS 10040 E. Happy Valley Rd. #457 ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE _____ WORK PHONE _____

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 5 & 6

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # _____ ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

5

PLEASE PRINT NAME MARVIN J. RICHMAN MEETING DATE _____

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT _____

ADDRESS 8132 E. VIA DE LUNA PL. SCOTTSDALE ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE (480) 664-6901 WORK PHONE (800) 757-6690

☒ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 15-2N-2005

☐ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # _____ ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 6/05)



SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

546

PLEASE PRINT NAME Dean Sheppard MEETING DATE 11-30-05

IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT Scottsdale Chamber of Commerce

ADDRESS 7343 Scottsdale Mall ZIP 85021

HOME PHONE _____ WORK PHONE 480-429-2250

☐ YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # _____

☒ NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.

☒ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # 6 ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM # _____

☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING _____

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
(2,000 - 5/05)